GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

MARLON BROWN DIRECTOR

Date Mailed: October 3, 2024
MOAHR Docket No.: 24-008506
Agency No.:
Petitioner:

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 5, 2024, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Rachel Meade Hearing Facilitator. Department Exhibit 1, pp. 1-81 and Exhibit 2, pp. 1-4 were received and admitted.

### ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) case due to excess income?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 2024, Petitioner applied for MA.
- 2. On May 2024, a Health Care Supplemental Questionnaire was sent to Petitioner with a due date of June 11, 2024.
- 3. On July **■** 2024, a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice was sent to Petitioner informing her that her MA application was denied for failing to return he supplemental questionnaire.
- 4. On July 2024, Petitioner submitted the Health Care Supplement Questionnaire.

- 5. On July 2024, a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice was sent to Petitioner informing her that she was not eligible for MA because she was eligible on another case. It was also confirmed that Petitioner's MA-SSI closed due to excess income and ineligibility for SSI.
- 6. On July 2024, Petitioner requested hearing disputing the denial of MA.
- 7. Petitioner's husband was found to have **\$10000** in monthly income from employment based on the check stubs he provided. (Ex. 1, pp. 40-41)
- 8. Petitioner was considered for MA-HMP but was found to have excess income for that program.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

## **Targeted Population**

The Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) provides health care coverage for individuals who: • Are 19-64 years of age. • Do not qualify for or are not enrolled in Medicare. • Do not qualify for or are not enrolled in other Medicaid programs. • Are not pregnant at the time of application. • Meet Michigan residency requirements. • Meet Medicaid citizenship requirements. • Have income at or below 133 percent Federal Poverty Level (FPL). BEM 137

In this case, Petitioner's husband has **\$2000** in monthly employment income based on his check stubs. (Ex. 1, pp. 40-41) The income limit for HMP for a household of 2 is \$2,265. Therefore, Petitioner is over the income limit and the denial due to excess income was proper and correct. BEM 137

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's MA application due to excess income.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

AM/dm

Am militi

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

# Via-Electronic Mail :

DHHS Heather Dennis Jackson County DHHS MDHHS-Jackson-Hearings@michigan.gov

SchaeferM

**EQADHearings** 

**BSC4HearingDecisions** 

MOAHR

Via-First Class Mail :

Petitioner