

GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES SUZANNE SONNEBORN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MARLON I. BROWN, DPA ACTING DIRECTOR

MI	Date Mailed: September 15, 2023 MOAHR Docket No.: 23-004405 Agency No.:
MI	Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ellen McLemore

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 13, 2023, via conference line. Petitioner was represented by his Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR), The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Valarie Foley, Hearing Facilitator.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) benefit case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing MA recipient.
- 2. On May 4, 2023, the Department sent Petitioner a redetermination/renewal form (Exhibit A, pp. 8-16).
- 3. On July 20, 2023, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing him that his MA benefit case was closing effective August 1, 2023, ongoing for his failure to complete the renewal (Exhibit A, pp. 17-19).

4. On July 31, 2023, Petitioner's AHR submitted a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, the Department sent Petitioner a DHS 10-10 Redetermination form on May 4, 2023. According to the document, the form was required to be completed and submitted by June 5, 2023.

The Department must periodically redetermine or renew an individual's eligibility for active programs. BAM 210 (October 2017), p. 1. Redetermination, renewal, semi-annual and mid-certification forms are often used to redetermine eligibility of active programs. BAM 210, p. 1. For MA cases, a redetermination is an eligibility review based on a reported change and a renewal is the full review of eligibility factors completed annually. BAM 210, p. 1. The DHS-1010 redetermination form is generated and sent to the client at the time of an annual renewal. BEM 105 (April 2017), p. 3. Benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a renewal is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210, p. 3.

The Department testified that neither Petitioner, nor Petitioner's AHR, completed the DHS-1010 form prior to June 5, 2023. As a result, the Department closed Petitioner's MA benefit case. At the hearing, Petitioner's AHR testified that she did not receive the redetermination. Petitioner's AHR stated that she was not aware that there was an issue with Petitioner's MA case until she received the closure notice.

The role of the undersigned Administrative Law Judge is to determine whether the Department properly followed policy when acting on Petitioner's MA benefit case. The Department presented sufficient evidence that a redetermination was sent to Petitioner, and that the redetermination was not returned. Therefore, the Department acted in accordance with policy when it closed Petitioner's MA benefit case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's MA benefit case. Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

EM/tm

Ellen McLemore

Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 <u>Via-Electronic Mail :</u> DHHS

Susan Noel Wayne-Inkster-DHHS 26355 Michigan Ave Inkster, MI 48141

MDHHS-Wayne-19-

Hearings@michigan.gov

Interested Parties

M. Schaefer EQADHearings

BSC4

<u>Via-First Class Mail :</u> Petitioner

MI