

GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES SUZANNE SONNEBORN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MARLON I. BROWN, DPA ACTING DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: October 24, 2023 MOAHR Docket No.: 23-004698 Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Linda Jordan

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a hearing was held on September 25, 2023, via teleconference. Petitioner appeared and represented himself. Christine Trombley, Assistance Payments Supervisor, appeared on behalf of the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS or Department).

ISSUE

Did MDHHS properly determine that Petitioner was eligible for Medicaid (MA) coverage with a deductible?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing recipient of MA benefits.
- 2. On July 6, 2023, Petitioner submitted a redetermination for MA (Exhibit A, p. 5).
- 3. On August 3, 2023, MDHHS sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice indicating that he was approved for MA coverage with an \$847.00 monthly deductible, effective September 1, 2023 ongoing (Exhibit A, p. 8).
- 4. On July 31, 2023, Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute MDHHS' determination regarding his MA coverage (Exhibit A, p. 6).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Medicaid (MA) is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. MDHHS administers MA pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k. MA is also known as Medical Assistance. BEM 105 (January 2021), p. 1.

In this case, MDHHS determined that Petitioner was eligible for MA under the Group 2 Aged, Blind and Disabled (G2S) category with a deductible of \$847.00.

The MA program includes several sub-programs or categories. BEM 105, p. 1. To receive MA under a Supplemental Security Income (SSI)-related category, the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, entitled to Medicare or formerly blind or disabled. Id. MA eligibility for children under 19, parents or caretakers of children, pregnant or recently pregnant women, former foster children, MOMS, MIChild, Flint Water Group and Health Michigan Plan (HMP) is based on Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) methodology. Id. The terms Group 1 and Group 2 relate to financial eligibility factors. Id. For Group 1, net income (countable income minus allowable income deductions) must be at or below a certain income limit for eligibility to exist. Id. The income limit, which varies by category, is for nonmedical needs such as food and shelter. Id. Medical expenses are not used when determining eligibility for MAGI-related and SSI-related Group 1 categories, Id, For Group 2, eligibility is possible even when net income exceeds the income limit. *Id.* This is because incurred medical expenses are used when determining eligibility for Group 2 categories. Id. Group 2 categories are considered a limited benefit because a deductible is possible. Id. Persons may qualify under more than one MA category. Id., p. 2. Federal law gives them the right to the most beneficial category. Id. The most beneficial category is the one that results in eligibility, the least amount of excess income or the lowest cost share. Id.

To evaluate Petitioner's eligibility for MA, MDHHS must determine Petitioner's MA fiscal group size, net income and assets. MDHHS determines fiscal and asset groups separately for each person requesting MA. BEM 211 (July 2019), p. 5. When the adult is an L/H patient¹, or is applying for MA Waiver for Elderly and Disabled (BEM 106), Program of All Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) (BEM 167), or Freedom to Work

¹ L/H patient refers to a MA client who was in the hospital and/or long-term care facility (LTC) during an L/H month. An L/H month is a calendar month containing at least one day that is part of a period in which a person was (or is expected to be) in an LTC facility and/or hospital for at least 30 consecutive days, and no day that the person was a waiver patient. BPG Glossary (January 2022), p. 39.

(BEM 174), the fiscal and asset group is the individual, even if the individual lives with a spouse. BEM 211, p. 8. When the adult is applying for any other program, the fiscal and asset group is the adult applicant and the spouse. *Id.* For Group 2 Fiscal groups, MDHHS considers the person's own income and the income of the following persons who live with the individual: the individual's spouse and the individual's parent(s), if the individual is a child. *Id.*

Petitioner was eligible for SSI-related MA based on his disability. Petitioner had a groupsize of one (Exhibit A, p. 5). MDHHS determined that Petitioner's net income was \$1,422.00 based on his receipt of Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) in the gross amount of \$1,442.00, less applicable deductions, including the \$20 disregard (Exhibit A, p. 5). BEM 541 (January 2023), p. 3. Petitioner did not dispute the amounts that MDHHS used for his unearned income.

Based on Petitioner's circumstances, he was potentially eligible for Ad-Care MA. The Ad-Care program is a group 1, full-coverage SSI-related MA program for disabled individuals who are income-eligible based on their MA fiscal group size. BEM 163 (July 2017), p. 1. However, net income for this program cannot exceed 100% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). *Id.* To be income eligible for this program, Petitioner's income would have had to be \$1,235.00 or less for a fiscal group-size of one. RFT 242 (April 2023), p. 1. Petitioner's income exceeded this amount and therefore, he was not eligible for Ad-Care MA.

MDHHS alleged that Petitioner was eligible for G2S MA, which is an SSI-related Group 2 MA category. BEM 166 (April 2017), p. 1. MDHHS considers eligibility under this category only when eligibility does not exist under BEM 155 through 164, 170 or 171. *Id.* Income eligibility exists for G2S MA when net income does not exceed the Group 2 needs in BEM 544. *Id.*, p. 2. If the net income exceeds Group 2 needs, MA eligibility is still possible with a deductible, per BEM 545. *Id.* The deductible is equal to the amount the individual's net income, calculated in accordance with the applicable Group 2 MA policy, minus the applicable Group 2 MA protected income level (PIL). The PIL is a set allowance for non-medical need items such as shelter, food and incidental expenses that is based on the county in which the client resides and the client's fiscal MA group size. BEM 544 (January 2020), p. 1. The PIL for Alpena County, where Petitioner resides, for a one-person MA group, was \$375.00. RFT 200 (April 2017), p. 2; RFT 240 (December 2013), p. 1.

In determining the monthly deductible, net income is reduced by health insurance premiums paid by the MA group and remedial service allowances for individuals in adult foster care or homes for the aged. BEM 544, pp. 1-3. In this case, no evidence was presented that Petitioner resided in an adult foster care home or home for the aged. MDHHS deducted \$200.00 in insurance premiums paid by Petitioner and determined that his countable income was \$20000 (Exhibit A, p. 26). The countable income was reduced by the PIL of \$375.00, which equals a remaining deductible of \$874.00.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the MDHHS acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Petitioner was eligible for G2S MA with a deductible.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Jinua Jordon

LJ/nr

Linda Jordan Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via-Electronic Mail :

DHHS

Greg Walker Alpena County DHHS 600 Walnut St Alpena, MI 49707 **MDHHS-GR8North-**Hearings@michigan.gov

Interested Parties

GR8North Hearings BSC1 D. Smith EQAD MOAHR

Via-First Class Mail :

Petitioner

