GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: March 2, 2023 MOAHR Docket No.: 22-006032

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 31, 2023, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Sarah Terreros, FIS. Shelby Smith ES also appeared and testified for the Department. Department Exhibit 1, pp. 1-1261 was received and admitted.

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for the purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner applied for SDA on 2022.
- 2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on December 8, 2022.
- 3. On December 9, 2022, Notice of Case Action was sent to Petitioner informing him that his SDA application was denied.
- 4. Petitioner filed a request for hearing on December 14, 2022, regarding the SDA denial.
- 5. A telephone hearing was held on January 31, 2023.
- 6. Petitioner is tall and weighs approximately pounds having gained 30 pounds in the last year.

- 7. Petitioner is years of age.
- 8. Petitioner's impairments have been medically diagnosed as back pain, leg pain, hypertension, asthma, diabetes, post-traumatic stress disorder and depression.
- 9. Petitioner has the following symptoms: pain, fatigue, dizziness, joint swelling, shortness of breath, night terrors, panic attacks, memory and concentration problems and insomnia.
- 10. Petitioner completed 10th grade.
- 11. Petitioner is able to read, write, and has difficulty performing basic math skills.
- 12. Petitioner is not working. Petitioner last worked in April 2020 as an environmental services worker at a hospital. Petitioner previously worked as a fast-food worker.
- 13. Petitioner lives alone.
- 14. Petitioner testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
- 15. Petitioner takes the following prescribed medications:
 - a. metformin
 - b. amlodpine
 - c. tetrazine
 - d. sertraline
 - e. buprirone
 - f. hydroxine
 - g. omeprazole
 - h. sprintec
 - i. albuterol
- 16. Petitioner testified to the following physical limitations:

i. Sitting: 10-15 minutes

ii. Standing: 20minutes

iii. Walking: 1 mile

iv. Bend/stoop: some difficulty

v. Lifting: 10 lbs.

vi. Grip/grasp: some limitations

17. In a Mental Status Examination report completed on August 23, 2022, the examining Licensed Psychologist stated the following under Medical Source Statement: "At the time of this exam, the patient's symptoms appear to be fully managed with medication. She is not evidencing any major depression, disturbance of thought nor are there any limitations in the areas of short term or

- remote working memory or concentration interfering with her ability to engage in routine workplace activities or appropriately work with others." (Ex. 1, p. 179)
- 18. In a Physical Examination report completed on November 21, 2022, under Functional Assessment the examining physician stated that following: "MAXIMUM STANDING/ WALKING CAPACITY: at least 2 hours but not more than 6 hours secondary to asthma, MAXIMUM SITTING CAPACITY: at least 5 hours, ASSISTIVE DEVICES: there are no assistive devices indicated based upon exam today, MAXIMUM LIFTING/ CARRYING CAPACITY: 50 pounds occasionally, 25 pounds frequently, POSTURAL ACTIVITIES: Occasionally climb due to asthma, No limitations with balancing, stooping, kneeling, crouching, crawling MANIPULATIVE ACTIVITIES: There are no limitations with reaching, handling, fingering, feeling WORKPLACE ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVITIES: There are limitations with working at heights, around extremes of temperature, chemicals, dust/fumes/gasses due to asthma. There are no limitations to working around heavy machinery or excessive noise." (Ex. 1, p. 175)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations require that the Department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is, or is not, disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Petitioner is not working. Therefore, the Petitioner is not disgualified at this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Petitioner is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe, which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering, simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;

- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Petitioner's medical evidence of record supports a finding that Petitioner has significant physical and mental limitations upon Petitioner's ability to perform basic work activities such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling. Medical evidence has clearly established that the Petitioner has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Petitioner's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Petitioner's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Petitioner's medical record does not support a finding that the Petitioner's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listings 3.03 and 12.04 were considered.

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities, or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician, or mental health professional, that an individual is disabled, or blind, is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability...20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether the Petitioner has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Petitioner within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Petitioner from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Petitioner's past employment was as an environmental services worker and fast-food worker. Working as an environmental services worker, as described by Petitioner at hearing, would be considered medium exertional work. The Petitioner's impairments would prevent her from doing past relevant work. This Administrative Law Judge will continue through step 5.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine: if the Petitioner's impairment(s) prevent the Petitioner from doing other work, 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the Petitioner's:

1. residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations? 20 CFR 416.945;

- 2. age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-965; and
- 3. the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the Petitioner could perform despite her limitations, 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor....20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work: Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting, or carrying, articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally, and other sedentary criteria are met... 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work: Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work: Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work...20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work: Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work...20 CFR 416.967(d).

See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once the Petitioner makes it to the final step of the analysis, the Petitioner has already established a prima facie case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 732 Fd2 962 (6th Cir, 1984).

Moving forward the burden of proof rests with the State to prove by substantial evidence that the Petitioner has the residual function capacity for substantial gainful activity. After

careful review of the medical evidence presented and Petitioner's statements and considering the Petitioner in the most restrictive circumstances this Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner would be able to perform work on the sedentary exertional level.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner is capable of the requisite sitting, standing, and walking for a sedentary exertional job. The Petitioner is a younger individual at age 33. 20 CFR 416.963. Petitioner's previous work has been unskilled. Federal Rule 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2 contains specific profiles for determining disability based on residual functional capacity and vocational profiles. Under Table 1, Rule 201.18 the Petitioner is not disabled for the purposes of SDA. Petitioner's testimony regarding her limitations and ability to sit, stand, walk, lift and carry is not supported by substantial medical evidence. Petitioner did not present sufficient medical evidence to establish that her psychological problems are substantially limiting.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Petitioner is not medically disabled for the purposes of SDA eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby AFFIRMED.

AM/nr

Aaron McClintic

Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

<u>Via-Electronic Mail :</u>	DHHS Kimberly Kornoelje Kent County DHHS 121 Franklin SE Grand Rapids, MI 49507 MDHHS-Kent- Hearings@michigan.gov
	Interested Parties Kent County DHHS BSC3 L. Karadsheh MOAHR
<u>Via-First Class Mail :</u>	Petitioner
	. MI