GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: June 10, 2020 MOAHR Docket No.: 20-001901

Agency No.:
Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 13, 2020, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner was represented by himself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Jessica Kirchminer, Hearing Coordinator.

## **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 2019, Petitioner applied for SDA.
- 2. On February 11, 2020, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Petitioner's application for SDA per BEM 261 because the nature and severity of Petitioner's impairments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days and is capable of performing other work per 20 CFR 416.920(f).
- 3. On February 14, 2020, the Department Caseworker sent Petitioner a notice that his application was denied.
- 4. On March 3, 2020, the Department received a hearing request from Petitioner, contesting the Department's negative action.

- Petitioner is a year-old man whose date of birth is 1975. Petitioner is read and write and do basic math. Petitioner was last employed as a courier at the light level. He has also been employed as a Director of Parks and Recreations, and a car wash detailer.
- 6. Petitioner's alleged impairments are anxiety, manic depression, bipolar disorder, PTSD, and psoriatic arthritis.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program purusant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

The Department conforms to State statute in administering the SDA program.

2000 PA 294, Sec. 604, of the statute states:

Sec. 604. (1) The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempted from the supplemental security income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting 1 or more of the following requirements:

- (a) A recipient of supplemental security income, social security, or medical assistance due to disability or 65 years of age or older.
- (b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal supplemental security income disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience are reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work). 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1, 12.00(C).

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967.

Pursuant to 20 CFR 416.920, a five-step sequential evaluation process is used to determine disability. An individual's current work activity, the severity of the impairment, the residual functional capacity, past work, age, education and work experience are

evaluated. If an individual is found disabled or not disabled at any point, no further review is made.

The first step is to determine if an individual is working and if that work is "substantial gainful activity" (SGA). If the work is SGA, an individual is not considered disabled regardless of medical condition, age or other vocational factors. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

Secondly, the individual must have a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a combination of impairments that is "severe." 20 CFR 404.1520(c). An impairment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of regulations if it significantly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work. 20 CFR 404.1521; Social Security Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p. If the Petitioner does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, the Petitioner is not disabled. If the Petitioner has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

The third step in the process is to assess whether the impairment or combination of impairments meets a Social Security listing. If the impairment or combination of impairments meets or is the medically equivalent of a listed impairment as set forth in Appendix 1 and meets the durational requirements of 20 CFR 404.1509, the individual is considered disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Before considering step four of the sequential evaluation process, the trier must determine the Petitioner's residual functional capacity. 20 CFR 404.1520(e). An individual's residual functional capacity is his ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his impairments. In making this finding, the trier must consider all of the Petitioner's impairments, including impairments that are not severe. 20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 404.1545; SSR 96-8p.

The fourth step of the process is whether the Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his past relevant work. 20 CFR 404.1520(f). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the Petitioner actually performed it or as is it generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. If the Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to do past relevant work, then the Petitioner is not disabled. If the Petitioner is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth step.

In the fifth step, an individual's residual functional capacity is considered in determining whether disability exists. An individual's age, education, work experience and skills are used to evaluate whether an individual has the residual functional capacity to perform work despite limitations. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

Here, Petitioner has satisfied requirements as set forth in steps one and two of the sequential evaluation. However, Petitioner's impairments do not meet a listing as set forth in Appendix 1, 20 CFR 416.926 for step 3. Therefore, vocational factors will be considered to determine Petitioner's residual functional capacity to do relevant work and past relevant work.

In the present case, Petitioner underwent an adult mental status examination on February 7, 2020, by an independent medical examiner. He is alleging inability to work because of a double hernia, neck and back pain, headache, psoriatic arthritis, depression, and anxiety. His gait was normal. He had below average grooming and was odoriferous. He stated that he had passive thoughts of suicide. Petitioner stated that he has had hallucinations for a very long time where he hears things a lot and sees things at times. He will see a frightening presence around him and states he has had the symptoms most of his life. They have been five or six times when there was something he saw where the eyes he saw in the closet at night haunt him. He had a depressed affect. He was diagnosed with schizoaffective disorder, depressive type, cannabis use disorder, tobacco use disorder, and antisocial personality disorder. In addition, he had COPD, complications from hernia surgery, diuretic arthritis, headaches, neck and back problems. Petitioner has been seen at Grace health in Battle Creek. He has been set up with an individual psychotherapist. The independent medical examiner's clinical impression was moderate limitation with working memory, ability to retain information, and pulling information out of memory. He had moderate limitations with task persistence, concentration, and multitasking. There were moderate limitations with social interactions. He can be appropriate in public, has few relationships, and is able to identify his needs. Self-management is mildly limited. Petitioner is able to manage his benefit funds. There was no evidence of a severe thought disorder and severe risk factors Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 161-165.

