



GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS
DIRECTOR

██████ ██████
████████████████████
████████████████████, MI ██████████

Date Mailed: March 28, 2022
MOAHR Docket No.: 22-000498
Agency No.: ██████████
Petitioner: ██████████

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jeffrey Kemm

HEARING DECISION

On February 9, 2022, Petitioner, ████████ ██████ requested a hearing to dispute the Department's decision to deny her request for Medical Assistance (MA). As a result, a hearing was scheduled to be held on March 23, 2022, pursuant to MCL 400.9; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. Petitioner appeared and represented herself. Respondent, Department of Health and Human Services (Department), had Patty Marx, Family Independence Manager, appear as its representative. Neither party had any additional witnesses.

One exhibit was admitted into evidence during the hearing. A 16-page packet of documents provided by the Department was admitted collectively as the Department's Exhibit A.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly denied Petitioner's request for MA?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On ██████████, 2021, Petitioner applied for MA from the Department. Petitioner reported that she was employed at Ockert Enterprises where she worked 4 hours per week for \$██████ per hour. Petitioner provided an additional comment that stated, "when I am scheduled to work, I get six hours per shift 1-2x per week, however, I am not always scheduled every week. Hence, I average 4 hours per week for the month, and that is maximum because sometimes I am only scheduled once a month."
2. On November 2, 2021, the Department mailed a verification checklist to Petitioner. The verification checklist instructed Petitioner to provide proof of her

earned and unearned income for the last 30 days. The verification checklist instructed Petitioner to provide the proof by November 12, 2021.

3. On November 3, 2021, the Department received one paycheck stub from Petitioner. The paycheck stub was dated September 10, 2021.
4. Petitioner did not provide any other paycheck stubs to the Department because she had not received any paychecks within the last 30 days; the September 10, 2021, paycheck was the last paycheck that Petitioner received.
5. On [REDACTED], 2021, the Department issued a health care coverage determination notice to Petitioner to notify her that her request for MA was denied because she did not provide verification of her income.
6. On February 9, 2022, Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute the Department's decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case the Department denied Petitioner's application for MA because the Department determined that Petitioner did not provide verification of her income as instructed. Petitioner is disputing the Department's decision.

When the Department receives an application for assistance, the Department must determine whether the client is eligible. To determine whether a client is eligible for assistance, the Department must request verification of the information that is required to determine eligibility.

Verification is usually required by the Department at the time of application. BAM 130 (January 1, 2021), p. 1. The Department must tell a client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. *Id.* at 3. The Department must allow the client 10 calendar days to provide requested verification. *Id.* at 8. The client must obtain the verification, but the local office must assist if the client needs it and asks for help. *Id.*

Verifications are only considered timely if they are received by the due date. *Id.* The Department must send a Negative Action Notice when the client refuses to provide the verification or the client has failed to provide the verification by the due date. *Id.*

The Department sent Petitioner a verification checklist which instructed Petitioner what verification was required, how to obtain it, and the due date. It was Petitioner's responsibility to obtain the requested verification and to make sure the Department received it by the due date. Based on the evidence presented, the Department did not receive the requested verification by the due date. Thus, I must find that Petitioner failed to provide the requested verification by the due date. Therefore, the Department properly denied Petitioner's application for MA.


Although Petitioner may have provided the Department with her most recent paycheck stub, the paycheck stub did not provide proof of her income for the last 30 days because it was too old. Petitioner provided her paycheck stub with no explanation that she did not have any income in the last 30 days, and the information in her application indicated that she should have had income in the last 30 days. Thus, the Department could not verify her income. If Petitioner did not have any income in the last 30 days, then it was Petitioner's responsibility to communicate that to the Department in response to the verification checklist.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department properly denied Petitioner's request for Medical Assistance.

IT IS ORDERED the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

JK/mp



Jeffrey Kemm
Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Email Recipient:

MDHHS-Benzie-Hearings
EQADhearings
C. George
MOAHR
BSC2

First-Class Mail Recipient:

██████ ██████
██████ ██████ ██████
██████████, MI ██████████