

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

MARLON I. BROWN, DPA ACTING DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: September 20, 2023 MOAHR Docket No.: 23-002449

Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG

Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Amanda M. T. Marler

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

The Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS or the Department) requested a hearing alleging that Respondent committed an intentional program violation (IPV). Pursuant to MDHHS' request and in accordance with MCL 400.9, 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and R 400.3178, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge. After due notice, a hearing was held via telephone conference on September 14, 2023.

Jonathan Edwards, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), represented MDHHS.

Respondent did not appear at the hearing, and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e)(4); Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130(5); or Mich Admin Code, R 400.3178(5).

<u>ISSUES</u>

- 1. Did MDHHS establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an IPV concerning Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?
- 2. Should Respondent be disqualified from receiving benefits for FAP?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On January 2018, the Department received Respondent's application for FAP benefits listing herself and her daughter with no income. By signing the application, Respondent acknowledged her understanding of the obligation to report changes in circumstances to the Department within ten days.
- 2. On February 2018, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action to Respondent informing her that she was eligible for FAP benefits for a group size of two with no income and reminded her of the obligation to report changes within ten days to the Department.
- 3. On May 2018, Respondent began employment with and received her first paycheck on May 2018.
- 4. Respondent continued to be employed by Employer through at least November 2018.
- 5. Respondent never reported her income from employment to the Department.
- 6. From July 2018 through September 2018, Respondent received \$ in FAP benefits for a two-person group based upon \$0.00 income.
- 7. On November 2018, the Department received a Wage Match showing Respondent's employment with Employer. This was the first time Respondent was alerted to the income.
- 8. Respondent does not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to accurately report changes in household circumstances to the Department.
- 9. On April 13, 2023, the Department established a debt for overissued FAP benefits in the amount of for the period July 2018 through September 2018 based upon Respondent's failure to report employment income.
- 10. Respondent has no prior FAP IPV disqualifications.
- 11. On May 4, 2023, MDHHS' OIG filed a hearing request alleging that Respondent intentionally concealed her employment income and as a result received FAP benefits from July 2018 through September 2018 (fraud period) that Respondent was ineligible to receive. OIG requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for a period of 12 months due to committing an IPV.
- 12. A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the United States Postal Services as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

MDHHS policies are contained in the MDHHS Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is funded under the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 7 USC 2036a. It is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. MDHHS administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 of the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1 *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to R 400.3031.

Intentional Program Violation

An IPV occurs when a recipient of MDHHS benefits intentionally made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed, or withheld facts. 7 CFR 273.16(c)(1). Effective October 1, 2014, MDHHS's OIG requests IPV hearings for cases where (1) the total repayment amount sought from Respondent for all programs combined is \$500 or more or (2) the total repayment amount sought from Respondent for all programs combined is less than \$500 but the group has a previous IPV, the matter involves concurrent receipt of assistance, the IPV involves FAP trafficking, or the alleged fraud is committed by a state government employee. BAM 720 (October 2017), pp. 12-13.

To establish an IPV, MDHHS must present clear and convincing evidence that the household member committed, and intended to commit, the IPV. 7 CFR 273.16(e)(6); BAM 720, p. 1. Clear and convincing evidence is evidence sufficient to result in "a firm belief or conviction as to the truth of the precise facts in issue." *Smith v Anonymous Joint Enterprise*, 487 Mich 102, 114-115; 793 NW2d 533 (2010); see also M Civ JI 8.01. Evidence may be uncontroverted and yet not be clear and convincing; conversely, evidence may be clear and convincing despite the fact that it has been contradicted. *Smith* at 115. The clear and convincing standard is "the most demanding standard applied in civil cases." *In re Martin*, 450 Mich 204, 227; 538 NW2d 399 (1995). For an IPV based on inaccurate reporting, MDHHS policy also requires that the individual have been clearly and correctly instructed regarding the reporting responsibilities and have no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits the ability to understanding or fulfill these reporting responsibilities. BAM 720, p. 1.

In this case, MDHHS alleges that Respondent committed an IPV based on her failure to report household earned income. Earned and unearned income received by the client are considered in the calculation of a client's FAP eligibility and amount of benefits. BEM 500 (July 2017); BEM 556 (April 2018), pp. 2-3; 7 CFR 273.9(a). FAP recipients who are not simplified reporters are required to report starting or stopping employment and changes in circumstance that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount within ten days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. BAM 105 (January 2018), p.12; 7 CFR

273.10(b)(1)(i). FAP recipients are also expected to be truthful in all communications with the Department. BAM 105, p. 9.

Respondent was advised of and acknowledged her responsibility to report changes in household income via her application dated January 2018. Respondent was reminded of this obligation via the Notice of Case Action dated February 2018. Despite being informed of and acknowledging the obligation to report changes, Respondent began employment in May 2018 and worked continuously through at least November 2018 but never reported her earned income. As a result, the Department continued to issue FAP benefits to Respondent based upon \$0.00 in earned income. The Department only became aware of the income based upon a Wage Match. Department routinely matches recipient employment data with the Talent Investment Agency (TIA) and Unemployment Insurance Agency (UIA) through computer data exchanges. BAM 802 (July 2018), p. 1. The Department submits social security numbers quarterly to be cross-matched with the work history records submitted by Michigan employers. *Id.* The information is compared to the client's gross earnings in Bridges. Wage Match Client Notices are issued to clarify any discrepancy. BAM 802, p. 2. On April 13, 2023, the Department established a debt for overissued FAP benefits in the for the period July 2018 through September 2018 because of Respondent's failure to report her income. Given that the Department only became aware of the income based upon the Wage Match, the Department has met its burden of proof in establishing that Respondent intentionally concealed her employment income and committed an IPV.

IPV Disqualification

An individual who is found pursuant to an IPV disqualification hearing to have committed a FAP IPV is disqualified from receiving benefits for the same program for 12 months for the first IPV, 24 months for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV. 7 CFR 273.16(b)(1); BAM 720, p. 16. As discussed above, MDHHS has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV. There was no evidence of prior IPVs by Respondent. This was Respondent's first IPV for FAP; Respondent is subject to a 12-month disqualification from receipt of benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

- 1. MDHHS has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent is subject to a 12-month disqualification from FAP.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be personally disqualified from FAP for a period of 12 months.

AM/dm

Amanda M. T. Marler Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 <u>Via-Electronic Mail :</u> Petitioner

OIG

MDHHS-OIG-

HEARINGS@michigan.gov

DHHS

Denise Key-McCoggle Wayne-Greydale-DHHS

MDHHS-Wayne-15-Greydale-Hearings@michigan.gov

StebbinsN

Policy-Recoupment

MOAHR

BSC4HearingDecisions

<u>Via-First Class Mail :</u> Respondent

