GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

MARLON BROWN DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: January 15, 2025 MOAHR Docket No.: 24-012746

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 17, 2024, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Cindy Wasaya and Kimbali Carey. Department Exhibit 1, pp. 1-14 were received and admitted.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's Family Independence Program (FIP) application because she had three previous instances of noncompliance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was found to be in noncompliance with PATH rules without good cause on January 29, 2008, June 10, 2008, and July 6, 2015.
- 2. On July 14, 2015, a Notice of Noncompliance with notice of lifetime sanction was sent to Petitioner.
- 3. On 2024, Petitioner applied for FIP.
- On November 6, 2024, a Notice of Case Action was sent to Petitioner informing her that her FIP application was denied because she had three previous instance of noncompliance.
- 5. On November 8, 2024, Petitioner requested hearing disputing the denial of FIP.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVE FIP INDIVIDUALS AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP EDG closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the individual's first occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than three calendar months.
- For the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than six calendar months.
- For the individual's third occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for a lifetime sanction.

BEM 233A

In this case, Petitioner was found to be in noncompliance with PATH rules without good cause on January 29, 2008, June 10, 2008, and July 6, 2015. Petitioner had an opportunity to contest those findings of non-compliance and she either did not contest those findings or the findings were upheld. Policy requires that individuals who have three instances of non-compliance are subject to a lifetime ban. BEM 233A.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's FIP application because she had three previous instances of noncompliance.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

AM/ml

Aaron McClintic

Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 Via Electronic Mail: DHHS

Trista Waishkey

Washtenaw County DHHS

22 Center Street Ypsilanti, MI 48198

MDHHS-Washtenaw-Hearings@michigan.gov

Interested Parties

BSC4 B Sanborn MOAHR

<u>Via First Class Mail:</u> Petitioner

MI