

Date Mailed: February 2, 2026

Docket No.: 26-000305

Case No.: [REDACTED]

Petitioner: [REDACTED]

DECISION AND ORDER

On January 2, 2026, Petitioner [REDACTED] requested a hearing to dispute a Home Help Services (HHS) determination. As a result, a hearing was scheduled to be held on January 28, 2026. Medicaid services hearings are held pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002.

The parties appeared for the scheduled hearing. Petitioner appeared with his authorized hearing representative, [REDACTED]. Respondent Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (Department) had Appeals Review Officer Leigha Klaver appear as its representative. Respondent had one witness, Adult Services Worker Dawn Wilkins. There were no other participants.

Both parties provided sworn testimony, and one exhibit was admitted into evidence. A 56-page packet of documents provided by the Department was admitted into evidence as Exhibit A.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's request for Home Help Services (HHS)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner requested HHS from the Department.
2. An adult services worker scheduled a time to visit Petitioner in his home to complete an assessment on November 10, 2025.
3. On November 10, 2025, the adult services worker called Petitioner to inform Petitioner that the adult services worker would be visiting Petitioner that day. The adult services worker arrived at Petitioner's home that same day and knocked on the door, but nobody answered. The adult services worker then attempted to call Petitioner at the same phone number that the adult services worker used earlier that day, and the person who answered the phone said he did not know what the

adult services worker was talking about, and nobody was available to discuss Petitioner's request for HHS.

4. On November 10, 2025, the Department mailed a negative action notice to Petitioner to inform Petitioner that his request for HHS was denied because the Department was unable to locate Petitioner.
5. Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute the Department's decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program (MA) is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a health professional and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

In order to be eligible for HHS, an individual must have a need for services based on a comprehensive assessment indicating a need for hands-on assistance with at least one activity of daily living (ADL) or a need for complex care. ASM 120 (October 1, 2025), p. 3. Those activities known as ADL's are eating, toileting, bathing, grooming, dressing, transferring, and mobility. *Id.* at 2-3. Complex care includes care such as catheters, bowel programs, specialized skin care, suctioning, range of motion exercises, wound care, respiratory treatments, ventilators, and injections. *Id.* at 4-5.

The comprehensive assessment is the Department's primary tool for determining a client's need for services. *Id.* at 1. Although a medical professional may certify a client's need for services, it is the Department who determines whether there is a need for services through its comprehensive assessment. ASM 115 (October 1, 2025), p. 2. During the assessment, the Department documents a client's abilities and needs in order to determine the client's ability to perform activities. ASM 120 at 2.

In this case, the Department unsuccessfully attempted to complete a comprehensive assessment. The Department was unable to complete a comprehensive assessment because Petitioner was not available at the time of a scheduled assessment. Since the Department was unable to complete a comprehensive assessment, the Department could not determine Petitioner's need for services, so the Department denied Petitioner's request for HHS.

The Department acted in accordance with ASM 120 when it attempted to complete Petitioner's comprehensive assessment, and the Department properly determined that it could not approve Petitioner's request for HHS without completing an assessment.

Accordingly, the Department properly determined that Petitioner did not meet the eligibility criteria for HHS in accordance with ASM 105, and the Department properly notified Petitioner that his request for HHS was denied in accordance with ASM 150. Petitioner did not present sufficient evidence to establish that the Department's decision should be reversed. Therefore, the Department's decision to deny Petitioner's request for HHS is affirmed. Petitioner may reapply for HHS.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly denied Petitioner's request for HHS.

IT IS ORDERED that the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



JEFFREY KEMM
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

APPEAL RIGHTS: Petitioner may appeal this Hearing Decision to the circuit court. Rules for appeals to the circuit court can be found in the Michigan Court Rules (MCR), including MCR 7.101 to MCR 7.123, available at the Michigan Courts website at courts.michigan.gov. The Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR) cannot provide legal advice, but assistance may be available through the State Bar of Michigan at <https://irs.michbar.org> or Michigan Legal Help at <https://michiganlegalhelp.org>. A copy of the circuit court appeal should be sent to MOAHR. A circuit court appeal may result in a reversal of the Hearing Decision.

Either party who disagrees with this Hearing Decision may also send a written request for a rehearing and/or reconsideration to MOAHR within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision. The request should include Petitioner's name, the docket number from page 1 of this Hearing Decision, an explanation of the specific reasons for the request, and any documents supporting the request. The request should be sent to MOAHR

- by email to MOAHR-BSD-Support@michigan.gov, **OR**
- by fax at (517) 763-0155, **OR**
- by mail addressed to
Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules
Rehearing/Reconsideration Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing Michigan 48909-8139

Documents sent via email are not secure and can be faxed or mailed to avoid any potential risks. Requests MOAHR receives more than 30 days from the mailing date of this Hearing Decision may be considered untimely and dismissed.



Via Electronic Mail:

Respondent

MDHHS APPEALS
PO BOX 30807
LANSING, MI 48909
MDHHS-APPEALS@MICHIGAN.GOV

Department Contact

MICHELLE MARTIN
MDHHS
400 S PINE ST 5TH
LANSING, MI 48933
MDHHS-HOME-HELP-POLICY@MICHIGAN.GOV

Agency/Department Representative

SHERRY REID
MDHHS GREENVIEW ADULT SERVICES
19340 GREENVIEW AVE STE 200
DETROIT, MI 48219
MDHHS-WC-MAHSHEARING@MICHIGAN.GOV

Via First Class Mail:

Petitioner

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] MI [REDACTED]

Authorized Hearing Representative

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] MI [REDACTED]