

GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES SUZANNE SONNEBORN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MARLON I. BROWN, DPA ACTING DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: January 29, 2024 MOAHR Docket No.: 23-007771

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ellen McLemore

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 25, 2024, via conference line. Petitioner was present and was unrepresented. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Khaleah Cameron, Assistance Payments Worker and LaShona Callen, Assistance Payments Supervisor.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) benefit case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing MA recipient.
- 2. On October 31, 2023, Petitioner submitted a change report (Exhibit A, p. 9).
- 3. On November 1, 2023, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing her that her MA benefit case was closing effective December 1, 2023, ongoing (Exhibit A, pp. 10-12).
- 4. On November 7, 2023, Petitioner submitted a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner was an ongoing MA recipient. On October 31, 2023, Petitioner submitted a change report. On November 1, 2023, a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice was issued to Petitioner advising her that her MA benefit case was closing effective December 1, 2023. Per the Health Care Coverage Determination Notice, Petitioner was not eligible for any of the MA programs.

Individuals who have been determined as disabled are eligible for benefits under the SSI-related MA programs, including Ad-Care and the Group 2 SSI-related MA program. BEM 163 (July 2017), p. 1 and BEM 166 (April 2017), p.1. At the hearing, Petitioner testified that she receives Social Security benefits as a disabled individual. The Department conceded that Petitioner is a disabled individual. The Department was uncertain as to why their electronic processing system prompted the closure of Petitioner's MA benefit case.

As a disabled individual, Petitioner is potentially eligible for MA benefits. Therefore, the Department did not act in accordance with policy when it failed to determine Petitioner's eligibility under the SSI-related MA benefit cases before closing her MA benefit case. As it follows, the Department did not act in accordance with policy when it closed Petitioner's MA benefit case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's MA benefit case.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Redetermine Petitioner's MA eligibility as of December 1, 2023, ongoing;
- 2. If Petitioner is eligible for MA benefits, provide coverage she is entitled to receive; and
- 3. Notify Petitioner of its decision in writing.

EM/tm

Ellen McLemore

Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 <u>Via-Electronic Mail :</u> DHHS

Denise Key-McCoggle Wayne-Greydale-DHHS 27260 Plymouth Rd Redford, MI 48239

MDHHS-Wayne-15-Greydale-Hearings@michigan.gov

Interested Parties

M. Schaefer EQADHearings

BSC4

<u>Via-First Class Mail :</u> Petitioner