On December 12, 2019, Petitioner was seen by his treating surgeon for a follow-up to the repair of his bilateral inguinal hernia surgery on October 17, 2019. Petitioner has been followed due to persistent right groin pain with reported coughing/sneezing episode and has had increased pain since that time in right groin. He was placed on Neurontin and has had some relief of the right groin pain. He has been stable since his last visit, but worse when he misses a dose. Bowel movements are normal. He has no nausea and no vomiting or fevers, chills, or night sweats. His abdomen was soft and nontender or nondistended with his incisions well healed. He had mild tenderness in the lateral right groin with no overlying skin changes or bulge with valsalva. His assessment was inguinal pain, right as a primary encounter diagnosis. The plan was for a referral to the pain clinic for evaluation which was acceptable to Petitioner even though his pain was stable from the last visit. He was referred to urology for feeling of incomplete emptying, which was present prior to surgery but seems to have been heightened after surgery. Petitioner agrees with the current plan for treatment. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 63-64.

On December 9, 2019, Petitioner was seen by his treating physician as a new patient for worsening shortness of breath and abnormal CT. A recent CT showed paraseptal

emphysema. He has been smoking cigarettes for the past 30 years in addition to daily marijuana smoking. Petitioner had an essentially normal physical examination. The treating physician's clinical impression was no evidence of acute traumatic injury in the chest, abdomen or pelvis. He had mild pulmonary emphysema. He was started on bronchodilator and inhalers. Petitioner was counseled about the negative impact of smoking cigarettes has on the lung disease process. It was very important that he quit smoking. Various alternatives were discussed with Petitioner for assistance in quitting smoking. He was also encouraged to stop smoking marijuana as well. He was to return in eight weeks around February 3, 2020, for an emphysema check with CAT. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 191-197.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Petitioner is capable of performing at least light work. He had hernia surgery in October 2019. Petitioner is recovering based on the objective medical evidence on the record. He is currently not taking any medication for his mental impairments but is in therapy at Grace Health in Battle Creek and Eaton County Community Mental Health. There was no evidence of a severe thought disorder or risk factors. As a result, Petitioner can perform light work.

It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings that Petitioner testified that he does perform most of his daily living activities. Petitioner stated that he does have mental impairments where he is not taking medication but is in therapy. Petitioner did not feel there was any work he could do.

At Step 4, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner has established that he cannot perform any of his prior work. He was previously employed as a courier at the light level. He has also been employed as a Director of Parks and Recreations, and a car wash detailer. Petitioner is in therapy for his mental impairments. He had hernia surgery in October 2019 and is currently recovering, which limits him to light work. Therefore, Petitioner is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. Petitioner is not capable of performing his past work. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

The objective medical evidence on the record is insufficient that Petitioner lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in him previous employment or that he is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of him. Petitioner's testimony as to his limitation indicates his limitations are non-exertional and exertional.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In the instant case, Petitioner testified that he has anxiety, manic depression, bipolar disorder, and PTSD. Petitioner is in therapy for his mental impairments. See MA analysis step 2. There was no evidence of a serious thought disorder or risk factors.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Petitioner's impairment(s) prevent the Petitioner from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the Petitioner's:

- 1. residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- 2. age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-965; and
- 3. the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the Petitioner could perform despite her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work.** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work.** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

**Medium work.** Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

**Heavy work.** Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 5, Petitioner can meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon the Petitioner's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger age individual with a high school education, and a skilled, semi-skilled, and unskilled work history, who is limited to light work, is considered not disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule 202.21. The Medical-Vocational guidelines are not strictly applied with non-exertional impairments such as anxiety, manic depression, bipolar disorder, and PTSD. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and after giving full consideration to Petitioner's mental and physical impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner could perform light work and that Petitioner does not meet the definition of disabled under the SDA program.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Petitioner not disabled for purposes of the SDA benefit program. Petitioner could perform light work and Petitioner does not meet the definition of disabled under the SDA program.

Accordingly, the Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

CF/hb

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS** Eaton County via electronic mail

BSC2 via electronic mail

L. Karadsheh via electronic mail

**Petitioner** 

