



GRETCHEN WHITMER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] MI [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: September 13, 2022  
MOAHR Docket No.: 22-003485  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain**

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 7, 2022, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner [REDACTED]. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department or Respondent) was represented by Julie Barr, Recoupment Specialist.

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly propose to recoup overissued Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner was FAP recipient.
2. The Department budgeted Petitioner's home insurance as monthly instead of yearly.
3. This error in Petitioner's FAP budget resulted in an overissuance of FAP benefits due to agency error.
4. On July 15, 2022, the Department sent Petitioner notice that he had been overissued \$ [REDACTED] (May 2018-April 2019) in FAP benefits which the Department must recoup.

5. On July 25, 2022, Petitioner filed a request for hearing with the local Department office.
6. On August 5, 2022, the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules received a request for hearing to contest the negative action.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

Pertinent Department policy dictates:

When a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the over issuance. BAM 700, p 1 (1/1/2016).

Recoupment is a MDHHS action to identify and recover a benefit over issuance. A recoupment specialist (RS) is the specialist assigned to process over issuances and act as liaison with OIG, reconciliation and recoupment section (RRS), and other personnel involved with recoupment and collections. BAM 700 page 2

An agency error is caused by incorrect action (including delayed or no action) by MDHHS staff or Department processes. Some examples are:

- Available information was not used or was used incorrectly.
- Policy was misapplied.
- Action by local or central office staff was delayed.

- Computer errors occurred.
- Information was not shared between Department divisions such as services staff.
- Data exchange reports were not acted upon timely (wage match, new hires, BENDEX, etc.).

If unable to identify the type, record it as an agency error. FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP Agency errors are not pursued if the estimated amount is less than \$250 per program. BEM 700, page 5

A client error occurs when the client received more benefits than they were entitled to because the client gave incorrect or incomplete information to the Department. A client error also exists when the client's timely request for a hearing result in deletion of a MDHHS action, and any of the following occurred:

- The hearing request is later withdrawn.
- MAHS denies the hearing request.
- The client or administrative hearing representative fails to appear for the hearing and MAHS gives MDHHS written instructions to proceed.
- The hearing decision upholds the Department's actions; see BAM 600. BAM 700 page 7

When a potential over issuance is discovered the following actions must be taken:

1. Immediately correct the current benefits; see BAM 220, Case Actions, for change processing requirements.
2. Obtain initial evidence that an over issuance potentially exists.
3. Determine if it was caused by Department, provider or client actions.
4. Refer any over issuances needing referral to the RS within 60 days of suspecting one exists.

**Exception:** Office of Quality Assurance (OQA) discovered over issuances must be referred to the RS within 7 days of receipt of the OQA findings. OQA has already verified one exists. FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP Within 60 days of suspecting an over issuance exists, complete a DHS-4701, Over issuance Referral, and refer the following over issuances to the RS for your office:

- All client and agency errors over \$250.
- All suspected IPV errors.
- All CDC provider errors BAM 700 page 10

In this case, Petitioner did receive notice that the Department committed an error when it calculated his FAP benefits from May 2018-April 2019. Petitioner was given a higher shelter expense than he was entitled to because they counted Petitioner's home insurance as a monthly expense rather than a yearly expense.

Petitioner testified that he should not have to pay this amount back as it creates a hardship for his family. Petitioner indicated that this is not fair that he be penalized for the Department's error. Petitioner's argument is a compelling equitable argument to be excluded from Department policy. This Administrative Law Judge has no equity powers and cannot make a decision that is in contravention of Department policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department finds that the Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Petitioner has been overissued FAP benefits in the amount of \$[REDACTED] based upon Department error, which must be recouped. Even as the error was made by the agency, the Department is compelled by Department policy to recoup any benefits in excess of the amount of \$250. The Department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**. The Department is **ORDERED** to initiate the Recoupment process in accordance with Department policy within ten days of receipt of this Decision and Order.

LL/ml



Landis Lain  
Administrative Law Judge

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**Via Electronic Mail :**

**DHHS**

Rob Villas  
Menominee County DHHS  
2612 10th Street  
Menominee, MI 49858  
**MDHHS-UPSChearings@Michigan.gov**

**DHHS Department Rep.**

Overpayment Research and Verification (ORV)  
235 S Grand Ave  
Lansing, MI 48909  
**MDHHS-RECOUPMENT-  
HEARINGS@Michigan.gov**

**Interested Parties**

BSC1  
M. Holden  
D. Sweeney  
MOAHR

**Via First Class Mail :**

**Petitioner**

██  
██  
████████████████████ MI ███