



GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS
DIRECTOR

██████████
██████████
██████████, MI ██████████

Date Mailed: June 14, 2021
MOAHR Docket No.: 21-002019
Agency No.: ██████████
Petitioner: ██████████

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven Kibit

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, and upon Petitioner's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 26, 2021. ██████████, Petitioner's friend, appeared and testified on Petitioner's behalf. Petitioner's sons, ██████████ and ██████████, also testified as witnesses for Petitioner. Sandra Landskroener, Intake Specialist, appeared and testified on behalf of Respondent, The Senior Alliance.

During the hearing, Petitioner's Request for Hearing was entered into the record as Exhibit #1, pages 1-2. Respondent also submitted an evidence packet that was admitted into the record as Exhibit A, pages 1-25.

ISSUE

Did the Respondent properly deny Petitioner's request for services?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Respondent is a contract agent of the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) and is responsible for waiver eligibility determinations and the provision of MI Choice waiver services in its service area.
2. On March 1, 2021, Respondent completed a telephone intake with respect to an application for Petitioner for waiver services through Respondent. (Exhibit A, pages 3-11).

3. During the intake assessment, Respondent determined that Petitioner did not qualify for the waiver program after being scored as a Level B. (Exhibit A, page 9).
4. That same day, Respondent sent Petitioner written notice that her request for services had been denied. (Exhibit A, page 12).
5. On April 28, 2021, the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules received the request for hearing filed in this matter with respect to the denial of Petitioner's request for services. (Exhibit #1, pages 1-2).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations. It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Petitioner is seeking services through the Department's Home and Community Based Services for Elderly and Disabled. The waiver is called MI Choice in Michigan. The program is funded through the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (formerly HCFA) to the Department. Regional agencies, in this case Respondent, function as the Department's administrative agency.

Waivers are intended to provide the flexibility needed to enable States to try new or different approaches to the efficient and cost-effective delivery of health care services, or to adapt their programs to the special needs of particular areas or groups of recipients. Waivers allow exceptions to State plan requirements and permit a State to implement innovative programs or activities on a time-limited basis, and subject to specific safeguards for the protection of recipients and the program. Detailed rules for waivers are set forth in subpart B of part 431, subpart A of part 440 and subpart G of part 441 of this chapter.

42 CFR 430.25(b)

The Medicaid Provider Manual (MPM) outlines the approved evaluation process for the waiver program and, in part, it states:

3.2 MI CHOICE INTAKE GUIDELINES

The MI Choice Intake Guidelines is a list of questions designed to screen applicants for eligibility and further

assessment. Additional probative questions are permissible when needed to clarify eligibility. The MI Choice Intake Guidelines does not, in itself, establish program eligibility. A properly completed MI Choice Intake Guidelines is mandatory for MI Choice waiver agencies prior to placing applicants on a MI Choice waiting list when the waiver agency is operating at its capacity. Individuals who score as Level C, Level D, Level D1 or Level E are those applicants determined potentially eligible for program enrollment and will be placed on the waiver agency's MI Choice waiting list. The date of the MI Choice Intake Guidelines contact establishes the chronological placement of the applicant on the waiting list. The MI Choice Intake Guidelines may be found on the MDHHS website. (Refer to the Directory Appendix for website information.)

When the waiver agency is at capacity, applicants requesting enrollment in MI Choice must either be screened by telephone or in person using the MI Choice Intake Guidelines at the time of their request for proper placement on the waiting list. If a caller is seeking services for another individual, the waiver agency will either contact the applicant for whom services are being requested or complete the MI Choice Intake Guidelines to the extent possible using information known to the caller. For applicants who are deaf, hearing impaired, or otherwise unable to participate in a telephone interview, the waiver agency must use the applicant's preferred means of communication. It is acceptable to use an interpreter, a third-party in the interview, or assistive technology to facilitate the exchange of information.

