



GRETCHEN WHITMER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

MARLON BROWN  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: June 12, 2024  
MOAHR Docket No.: 23-008081  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: OIG  
Respondent: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki**

**HEARING DECISION FOR  
INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION**

Upon the request for a hearing by the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS), this matter is before the undersigned administrative law judge in accordance with MCL 400.9, 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130, and R 400.3178. After due notice, a hearing was held via telephone conference line on June 10, 2024. MDHHS was represented by Valerie Lancour, regulation agent with the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Respondent did not participate despite being given at least 15 minutes from the scheduled hearing time to call.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether MDHHS established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed a Food Assistance Program (FAP)-related intentional program violation (IPV) which justifies imposing a disqualification period.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The administrative law judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds the following as material fact:

1. On December [REDACTED] 2020, Respondent submitted a Redetermination form reporting no ongoing employment income.
2. On January [REDACTED] 2021, during a redetermination interview, reporting responsibilities were discussed with Respondent.
3. On January [REDACTED] 2021 MDHHS mailed Respondent notice of an approval of FAP benefits based on \$[REDACTED] employment income. Boilerplate stated that clients are to report income changes to MDHHS within 10 days.

4. From February 5, 2021, through at least August 2021, Respondent received wages from [REDACTED] (hereinafter, "Employer").
5. From April through August 2021, MDHHS issued to Respondent \$ [REDACTED] in FAP benefits while budgeting \$ [REDACTED] for employment.
6. As of August 2021, Respondent had not reported to MDHHS income from Employer.
7. On March [REDACTED] 2023, MDHHS calculated and established that Respondent received an over-issuance (OI) of \$ [REDACTED] in FAP benefits from April through August 2021 due to unreported income.
8. On November [REDACTED] 2023, MDHHS requested a hearing to establish against Respondent a one-year FAP-related IPV disqualification period stemming from over-issued FAP benefits from April through August 2021.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. MDHHS administers the FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011. FAP policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

MDHHS requested a hearing to establish against Respondent a FAP-related IPV disqualification period of one year. Exhibit A, p. 1. MDHHS may request hearings to establish an IPV disqualification. BAM 600 (March 2021) p. 5. Claim Detail documentation verified that MDHHS established against Respondent a recipient claim of \$1,555 due to client error. Exhibit A, p. 51. MDHHS requested a hearing to escalate the cause of the claim to an IPV. An unsigned Intentional Program Violation Repayment Agreement alleged that Respondent committed an IPV by failing to timely report employment income. Exhibit A, pp. 54-55.

The types of recipient claims are those caused by agency error, unintentional recipient error, and IPV. 7 CFR 273.18(b). An IPV shall consist of having intentionally:

- (1) Made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed, or withheld facts; or
- (2) Committed any act that constitutes a violation of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), SNAP regulations, or any state statute for the purpose of using, presenting, transferring, acquiring, receiving, possessing, or trafficking of SNAP benefits or EBT cards.<sup>1</sup> 7 CFR 273.16(c).

---

<sup>1</sup> FAP is the Michigan equivalent of SNAP.

An IPV requires clear and convincing evidence which demonstrates that the household member(s) committed, and intended to commit, an IPV. 7 CFR 273.16(e)(6). An evidentiary standard of clear and convincing is “the most demanding standard applied in civil cases.” *In re Martin*, 450 Mich 204, 226-227; 538 NW2d 399 (1995). Clear and convincing evidence must be strong enough to cause a clear and firm belief that the proposition is true; it is more than proving that the proposition is probably true. M Civ JI 8.01. It is a standard which requires reasonable certainty of the truth; something that is highly probable. Black's Law Dictionary 888 (6th ed. 1990).

Federal regulations require change reporters to report income within 10 days after the income begins. 7 CFR 273.12(a)(2). Generally, change reporters are benefit recipient without reported employment income during the benefit period.<sup>2</sup> MDHHS adopted the federal regulations in its policy. BAM 105 (January 2020) p. 7.

Respondent submitted to MDHHS redetermination documents to continue FAP benefits on December 15, 2020, and reported having no employment income. Exhibit A, pp. 10-14. There was no evidence that MDHHS budgeted employment income for any of Respondent's benefit group members from the redetermination submission date through the end of the OI period. Given the evidence, Respondent was a change reporter, and therefore, obligated to report to MDHHS the start of employment income within 10 days.

