



GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED], MI [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: June 16, 2020
MOAHR Docket No.: 20-001973
Agency No.: [REDACTED]
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 10, 2020, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner was represented by Petitioner John Elder. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department or Respondent) was represented by Matthew Griffin, Eligibility Specialist.

Respondent's Exhibits 1-10 were admitted as evidence.

Did the Department properly determine that Petitioner had excess income for Medical Assistance benefit eligibility and a deductible spend-down?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner was an Ad-Care Medical Assistance benefit recipient.
2. On December 4, 2019, Petitioner's case was scheduled for a medical review.
3. Petitioner's children have a separate Medical Assistance determination.
4. On December 4, 2019, after redetermination Petitioner's case was moved from Ad-Care full Medical Assistance to Group 2 spend-down after a medical case determination was completed budgeting RSDI social security income for Petitioner which resulted in a Medicaid Deductible.

5. On December 13, 2019, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice which indicated that Petitioner would be eligible to receive Medical Assistance with a monthly deductible of \$525 and the department also cancelled Petitioner's Medicare cost sharing program.
6. On February 28, 2020, Petitioner filed a request for hearing to contest the Department's negative action.
7. On March 13, 2020, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System received the Hearing summary and attached documents from the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Michigan provides MA eligible clients under two general classifications: group 1 and group 2 MA. Petitioner qualified under the group 2 MA classification which consists of clients whose eligibility results from the state designating certain types of individuals as medically needy. PEM 105. In order to qualify for group 2 MA, a medically needy client must have income as equal to or less than the basic protected monthly income level.

Department policy sets forth a method for determining the basic maintenance level by considering:

1. Protected income level.

2. The amount deferred to dependent.
3. Health insurance premiums
4. Remedial services if determining the eligibility for claimants in Adult Care Homes.

If Petitioner's income exceeds the protect income level, the excess income must be used to pay medical expenses before group 2 MA coverage can begin. This process is known as a spend-down. The policy requires the Department to count and budget all income received that is not specifically excluded. There are 3 main types of income: countable earned, countable unearned, and excluded. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for remuneration or profit. Unearned income is any income that is not earned. The amount of income counted maybe more than the amount a person actually receives, because it is the amount before deductions are taken including the deductions for taxes and garnishments. The amount before any deductions are taken is called a gross amount. BEM, item 500, p. 1.

In the instant case, the Department calculated Petitioner's income based upon receipt of unearned income form Social Security Disability.

Federal regulations at 42 CFR 435.831 provides standards for the determination of the MA monthly protected income level. The department is in compliance with the program reference manual, tables, charts, schedules, table 240-1.

On January 11, 2019, the Department generated a Medical Assistance budget and determined that Petitioner had a gross monthly income of \$2,648.00 (Petitioner's Social Security of \$833.00 + Petitioner's husband's Social Security of \$1,815.00).

Petitioner's gross monthly income was determined to be \$ [REDACTED] Petitioner was given the \$20.00 unearned income general exclusion and an unearned income allocation of \$ [REDACTED] for SSI related children for net income of \$ [REDACTED] The protected income limit for a one-person household in Petitioner's circumstances is \$578.00; \$ [REDACTED] - \$578.00 = \$525.00 which left Petitioner with a deductible of \$525 per month in Medicaid deductible spend down. Petitioner's income has changed after January 31, 2020, because of cost of living increase in Social Security income which may change the deductible spend down in the future.

Deductible spend-down is a process which allows the customer's excess income to be eligible for group 2 MA if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. BEM, item 545, p. 1. Meeting the deductible spend-down means reporting and verifying allowable medical expenses that equal or exceed the spend-down amount for the calendar month tested. BEM, item 545, p. 9. The group must report expenses on the last day of the third month following the month it wants MA coverage for. BEM, Item 130 explains verification and timeliness standards. BEM, Item 545, p. 9.

The Department's determination that Petitioner has deductible spend-down in the amount of \$525.00 per month is correct based upon the information contained in the file.

Petitioner's allegation of the spend-down is too expensive and unfair because of other expenses is a compelling equitable argument to be excused for the Department's program policy requirements. This Administrative Law Judge has no equity powers. A review of Petitioner's case reveals that the Department budgeted the correct amount of income earned by Petitioner. Petitioner's protected income level and amounts are set by Medicaid policy and cannot be changed by the Department or this Administrative Law Judge.

Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds the Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it acted in accordance with department policy when determined Petitioner has excess income for purposes of Medical Assistance benefit eligibility and when it determined that Petitioner has a monthly \$525.00 deductible spend-down that Petitioner must meet in order to qualify for Medicaid for any medical expenses. The Department's action must be upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

LL/hb



Landis Lain
Administrative Law Judge
for Robert Gordon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS

Ottawa County via electronic mail

BSC3 via electronic mail

D. Smith via electronic mail

EQADHShearings via electronic mail

Petitioner

[REDACTED], MI [REDACTED]