GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR State of Michigan DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: February 9, 2022 MOAHR Docket No.: 21-003278-RECON Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab A. Baydoun

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR REHEARING AND/OR RECONSIDERATION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) pursuant to the 2021, request for rehearing and/or reconsideration, by Petitioner of the Hearing Decision issued by the undersigned at the conclusion of the hearing conducted on 2021, and mailed on 2021, in the above-captioned matter.

The rehearing and reconsideration process is governed by the Michigan Administrative Code, Rule 792.11015, *et seq.*, and applicable policy provisions articulated in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), specifically BAM 600, which provide that a rehearing or reconsideration must be filed in a timely manner consistent with the statutory requirements of the particular program that is the basis for the client's benefits application or services at issue and may be granted so long as the reasons for which the request is made comply with the policy and statutory requirements. MCL 24.287 also provides a statutory basis for a rehearing of an administrative hearing.

A rehearing is a full hearing which may be granted if either of the following applies:

- The original hearing record is inadequate for purposes of judicial review; or
- There is newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision. [BAM 600 (March 2021), p. 44.]

A reconsideration is a paper review of the facts, law or legal arguments and any newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the hearing. It may be granted when the original hearing record is adequate for purposes of judicial review and a rehearing is not necessary, but one of the parties is able to demonstrate that the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) failed to accurately address all the relevant issues raised in the hearing request. BAM 600, pp. 44-45.

Reconsiderations may be granted if requested for one of the following reasons:

- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision, which led to the wrong decision;
- Typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the petitioner; or
- Failure of the Administrative Law Judge to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision. (BAM 600, p. 45.)

A request for reconsideration which presents the same issues previously ruled on, either expressly or by reasonable implication, shall not be granted. Mich Admin Code, R 792.10135.

In the instant case, Petitioner requested a hearing disputing the closure of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) case effective 2021. Petitioner also raised concerns at the hearing regarding not receiving her FAP benefits for the months of 2021 and 2021. The undersigned issued a Hearing Decision in the above captioned matter affirming the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) actions, as the Department presented sufficient evidence that Petitioner failed to timely return a New Hire Client Notice (New Hire) regarding her employment at 2021, due date. It was further established through a Benefit Summary Inquiry that Petitioner received her FAP benefits for the months of 2021 and 2021, and further that she received the maximum amount of benefits based on a household size of one, with the additional supplements.

In Petitioner's request for rehearing and/or reconsideration, Petitioner presents similar arguments to those offered during the administrative hearing with respect to being the victim of identity theft. Petitioner indicates that her FAP benefits, as well as her health insurance have been compromised. It is noted that Petitioner's initial hearing request did not address her health insurance benefits as at the time, only the FAP was at issue. Petitioner's rehearing and/or reconsideration request further indicates that she would like the opportunity to give details about her case; however, upon review, the arguments identified in Petitioner's request for rehearing and/or reconsideration were already considered by the undersigned ALJ prior to the issuance of the Hearing Decision. No additional documentation was presented with Petitioner's request for rehearing and/or reconsideration.

Petitioner does not allege that the original hearing record is inadequate for judicial review or that there is newly discovered evidence (or evidence that could not have been discovered at the time of the hearing had a reasonable effort been made to do so). Therefore, Petitioner has failed to establish a basis for a rehearing.

Furthermore, a full review of Petitioner's request fails to demonstrate that the undersigned misapplied manual policy or law in the Hearing Decision; committed typographical, mathematical, or other obvious errors in the Hearing Decision that affected Petitioner's substantial rights; or failed to address other relevant issues in the

Page 3 of 3 21-003278-RECON

Hearing Decision. Therefore, Petitioner has not established an adequate basis for reconsideration. Instead of articulating a basis for rehearing and/or reconsideration, Petitioner is generally challenging the decision in an attempt to relitigate the hearing, as all arguments raised by Petitioner in her request were considered by the undersigned during the administrative hearing and referenced in the Hearing Decision. Mere disagreement with the Hearing Decision does not warrant a rehearing and/or reconsideration of this matter.

Accordingly, the request for rehearing and/or reconsideration is **DENIED** this matter is hereby **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

amab Kardow

Źàinab A. Baydoun Administrative Law Judge

ZB/tlf

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules.

Email Recipients:

First-Class Mail Recipient:

MDHHS-Wayne-57-Hearings MOAHR