As a rule, nursing facility residents who are seeking to transition into MI Choice are not contacted by telephone but rather are interviewed in the nursing facility. For the purposes of establishing a point of reference for the waiting list, the date of the initial nursing facility visit (introductory interview) shall be considered the same as conducting a MI Choice Intake Guidelines, so long as the functional objectives of the MI Choice Intake Guidelines are met. (Refer to the Waiting Lists subsection for additional information.) Specifically, the introductory meeting must establish a reasonable expectation that the applicant will meet the functional and financial eligibility requirements of the MI Choice program within the next 60 days.

Applicants who are expected to be ineligible based on MI Choice Intake Guidelines information may request a face-to-face evaluation using the Michigan Medicaid Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination and financial eligibility criteria. Such evaluations should be conducted as soon as possible, but must be done within 10 business days of the date the MI Choice Intake Guidelines was administered. MI Choice waiver agencies must issue an adverse action notice advising applicants of any and all appeal rights when the applicant appears ineligible either through the MI Choice Intake Guidelines or a face-to-face evaluation.

When an applicant appears to be functionally eligible based on the MI Choice Intake Guidelines but is not expected to meet the financial eligibility requirements, the MI Choice waiver agency must place the applicant on the waiting list if it is anticipated that the applicant will become financially eligible within 60 days.

The MI Choice Intake Guidelines is the only recognized tool accepted for telephonic screening of MI Choice applicants and is only accessible to MI Choice waiver agencies. It is not intended to be used for any other purpose within the MI Choice program, nor any other Medicaid program. MI Choice waiver agencies must collect MI Choice Intake Guidelines data electronically using software through the MDHHS contracted vendor.

*MPM, January 1, 2021 version
MI Choice Waiver Chapter, page 6
(underline added for emphasis)*

Here, Respondent denied Petitioner's request for services pursuant to the above policies and on the basis that Respondent is currently operating at capacity and Petitioner did not score at score as Level C, Level D, Level D1 or Level E when Respondent conducted the MI Choice Intake Guidelines.

In appealing that decision, Petitioner bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent erred. Moreover, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge is limited to reviewing Respondent's decision in light of the information that was available at the time the decision was made.

Given the available information and applicable policies in this case, Petitioner has failed to meet that burden of proof and Respondent's decision must be affirmed.

Petitioner's witnesses did generally report that Petitioner needs help, but they did not dispute that Respondent accurately described Petitioner's abilities and limitations in the intake screening and based on that screening and Petitioner's subsequent scoring as a Level B, Petitioner did not meet the eligibility criteria for the waiver program.

Moreover, while the parties discussed Respondent's subsequent attempts to contact Petitioner and have a pre-screen appointment scheduled, and one of Petitioner's son testified as to why those attempts were unsuccessful, that issue is beyond the scope of this proceeding as the undersigned Administrative Law Judge is limited to just reviewing the denial at issue.

Similarly, while Petitioner's witnesses discussed Petitioner's previous placement on Respondent's waitlist and Petitioner's attempts to become Medicaid eligible, Petitioner was removed from the waitlist in October of 2020 and the deadline for appealing that removal has passed and is beyond the scope of this proceeding.


As discussed during the hearing, Petitioner and her representatives are free to contact Respondent again and try to set up such a prescreening appointment or have another intake completed. However, with respect to the denial at issue in this case, Respondent's decision must be affirmed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Respondent properly denied Petitioner's request for services.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that

The Respondent's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



SK/sb

Steven Kibit
Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30763
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS Department Rep.

Heather Hill
400 S. Pine 5th Floor
Lansing, MI
48933
Hillh3@michigan.gov

Authorized Hearing Rep.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED], MI
[REDACTED]

Petitioner

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED], MI
[REDACTED]

DHHS -Dept Contact

Brian Barrie
CCC 7th Floor
Lansing, MI
48919
barrieb@michigan.gov

DHHS -Dept Contact

Elizabeth Gallagher
400 S. Pine 5th Floor
Lansing, MI
48909
gallagher@michigan.gov

Community Health Rep

Gail Wejrowski
The Senior Alliance
5454 Venoy Road
Wayne, MI
48184
GWejrowski@thesenioralliance.org

Authorized Hearing Rep.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED], MI
[REDACTED]