GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: December 13, 2019 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-011996

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a hearing was held on December 12, 2019, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner appeared unrepresented. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Lashana Threlkeld, APS, and Erica Atkins, APW.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's MA case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. At all relevant times prior to the disputed action herein, Petitioner was a recipient of Medicaid (MA) administered by the MDHHs.
- 2. At an October 2019 redetermination, Petitioner disclosed that she had \$165,123.33 in liquid assets.
- 3. On October 11, 2019, the Respondent issued a Notice of Case Action to Petitioner that her MA will close due to Petitioner exceeding the \$2,000 asset limit.
- 4. On October 28, 2019, Petitioner filed a hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Applicable policy to the case herein is found in BEM and BAM.

As a beneficiary or applicant for welfare benefits, the party alleging eligibility has the burden of proof by a preponderance of evidence. Here, that burden falls on Petitioner. However, in beneficiary administrative hearings, the Department has the burden of going forward.

In this case, unrefuted evidence of record is that Petitioner's liquid assets at redetermination exceeded \$165,000.00.

At the administrative hearing, Petitioner argued that the assets were not hers, as her mother left the money to her in her will. Upon questioning, Petitioner admitted that she is the legal owner. Petitioner also argued that total amount has been reduced by \$2,000.00. Neither argument suffices to meet Petitioner's burden of proof. Petitioner has not shown that she has assets under \$2,000.00 asset limit. As such, the Department must be upheld.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's MA case due to excess assets.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED.**

JS/ml

Janice Spodarek

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS

Charisse Ryden 51111 Woodward Ave., 5th Floor Pontiac, MI 48342

Oakland 4 County DHHS – Via Electronic Mail

BSC4 – Via Electronic Mail

D. Smith – Via Electronic Mail

EQAD - Via Electronic Mail

Petitioner

, MI