From February 5, 2021, through at least August 2021, Respondent received wages from [REDACTED] (hereinafter, “Employer”). Exhibit A, pp. 27-31. MDHHS contended that Respondent did not report to MDHHS the wages until a benefit month after the OI period could be affected. MDHHS's contention was consistent with the established OI being caused by client error. Also, MDHHS presented comments for Respondent's case which did not include a documenting of a timely reporting of wages. Exhibit A, pp. 32-36. Furthermore, Respondent did not participate in the hearing to allege a timely reporting of wages.

An IPV based on untimely reported income requires that unreported income caused an OI. Recipient claims not caused by trafficking are calculated by subtracting the correct FAP benefit amount from the actual issuance.<sup>3</sup> 7 CFR 273.18(c)(1). FAP-OI budgets from April through August 2021 demonstrated how an OI was calculated. Exhibit A, pp. 40-50. Actual FAP issuances totaling \$[REDACTED] were taken from documentation of Respondent's FAP issuance history. Exhibit A, pp. 37-39. Presumably, correct FAP benefits were calculated from the same group size, income, and expenses from original budgets other than including Respondent's actual gross income from Employer.<sup>4</sup> MDHHS factored Respondent's wages as untimely reported resulting in a 20% credit for timely reported

---

<sup>2</sup> Simplified reporters, as opposed to change reporters, need only report when household income exceeds the simplified reporting income limit. Simplified reporters have employment income budgeted during the benefit period.

<sup>3</sup> Additionally, MDHHS is to subtract any benefits that were expunged (i.e., unused benefits which eventually expire from non-use). There was no evidence that any FAP benefits issued to Respondent were expunged.

<sup>4</sup> Factoring gross income is compliant with employment income policy (see BEM 501) and factoring actual income is compliant with policy directing how to calculate an OI based on client error (see BAM 715) or an IPV (see BAM 720).

income not being applied. Using the procedures set forth in BEM 556 for calculating FAP eligibility, an OI of \$█████ was calculated.

The evidence established that Respondent received an OI of \$█████ in FAP benefits from April through August 2021 due to untimely reported income. For an IPV, MDHHS must clearly and convincingly establish that Respondent purposely failed to report income.

Respondent should have been aware of the responsibility to report employment income to MDHHS within 10 days after receiving wages from Employer beginning February █████ 2021. MDHHS mailed Respondent a Notice of Case Action dated January █████ 2021, which included boilerplate that clients are to report income changes within 10 days.<sup>5</sup> Exhibit A, pp. 18-22. Also, MDHHS documented that “R&R were explained to Respondent during an interview on January █████ 2021. Exhibit A, pp. 15-17. MDHHS testimony explained that “R&R” is shorthand for a client’s rights and responsibilities.

The inclusion of boilerplate reporting responsibilities within documents sent to or signed by Respondent, by itself, does not clearly and convincingly establish that Respondent read, understood, and/or retained the language. The evidence also does not clearly and convincingly establish that Respondent purposely later ignored reporting responsibilities to receive an OI of benefits. Similarly, a discussion of reporting responsibilities does not clearly and convincingly establish that Respondent purposely later ignored those responsibilities simply because an OI occurred.

Given the evidence, Respondent might have purposely ignored the responsibility to report income, but this was not clearly and convincingly established. Thus, MDHHS did not establish an IPV by Respondent.

Individuals found to have committed a FAP-related IPV shall be ineligible to receive FAP benefits. 7 CFR 273.16(b). The standard disqualification period is used in all instances except when a court orders a different period. Standard IPV penalties are as follows: one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV. *Id.* and BAM 720 (October 2017) p. 16.

MDHHS contended that a one-year FAP-related disqualification period was proper for Respondent’s first IPV. Without finding that Respondent committed an IPV, an IPV disqualification cannot follow. Thus, MDHHS is denied its request to establish a one-year FAP-related IPV disqualification against Respondent.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The administrative law judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that MDHHS failed to establish that Respondent committed an IPV stemming from FAP benefits over-issued from April through August 2021. The MDHHS request to establish a one-year FAP-related IPV disqualification against Respondent is **DENIED**.

---

<sup>5</sup> MDHHS mailed a Change Report with notice which clients may complete and submit to report changes to MDHHS. Exhibit A, pp.23-25.

CG/dm



**Christian Gardocki**  
Administrative Law Judge

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**Via-Electronic Mail :**

**Petitioner**  
OIG  
**MDHHS-OIG-  
HEARINGS@michigan.gov**

**DHHS**  
Andrea Stevenson - 17  
Chippewa County DHHS  
**MDHHS-  
906EUPHearings@michigan.gov**

**Policy-Recoupment**

**StebbinsN**

**MOAHR**

**Via-First Class Mail :**

**Respondent**

████████████████████  
██  
██