



Date Mailed: October 29, 2025
Docket No.: 25-035266
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Petitioner: [REDACTED]



This is an important legal document. Please have someone translate the document.

هذه وثيقة قانونية مهمة. يرجى أن يكون هناك شخص ما يترجم المستند.

এটি একটি গুরুত্বপূর্ণ আইনি ডকুমেন্ট। দয়া করে কেউ দস্তাবেজ অনুবাদ করুন।

Este es un documento legal importante. Por favor, que alguien traduzca el documento.

这是一份重要的法律文件。请让别人翻译文件。

Ky është një dokument ligjor i rëndësishëm. Ju lutem, kini dikë ta përktheni dokumentin.

Date Mailed: October 29, 2025

Docket No.: 25-035266

Case No.: [REDACTED]

Petitioner: [REDACTED]

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a hearing was held via telephone conference on October 27, 2025. Petitioner appeared and was unrepresented. The Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS or Department) was represented by Sunshine Simonson.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner was an ongoing FAP recipient.
2. On July 15, 2025, Petitioner completed a redetermination related to her FAP benefit case.
3. Petitioner's household consisted of herself and her child.
4. Petitioner was receiving unearned income in the form of Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB) in the gross amount of \$ [REDACTED] on a biweekly basis.
5. On September 26, 2025, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action informing her she was approved for benefits in the amount of \$23 per month effective August 1, 2025 (Exhibit A, pp. 22-27).
6. Petitioner submitted a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

In this case, Petitioner was an ongoing FAP recipient. In July 2025, Petitioner completed a redetermination related to her FAP benefit case. The Department determined Petitioner was eligible for FAP benefits in the amount of \$23 per month for a group size of one. The Department presented a FAP budget to establish the calculation of Petitioner's FAP benefit amount (Exhibit A, pp. 11-12).

FAP budget calculations require the consideration of the group size. The Department will determine who must be included in the FAP group prior to evaluating the non-financial and financial eligibility of everyone in the group. BEM 212 (January 2022), p. 1. A person is in student status if she is: age 18 through 49 and enrolled half-time or more in a: vocational, trade, business, or technical school that normally requires a high school diploma or an equivalency certificate, or a regular curriculum at a college or university that offers degree programs regardless of whether a diploma is required. BEM 245 (January 2020), p. 3-4. In order for a person in student status to be eligible, they must meet one of the following criteria: (i) receiving FIP; (ii) enrolled in an institution of higher education as a result of participation in a Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA), a program under the Trade Readjustment Act or another state or local government employment and training program; (iii) physically or mentally unfit for employment; (iv) employed for at least an average of 20 hours per week and paid for such employment; (v) self-employed for at least an average of 20 hours per week and earning an average weekly income at least equivalent to the federal minimum wage multiplied by 20 hours; (vi) participating in a state of federally-funded work study program during the regular school year; (vii) providing more than half of the physical care of a group member under the age of six; (viii) providing more than half of the physical care of a group member age six through eleven and the local office has determined adequate child care is not available to enable the person to attend class and work at least 20 hours per week or participate in a state or federally-financed work study program during the school year; or (ix) a single parent enrolled full-time in an institution of higher education who cares for a dependent under age 12. BEM 245, p. 5. For the care of a child under age six, consider the student to be providing physical care as long as he or she claims primary

25-035266

responsibility for such care, even though another adult may be in the FAP group. BEM 245, p. 5.

The Department testified that Petitioner's child was residing in the home but was considered an ineligible group member due to her student status. Petitioner's child was a full-time college student, and although she was employed, she was not working 20 hours per week (Exhibit A, pp. 20-21). Petitioner conceded that her daughter was not working 20 hours per week and did not present any evidence that she would be eligible for FAP benefits on any other basis. Therefore, the Department acted in accordance with policy when it determined that Petitioner's daughter was ineligible for FAP benefits based on her student status and determined that Petitioner had a household size of one.

All countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining a client's eligibility for program benefits and group composition policies specify whose income is countable. BEM 500 (July 2017), pp. 1-5. The Department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected. BEM 505 (October 2017), pp. 1-2. In prospecting income, the Department is required to use income from the past 30 days if it appears to accurately reflect what is expected to be received in the benefit month, discarding any pay if it is unusual and does not reflect the normal, expected pay amounts. BEM 505, pp. 5-6. A standard monthly amount must be determined for each income source used in the budget. BEM 505, pp. 7-8. Income received biweekly is converted to a standard amount by multiplying the average of the biweekly pay amounts by the 2.15 multiplier. BEM 505, pp. 7-9. Income received weekly is multiplied by a 4.3 multiplier. BEM 505, pp. 7-9. Income received twice per month is added together. BEM 505, pp. 7-9. An employee's wages include salaries, tips, commissions, bonuses, severance pay and flexible benefit funds not used to purchase insurance. The Department includes the gross UCB income as unearned income. BEM 503, p. 38.

