GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR State of Michigan DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: March 8, 2021	
MOAHR Docket No.: 20-007062	2
Agency No.:	
Petitioner: OI <u>G</u>	
Respondent:	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and R 400.3178. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on February 9, 2021. The Department was represented by James Linaras, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Respondent represented herself.

ISSUES

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?
- 2. Did the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?
- 3. Should Respondent be disqualified from the Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On an application for assistance dated 2017, Respondent acknowledged her duties and responsibilities including the duty to report changes to persons in the home. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Exhibit A, pp 11-49.
- 2. Respondent acknowledged under penalties of perjury that her 2017, application form was examined by or read to her, and, to the best of her knowledge, contained facts that were true and complete. Exhibit A, p 31.

- 3. Respondent reported on her 2017, application for assistance that she was part of a household of four people including Child A, who was born on April 30, 2011. Exhibit A, pp 14-17.
- 4. On an application for assistance dated 2018, Respondent acknowledged her duties and responsibilities including the duty to report changes to persons in the home. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Exhibit A, pp 50-91.
- 5. Respondent acknowledged under penalties of perjury that her 2018 application form was examined by or read to her, and, to the best of her knowledge, contained facts that were true and complete. Exhibit A, pp 72-73.
- 6. Respondent reported on her **Exercise**, 2018, application for assistance that she was part of a household of four people including Child A, who was born on April 30, 2011. Exhibit A, pp 53-56.
- 7. On **2018**, an application for assistance was filed with the state of Ohio requesting benefits for Respondent's son, Child A. Exhibit A, p 98.
- 8. Respondent's son, Child A, was enrolled in an Ohio elementary school from September 28, 2017, through May 24, 2018. Exhibit A, p 111.
- 9. Respondent's son, Child A, was enrolled in an Ohio elementary school from August 21, 2018, through November 30, 2018. Exhibit A, p 111.
- 10. Respondent's son, Child A, was part of an Ohio household receiving food benefits issued by the state of Ohio from March 27, 2018, through August 31, 2018. Exhibit A, p 98.
- 11. Respondent's son, Child A, was an active Medicaid recipient in Ohio from March 1, 2018, through September 30, 2019. Exhibit A, p 98.
- 12. On 2018, another application for assistance was filed with the state of Ohio requesting benefits for Respondent's son, Child 1. Exhibit A, p 106.
- 13. Respondent received Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits totaling \$8,075 from November 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018. Exhibit A, pp 92-95.
- 14. The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on to establish that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV). Exhibit A, p 3.
- 15. On August 20, 2020, the Department sent Respondent an Intentional Program Violation Repayment Agreement (DHS-4350) with notice of a \$1,794 overpayment. Exhibit A, pp 133-135.

- 16. On August 20, 2020, the Department sent Respondent a Request for Waiver of Disqualification Hearing (DHS-826). Exhibit A, pp 6-7.
- 17. This was Respondent's first established IPV.
- 18.A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) is funded under the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 through 7 USC 2036a. It is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 of the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1 *et seq*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through 400.3011.

The Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

- FAP trafficking OIs that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and
 - the total OI amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs is \$500 or more, or
 - the total OI amount is less than \$500, and
 - ➢ the group has a previous IPV, or
 - > the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
 - the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or
 - the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 720 (October 1, 2017), pp 12-13.

Overissuance

When a client group receives more benefits than it is entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 700 (October 1, 2018), p 1.

Clients must report changes in circumstance that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount within 10 days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. Changes that must be reported include changes to persons in the home. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (July 1, 2020), p 12. The Department will act on a change reported by means other than a tape match within 15 workdays after becoming aware of the change, except that the Department will act on a change other than a tape match within 10 days of becoming aware of the change. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 220 (January 1, 2020), p 7. A pended negative action occurs when a negative action requires timely notice based on the eligibility rules in this item. Timely notice means that the action taken by the department is effective at least 12 calendar days following the date of the department's action. BAM 220, p 12.

