GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: October 18, 2022
MOAHR Docket No.: 22-004217
Agency No.:
Petitioner:

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ellen McLemore

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 17, 2022, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner was present and represented himself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Valarie Foley, Hearing Facilitator and Jekhaila Crosby, Eligibility Specialist.

#### ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing FAP recipient.
- 2. On July 22, 2022, Petitioner completed a redetermination related to his FAP benefit case (Exhibit A, pp. 21-25).
- 3. Petitioner's household consisted solely of himself.
- 4. Petitioner received income in the form of Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits in the gross amount of **Security** per month (Exhibit A, pp. 16-18) and State SSI Payment (SSP) benefits in the gross amount of **Security** per month.

- 5. On August 15, 2022, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action informing him that he was eligible for FAP benefits in the amount of \$ per month effective September 1, 2022, ongoing (Exhibit A, pp. 7-10).
- 6. On **Department's**, 2022, Petitioner submitted a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

In this case, Petitioner completed a redetermination related to his FAP benefit case. As a result, the Department reviewed Petitioner's eligibility. During the redetermination process, Petitioner reported that he no longer has any utility expenses. As a result, Petitioner's FAP benefit amount decreased. The Department presented a FAP budget to establish the calculation of Petitioner's FAP benefit amount (Exhibit A, pp. 19-20).

All countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining a client's eligibility for program benefits and group composition policies specify whose income is countable. BEM 500 (July 2017), pp. 1–5. For FAP benefit cases, the Department includes the gross amount of current Social Security Administration (SSA)-issued SSI as unearned income. BEM 503 (January 2020), p. 34. Whenever an SSA-issued independent living or household of another payment is budgeted, the Department will include the monthly SSP payment amount as unearned income. BEM 503, p. 35.

Per the budget provided, the Department included **S** in unearned income. The Department presented Petitioner's State Online Query (SOLQ) report showing Petitioner's receives **S** in gross SSI benefits per month. Petitioner confirmed that figure was correct. Petitioner also conceded that he receives SSP benefits in the amount of per month. Therefore, the Department correctly determined Petitioner's household income.

The deductions to income on the net income budget were also reviewed. There was evidence presented that the Petitioner's group includes a senior/disabled/veteran (SDV). BEM 550. Thus, the group is eligible for the following deductions to income:

- Dependent care expense.
- Excess shelter.
- Court ordered child support and arrearages paid to non-household members.
- Standard deduction based on group size.
- Medical deduction.

BEM 554 (January 2020), p. 1; BEM 556 (January 2020), p. 3.

Petitioner's FAP benefit group size of one justifies a standard deduction of \$255 (January 2020), p. 1. There was no evidence presented that Petitioner had any outof-pocket dependent care, child support expenses or out-of-pocket medical expenses. Therefore, the budget properly excluded any deduction for dependent care, child support or medical expenses.

In calculating the excess shelter deduction of the Department stated that it considered Petitioner's verified housing expense of and that he was entitled to the telephone standard of **S**. BEM 554, pp. 14-15. The Department testified when calculating Petitioner's excess shelter amount, they added the total shelter amount and subtracted 50% of the adjusted gross income, which resulted in a deficit. Therefore, the Department correctly determined Petitioner was not entitled to an excess shelter deduction.

At the hearing, Petitioner conceded that he does not have any utility expenses. Petitioner testified that he does have additional expenses including laundry, plastic bags, transportation and a portable heater. FAP allowable expenses includes: (i) basic shelter expenses such as rent, mortgage, a second mortgage, home equity loan, required condo or maintenance fees, lot rental or other payments including interest leading to ownership of the shelter occupied by the FAP group, property taxes, state and local assessments and insurance on the structure, and home repairs of a home which was substantially damaged or destroyed due to a natural disaster; (ii) the h/u standard or individual utility standards; (iii) utility installation fees charged by the utility provider, excluding deposits; and (iv) well/septic installation and maintenance. BEM 554, pp. 13-25. Petitioner did not report any additional expenses that are allowed as deductions by policy. Therefore, the Department correctly determined Petitioner's excess shelter deduction.

The FAP benefit group's net income is determined by taking the group's adjusted gross income and subtracting the allowable excess shelter expense. After subtracting the allowable deductions, the Department properly determined Petitioner's adjusted gross income to be \$\_\_\_\_\_. As Petitioner was not entitled to an excess shelter deduction, his net income is also \$\_\_\_\_\_. A chart listed in RFT 260 is used to determine the proper FAP benefit issuance based on the net income and group size. Based on Petitioner's net

income and group size, Petitioner's FAP benefit issuance is **See** Therefore, the Department properly calculated Petitioner's FAP benefit amount.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined Petitioner's FAP benefit eligibility. Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

an non

EM/tm

Ellen McLemore Administrative Law Judge

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

### Via-Electronic Mail :

DHHS

Susan Noel Wayne-Inkster-DHHS 26355 Michigan Ave Inkster, MI 48141 **MDHHS-Wayne-19-**Hearings@michigan.gov

**Interested Parties** 

M. Holden D. Sweeney BSC4 MOAHR

Via-First Class Mail :

Petitioner