Per the budget provided, the Department included \$ [REDACTED] in unearned income in Petitioner's FAP budget. Petitioner conceded she was receiving \$ [REDACTED] in gross UCB income on a biweekly basis. Petitioner's UCB amount multiplied by the 2.15 multiplier is \$ [REDACTED]. Therefore, the Department correctly determined Petitioner's household income.

The deductions to income on the net income budget were also reviewed. There was no evidence presented that Petitioner's group includes a senior/disabled/veteran (SDV) household member. BEM 550 (January 2017), pp. 1-2. Thus, the group is eligible for the following deductions to income:

- Dependent care expense.
- Excess shelter.
- Court ordered child support and arrearages paid to non-household members.
- Standard deduction based on group size.

25-035266

- An earned income deduction equal to 20% of any earned income.

BEM 554 (January 2020), p. 1; BEM 556 (January 2020), p. 3.


The Department will reduce the gross countable earned income by 20 percent and is known as the earned income deduction. BEM 550 (January 2022), p.1. As Petitioner's UCB income is considered unearned, she is not entitled to the earned income disregard. Petitioner's FAP benefit group size of one justifies a standard deduction of \$204. RFT 255 (October 2024), p. 1. There was no evidence presented that Petitioner had any out-of-pocket dependent care or child support expenses. Therefore, the budget properly excluded any deduction for dependent care or child support expenses.

In calculating the excess shelter deduction of \$0, the Department stated that it considered Petitioner's verified housing expense of \$0 and that she was entitled to the heat/utility standard of \$664. BEM 554, pp. 14-15. The Department testified when calculating Petitioner's excess shelter amount, they added the total shelter amount and subtracted 50% of the adjusted gross income, which resulted in a deficit. Therefore, the Department correctly determined Petitioner was not entitled to an excess shelter deduction.

The FAP benefit group's net income is determined by taking the group's adjusted gross income and subtracting the allowable excess shelter expense. After subtracting the allowable deductions, the Department properly determined Petitioner's adjusted gross income to be \$[REDACTED]. As Petitioner was not entitled to an excess shelter deduction, her net income is also \$[REDACTED]. A chart listed in RFT 260 is used to determine the proper FAP benefit issuance based on the net income and group size. Based on Petitioner's net income and group size, Petitioner's FAP benefit issuance is \$23. Therefore, the Department properly calculated Petitioner's FAP benefit amount.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined Petitioner's FAP benefit eligibility. Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



**ELLEN MCLEMORE
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**

25-035266

APPEAL RIGHTS: Petitioner may appeal this Hearing Decision to the circuit court. Rules for appeals to the circuit court can be found in the Michigan Court Rules (MCR), including MCR 7.101 to MCR 7.123, available at the Michigan Courts website at courts.michigan.gov. The Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR) cannot provide legal advice, but assistance may be available through the State Bar of Michigan at <https://lrs.michbar.org> or Michigan Legal Help at <https://michiganlegalhelp.org>. A copy of the circuit court appeal should be sent to MOAHR. A circuit court appeal may result in a reversal of the Hearing Decision.

Either party who disagrees with this Hearing Decision may also send a written request for a rehearing and/or reconsideration to MOAHR within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision. The request should include Petitioner's name, the docket number from page 1 of this Hearing Decision, an explanation of the specific reasons for the request, and any documents supporting the request. The request should be sent to MOAHR

- by email to MOAHR-BSD-Support@michigan.gov, **OR**
- by fax at (517) 763-0155, **OR**
- by mail addressed to
Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules
Rehearing/Reconsideration Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing Michigan 48909-8139

Documents sent via email are not secure and can be faxed or mailed to avoid any potential risks. Requests MOAHR receives more than 30 days from the mailing date of this Hearing Decision may be considered untimely and dismissed.



Via Electronic Mail: **Respondent**
WAYNE-GREENFIELD/JOY-DHHS
8655 GREENFIELD RD
DETROIT, MI 48228
MDHHS-WAYNE-17-HEARINGS@MICHIGAN.GOV

Via First Class Mail: **Petitioner**
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] MI [REDACTED]