On applications for assistance dated **2017**, and **2018**, Respondent acknowledged the duty to report changes to persons in her home. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Respondent acknowledged under penalties of perjury that her application forms were examined by or read to her, and, to the best of her knowledge, contained facts that were true and complete. Respondent reported to the Department that she was part of a household of four people including Child A.

The hearing record supports a finding that Child 1 was enrolled in an Ohio elementary school from September 28, 2017, through May 24, 2018, and from August 21, 2018, through November 30, 2018.

On 2018, and 2018, applications for food assistance including Child A in the recipient's household. Child A was an active recipient of food assistance and Medicaid in Ohio form March 27, 2018, through September 30, 2019.

If Respondent had reported that Child A was not living in her household but was living and attending an elementary school in Ohio as of September 29, 2017, then the Department would have redetermined her eligibility for ongoing FAP benefits by the benefit period after October 30, 2017.

Respondent received FAP benefits totaling \$8,075 as a household of four people, including Child A, from November 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018. If Respondent had reported that Child A was not living in her household, but had moved to Ohio, then she would have been eligible for FAP benefits totaling \$6,281 during that same period. Therefore, Respondent received a \$1,794 overissuance of FAP benefits.

Intentional Program Violation

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing, or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p. 1; see also 7 CFR 273.16(e)(6).

The Department has the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV). The clear and convincing evidence standard, which is the most demanding standard applied in civil cases, is established where there is evidence so clear, direct, and weighty and convincing that a conclusion can be drawn without hesitancy of the truth of the precise facts in issue. Smith v Anonymous Joint Enterprise, 487 Mich 102; 793 NW2d 533 (2010), reh den 488 Mich 860; 793 NW2d 559 (2010).

Clear and convincing proof is that which produces in the mind of the trier of fact a firm belief or conviction as to the truth of the precise facts in issue. Evidence may be uncontroverted and yet not be clear and convincing. Conversely, evidence may be clear and convincing even if contradicted. Id.

Respondent acknowledged the duties and responsibilities of receiving FAP benefits on applications for assistance dated 2017, and 2018. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.

Respondent received FAP benefits as a household of four people including child A from November 1, 2017, a through November 30, 2018. During this period, Child A was living in Ohio, which was established by records the child's school attendance in an Ohio elementary school, and the child's receipt of food and Medicaid assistance issued by the state of Ohio. As a result of Respondent failure to report that Child A was no longer livening in her Michigan household where she remained an active recipient of Michigan FAP benefits as a household of four, Respondent received FAP benefits that she was not eligible for.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department has presented clear and convincing evidence that Respondent intentionally failed to report that Child A was not living in her household for the purposes of maintaining her eligibility for Michigan FAP benefits that she would not have been eligible for otherwise.

Disqualification

A court or hearing decision that finds a client committed IPV disqualifies that client from receiving program benefits. BAM 720, p. 15-16. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group if the disqualified person lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720, p. 16.

Clients who commit an IPV are disqualified for a standard disqualification period except when a court orders a different period, or except when the OI relates to MA. BAM 720, p. 13. Refusal to repay will not cause denial of current or future MA if the client is otherwise eligible. BAM 710 (January 1, 2018), p. 2. Clients are disqualified for periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, lifetime disqualification for the third IPV, and ten years for a FAP concurrent receipt of benefits. BAM 720, p. 16.

The record evidence indicates that this is Respondent's first established IPV violation.

The Department has established an Intentional Program Violation (IPV).

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

- 1. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent did receive an OI of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in the amount of \$1,794.
- 3. The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment procedures for \$1,794 in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. It is FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be disqualified from the Food Assistance Program (FAP) for a period of 12 months.

KS/nr

Administrative Law Judge for Elizabeth Hertel, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Petitioner	OIG- via electronic mail PO Box 30062 Lansing, MI 48909-7562
	Wayne 17 County DHHS- via electronic mail
	MDHHS- Recoupment- via electronic mail
	L. Bengel- via electronic mail
DHHS	Tara Roland 82-17 8655 Greenfield Detroit, MI 48228
Respondent	via first class mail MI