



GRETCHEN WHITMER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: March 15, 2021  
MOAHR Docket No.: 20-006697  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: OIG  
Respondent: [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Amanda M. T. Marler**

**HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION**

The Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS or the Department) requested a hearing alleging that Respondent [REDACTED] committed an intentional program violation (IPV). Pursuant to MDHHS' request and in accordance with MCL 400.9, 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and R 400.3178, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge. After due notice, a hearing was held via telephone conference on March 11, 2021.

Nicole Heinz-Hosking, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), represented MDHHS.

Respondent did not appear at the hearing, and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e)(4); Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130(5); or Mich Admin Code, R 400.3178(5).

**ISSUES**

1. Did MDHHS establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an IPV concerning Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?
2. Should Respondent be disqualified from receiving benefits for FAP?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED] 2019, the Department received Respondent's Application for FAP benefits listing herself and two children with \$0.00 household income.

2. On [REDACTED] 2019, Respondent and the Department completed an Application Interview during which Respondent informed the Department that she had no income and the Department advised her of her program rights and responsibilities including the obligation to report changes within ten days.
3. On the same day, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action to Respondent informing her that she was eligible for FAP benefits for a group size of three with \$0.00 income and reminded her of the responsibility to report changes in household circumstances to the Department within ten days.
4. A Change Report was mailed with the Notice of Case Action to Respondent to facilitate the future reporting of changes in circumstances.
5. On May 16, 2019, Respondent began employment with [REDACTED] (Employer).
6. On May 23, 2019, Respondent received her first paycheck from Employer.
7. Respondent continued to receive a weekly paycheck from Employer through November 7, 2019.
8. Respondent never reported her employment to the Department.
9. From July 2019 through November 2019, the Department issued \$2,533.00 in FAP benefits to Respondent for a group size of three with no income.
10. On August 29, 2020, the Department established a claim for overissued FAP benefits in the amount of \$2,140.00 for the period July 2019 through November 2019.
11. Respondent does not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to accurately report changes in household circumstances to the Department.
12. Respondent has no prior FAP IPV disqualifications.
13. On September 23, 2020, MDHHS' OIG filed a hearing request alleging that Respondent intentionally concealed her employment income and as a result received FAP benefits from July 2019 through November 2019 (fraud period) that Respondent was ineligible to receive. OIG requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for a period of 12 months due to committing an IPV.
14. A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the United States Postal Services as undeliverable.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

MDHHS policies are contained in the MDHHS Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is funded under the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 7 USC 2036a. It is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. MDHHS administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 of the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1 *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to R 400.3031.

### **Intentional Program Violation**

An IPV occurs when a recipient of MDHHS benefits intentionally made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed, or withheld facts. 7 CFR 273.16(c)(1). Effective October 1, 2014, MDHHS's OIG requests IPV hearings for cases where (1) the total repayment amount sought from Respondent for all programs combined is \$500 or more or (2) the total repayment amount sought from Respondent for all programs combined is less than \$500 but the group has a previous IPV, the matter involves concurrent receipt of assistance, the IPV involves FAP trafficking, or the alleged fraud is committed by a state government employee. BAM 720 (October 2017), pp. 12-13.

To establish an IPV, MDHHS must present clear and convincing evidence that the household member committed, and intended to commit, the IPV. 7 CFR 273.16(e)(6); BAM 720, p. 1. Clear and convincing evidence is evidence sufficient to result in "a firm belief or conviction as to the truth of the precise facts in issue." *Smith v Anonymous Joint Enterprise*, 487 Mich 102, 114-115; 793 NW2d 533 (2010); see also M Civ JI 8.01. Evidence may be uncontroverted and yet not be clear and convincing; conversely, evidence may be clear and convincing despite the fact that it has been contradicted. *Smith* at 115. The clear and convincing standard is "the most demanding standard applied in civil cases." *In re Martin*, 450 Mich 204, 227; 538 NW2d 399 (1995). For an IPV based on inaccurate reporting, MDHHS policy also requires that the individual have been clearly and correctly instructed regarding the reporting responsibilities and have no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits the ability to understand or fulfill these reporting responsibilities. BAM 720, p. 1.

In this case, MDHHS alleges that Respondent committed an IPV based on her failure to report employment income. Earned income received by the client is considered in the calculation of a client's FAP eligibility and amount of benefits. BEM 500 (July 2017); BEM 556 (July 2013), pp. 2-3; 7 CFR 273.9(a). FAP recipients who are not simplified reporters are required to report starting or stopping employment and changes in circumstance that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount within ten days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. BAM 105 (January 2019), p. 12; 7 CFR 273.10(b)(1)(i).

Respondent was informed of her obligation to report changes in household circumstances to the Department at her Application Interview on [REDACTED] 2019. She was reminded via the Notice of Case Action and the accompanying Change Report. Thirty-one days after Respondent completed the interview and the Notice of Case Action was issued, she began employment. One week after the start of her employment, she received her first paycheck. She continued receiving a weekly paycheck from Employer through November 7, 2019. Despite the proximity in time to the interview and Notice of Case Action and the length in time she received earned income while also receiving FAP benefits based upon no income, Respondent never reported the income. On August 19, 2020, the Department established a debt for overissued FAP benefits in the amount of \$2,140.00 for the period July 2019 through November 2019. Respondent's actions show that she was intentionally concealing her employment income in order to maintain her FAP benefits. Therefore, MDHHS has presented clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.

### **IPV Disqualification**

An individual who is found pursuant to an IPV disqualification hearing to have committed a FAP IPV is disqualified from receiving benefits for the same program for 12 months for the first IPV, 24 months for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV. 7 CFR 273.16(b)(1); BAM 720, p. 16. As discussed above, MDHHS has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV. There was evidence of no prior IPV's by Respondent. This was Respondent's first IPV for FAP; therefore, Respondent is subject to a 12-month disqualification from receipt of FAP benefits.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

1. MDHHS has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
2. Respondent is subject to a 12-month disqualification from FAP.

**IT IS ORDERED** that Respondent be personally disqualified from FAP for a period of 12 months.

AMTM/cc



---

**Amanda M. T. Marler**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Elizabeth Hertel, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**Via Email:**

MDHHS-Jackson-Hearings  
MDHHS-OIG-Hearings  
Policy-Recoupment  
L. Bengel  
MOAHR

**Respondent- Via USPS:**

