

GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES SUZANNE SONNEBORN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MARLON I. BROWN, DPA DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: January 9, 2025 MOAHR Docket No.: 24-013045

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned administrative law judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a hearing was held via Microsoft Teams on January 6, 2025; the parties participated by telephone. Petitioner appeared and was unrepresented. The Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) was represented by Jamila Goods, specialist.

ISSUE

The issue is whether MDHHS properly determined Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The administrative law judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. As of November 2024, Petitioner was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits with a benefit period certified through November 2024.
- 2. As of November 2024, Petitioner was the only member of the household.
- 3. As of November 2024, Petitioner received \$1,053 in gross monthly Retirement, Survivors, Disability Insurance (RSDI).
- 4. As of November 2024, Petitioner had no day care expenses, no dependent care expenses, and no reported medical expenses exceeding \$35 per month.

- 5. As of November 2024, Petitioner received subsidized housing which paid \$747 of a monthly rent of \$1,000.
- 6. As of November 2024, MDHHS credited Petitioner for an obligation to pay heating and/or cooling expenses.
- 7. On November 1, 2024, MDHHS approved Petitioner for \$183 in FAP benefits beginning December 2024.
- 8. On November 25, 2024, Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute the reduction in FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The FAP (formerly known as the Food Stamp program) is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. MDHHS administers the FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011. FAP policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute a reduction in FAP benefits. Exhibit A, pp. 3-5. A Notice of Case Action dated November 1, 2024, approved Petitioner for \$183 in FAP benefits beginning December 2024. Exhibit A, pp. 7-11.

FAP benefit amounts are based on a client's net income. Net income, for purposes of FAP benefits, is based on the client's group size, countable monthly income, and relevant monthly expenses. BEM 556 outlines the factors and calculations required to determine net income. A budget summary from the Notice of Case Action listed all relevant income and expenses. Exhibit A, p. 8. During the hearing, all relevant budget factors were discussed with Petitioner.

In determining Petitioner's FAP eligibility, MDHHS factored a benefit group including only Petitioner. Petitioner did not dispute the benefit group size of one person.²

It was not disputed that Petitioner received \$1,053 in gross monthly RSDI benefits. For FAP benefits, gross RSDI is countable. BEM 503 (January 2023) p. 29. It was not disputed that Petitioner had no other income; thus, Petitioner's countable income for FAP benefits is \$1,053.

MDHHS uses certain expenses to determine net income for FAP eligibility and benefit levels. BEM 554 (July 2024) p. 1. For groups without a senior (over 60 years old), disabled or disabled veteran (SDV) member, MDHHS considers the following expenses:

¹ MDHHS also included a budget which included most calculations to determine FAP benefits. Exhibit A, p. 15.

² See BEM 212 for policies on determining group size for FAP benefits.

shelter expenses (housing and utilities) up to a capped amount, dependent care costs, and court-ordered child support and arrearages paid to non-household members. *Id.* Groups with an SDV member who has a verified one-time or ongoing medical expense(s) of more than \$35 for an SDV person(s) will receive the standard medical deduction (SMD) of \$165. *Id.*, p. 9. If the group has actual medical expenses which are more than the SMD, the group has the option to verify their actual expenses instead of receiving the SMD. *Id.* Groups with an SDV member also have an uncapped excess shelter expense. *Id.*

It was not disputed that Petitioner was disabled; thus, medical expenses are countable. However, Petitioner's testimony acknowledged not having monthly medical expenses exceeding \$35. Petitioner also acknowledged having no child support or dependent care expenses. Petitioner's non-shelter expenses are \$0.

Petitioner's FAP benefit group size justifies a standard deduction of \$204 (see RFT 255). The standard deduction is given to all FAP benefit groups, though the amount varies based on the benefit group size. The standard deduction and countable non-shelter expenses are subtracted from the countable monthly income to calculate the group's adjusted gross income. Subtracting the standard deduction (\$204) and countable non-shelter expenses (\$0) from Petitioner's group's countable income (\$1,053) results in an adjusted gross income of \$849.

MDHHS credited Petitioner with monthly housing expenses of \$247 based on a data exchange with the Michigan State Development Housing Authority. Exhibit A, p. 17. The documentation indicated that the government paid \$753 of Petitioner's \$1,000 rent leaving Petitioner an out-of-pocket rent obligation of \$247. Petitioner contended that MDHHS should have factored her full rent of \$1,000 in determining FAP eligibility.

FAP benefit expenses are allowed if the following: the service is provided by someone outside of the FAP group; someone in the FAP group has the responsibility to pay for the service in money; and verification is provided (if required). BEM 505 (July 2024) p. 1. If an entire expense is paid by an agency or someone outside of the group, then the client does not have a responsibility to pay. *Id.*, p. 2. If an expense is partially reimbursed or paid by an agency or someone outside of the FAP group, MDHHS is to allow only the amount the group is responsible for paying (unless policy directs otherwise).

Petitioner is not entitled to a housing expense credit for any portion of rent paid by an agency. Thus, MDHHS properly calculated Petitioner's housing expenses to be \$247: the amount paid by Petitioner. MDHHS credited Petitioner with a standard heating/utility (h/u) credit of \$664.³ RFT 255 (October 2024) p. 1. Generally, the h/u credit covers all

³ Petitioner testified she does not pay for heating and/or cooling thereby suggesting that Petitioner is not entitled to receive the h/u standard credit. For purposes of this decision, it will be accepted that Petitioner is eligible for the h/u credit.

utility expenses and is the maximum credit available.⁴ Adding Petitioner's housing expenses and utility credits results in total shelter expenses of \$911.

MDHHS only credits FAP benefit groups with an "excess shelter" expense. The excess shelter expense is calculated by subtracting half of Petitioner's adjusted gross income from Petitioner's total shelter obligation. Petitioner's excess shelter amount is \$487.

The FAP benefit group's net income is determined by subtracting the excess shelter expense from the group's adjusted gross income; doing so results in \$362 in net income for Petitioner's group. A chart is used to determine the proper FAP benefit issuance. ⁵ RFT 260 (October 2024) pp. 1-5. Based on Petitioner's group size and net income, Petitioner's proper FAP issuance for December 2024 is \$183: the same amount calculated by MDHHS. Thus, MDHHS properly determined Petitioner's FAP eligibility beginning December 2024.

DECISION AND ORDER

The administrative law judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that MDHHS properly determined Petitioner to be eligible for \$183 in FAP benefits beginning December 2024. The actions taken by MDHHS are **AFFIRMED**.

CG/nr

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge

⁴ MDHHS allows additional credits for "actual utility expenses". Such expenses are only allowed for utility installation charges, water well installation and maintenance, and septic installation and maintenance. BEM 554 (October 2019) p. 15. There was no evidence of applicable exceptions.

⁵ FAP eligibility can also be calculated by multiplying the net income by 30% and subtracting the amount from the maximum FAP issuance for the group.

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via-Electronic Mail: DHHS

Susan Noel

Wayne-Inkster-DHHS 26355 Michigan Ave Inkster, MI 48141

MDHHS-Wayne-19-Hearings@michigan.gov

Interested Parties

BSC4

M. Holden

N. Denson-Sogbaka

B. Cabanaw MOAHR

Via-First Class Mail: Petitioner

