



GRETCHEN WHITMER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]  
MI [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: June 7, 2023  
MOAHR Docket No.: 23-002531  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Linda Jordan**

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a hearing was held on May 31, 2023. Petitioner appeared and represented herself with the assistance of an Arabic interpreter. Lori Turner, Eligibility Specialist, appeared on behalf of the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS or Department).

### **ISSUE**

Did MDHHS properly deny Petitioner's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On February 13, 2023, Petitioner applied for FAP (Exhibit A, p. 6). Respondent reported that household member [REDACTED] (Daughter) was currently employed (Exhibit A, p. 10).
2. On February 15, 2023, MDHHS sent Petitioner a Verification Checklist (VCL) that requested verification of Daughter's income (Exhibit A, p. 14). The VCL indicated that the proofs were due by February 27, 2023 (Exhibit A, p. 14).
3. On March 14, 2023, MDHHS sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action indicating that her application for FAP had been denied, effective February 13, 2023 ongoing (Exhibit A, p. 17). The reason for the denial was that verification of earned income was not received (Exhibit A, p. 18).

4. On May 1, 2023, Petitioner filed a Request for Hearing to dispute the denial of her application for FAP (Exhibit A, pp. 3-4).

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. MDHHS administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

In this case, MDHHS denied Petitioner's application for FAP for failing to return verification of Daughter's earned income by the deadline.

MDHHS is required to obtain verification when it is required by policy or information is unclear or incomplete. BAM 130 (January 2023), p. 1. To obtain verification, MDHHS must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it and the due date. *Id.*, p. 3. MDHHS is required to use a VCL to request verification from clients. *Id.* If the individual indicates the existence of a disability that impairs their ability to gather verifications and information necessary to establish eligibility for benefits, MDHHS must offer to assist the individual in the gathering of such information. *Id.*, p. 1. The client must obtain the requested verification, but the local office must assist the client if they need and request help. *Id.*, p. 3. If neither the client nor the local office can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, MDHHS must use the best available information. *Id.* If no evidence is available, MDHHS must use its best judgement. *Id.*

MDHHS allows the client ten calendar days to provide the requested verification. *Id.*, p. 7. Verifications are considered timely if received by the date that they are due. *Id.* MDHHS sends a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide the requested verification, or the time period given on the VCL has lapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. *Id.* For FAP, if the client contacts MDHHS prior to the due date requesting an extension or assistance in obtaining verifications, MDHHS is required to assist the client but may not grant an extension. *Id.* If the client returns the requested verifications, eligibility will be determined based on the compliance date, following subsequent processing rules. *Id.* Before determining program eligibility, MDHHS must give the client a reasonable opportunity to resolve any discrepancy between the client's statement and another source. *Id.*, p. 9.

Petitioner testified that she attempted to comply with MDHHS' verification request and that she submitted the verifications by the deadline. Petitioner testified that she

submitted the information by fax and brought copies into a local MDHHS office. MDHHS did not deny receiving Petitioner's paperwork but stated that it was missing paystubs for particular dates. Petitioner testified that she struggled to communicate with MDHHS and was unaware that the verification that she returned was insufficient. No evidence was presented that MDHHS informed Petitioner of what specific information was lacking.

Based on the information presented at the hearing, Petitioner attempted to comply with MDHHS' requests for information and she sought help from MDHHS. MDHHS is required to assist individuals who need and request help, and the record reflects that it failed to do so here. Additionally, MDHHS is required to provide interpreters to limited English Proficient (LEP) individuals, pursuant to BAM 105, pp. 15-16.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that MDHHS did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's application for FAP.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, MDHHS' decision is **REVERSED**.

MDHHS IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reregister and reprocess Petitioner's February 13, 2023 FAP application, requesting additional verifications and providing language assistance, if necessary;
2. Determine Petitioner's eligibility for FAP benefits from February 13, 2023 ongoing;
3. Issue supplements to Petitioner for any FAP benefits that she was eligible to receive but did not from February 13, 2023 ongoing; and
4. Notify Petitioner of its decision in writing.

LJ/tm

  

---

**Linda Jordan**  
Administrative Law Judge

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**Via-Electronic Mail :**

**DHHS**  
Tara Roland 82-17  
Wayne-Greenfield/Joy-DHHS  
8655 Greenfield  
Detroit, MI 48228  
**MDHHS-Wayne-17-  
hearings@michigan.gov**

**Interested Parties**

M. Holden  
D. Sweeney  
BSC4

**Via-First Class Mail :**

**Petitioner**

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], MI [REDACTED]