GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: August 19, 2019 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-006841 Agency No.: Petitioner:

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Amanda M. T. Marler

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 15, 2019, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was self-represented. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Family Independence Manager.

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) Program Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) benefits due to excess income?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. In June 2019, Petitioner completed a Redetermination listing herself and her son as household members, but failing to include her husband as a household member.
- 2. At some point the Department received a check stub from Petitioner for pay date May 31, 2019 in the amount of which was used to determine her MA eligibility.
- 3. Petitioner also has unearned income via a pension in the amount of per month.

- 4. On June 12, 2019, the Department issued a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice (HCCDN) to Petitioner informing her that she was not eligible for MA benefits because she was under age 21, not pregnant, not aged, not blind, not disabled, not a caretaker of someone under age 19, and had income over the income limit for HMP.
- 5. On June 27, 2019, the Department received Petitioner's request for hearing disputing the Department's closure of her MA benefits due to excess income.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner disputes the Department's determination her MA eligibility. MA is available (i) to individuals who are aged (65 or older), blind or disabled under Supplemental Security Income (SSI)-related categories, (ii) to individuals who are under age 19, parents or caretakers of children, or pregnant or recently pregnant women, and (iii) to individuals who meet the eligibility criteria for Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) coverage. BEM 105 (April 2017), p. 1. HMP provides MA coverage to individuals who (i) are 19 to 64 years of age; (ii) have income at or below 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL) under the Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) methodology; (iii) do not qualify for or are not enrolled in Medicare; (iv) do not qualify for or are not enrolled in other MA programs; (v) are not pregnant at the time of application; and (vi) are residents of the State of Michigan. BEM 137 (April 2018), p. 1; MPM, Healthy Michigan Plan, § 1.1.

The Department denied Petitioner's MA application because she had excess income for HMP purposes. No evidence was presented that Petitioner was disabled, that she cared for any minor children, was aged, or under 21. Therefore, Petitioner does not qualify for any other programs except possibly the HMP.

To determine eligibility for HMP, a determination of group size using the MAGI methodology, consideration of the client's tax status and dependents, is required. The

household for a tax filer, who is not claimed as a tax dependent includes the individual, their spouse, and tax dependents. BEM 211 (July 2019), pp. 1-2. Therefore, Petitioner's MA group size is two which includes herself and her son. Petitioner would have had a group size of three if she had disclosed to the Department that she was married and that her husband was in the home. However, Petitioner did not disclose this information on her Redetermination or Application. 133% of the FPL for a group size of two is as of January 11, 2019. See https://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty-guidelines. Therefore, to be income eligible for HMP, Petitioner's annual income cannot exceed for a group size of two or per month.

To determine financial eligibility under HMP, income must be calculated in accordance with MAGI under federal tax law. MAGI is based on Internal Revenue Service rules and relies on federal tax information. BEM 500 (July 2017), p. 3. Income is verified via electronic federal data sources in compliance with MAGI methodology. MREM, § 1. In determining an individual's eligibility for MAGI-related MA, the Department bases financial eligibility on current monthly household income. MAGI is calculated by reviewing the client's adjusted gross income (AGI) and adding it to any tax-exempt foreign income, tax-exempt Social Security benefits, and tax-exempt interest. AGI is found on IRS Tax Form 1040 at line 37, Form 1040 EZ at line 4, and Form 1040A at line 21. Id. Alternatively, it is calculated by taking the "federal taxable wages" for each income earner in the household as shown on the paystub or, if not shown on the paystub, by using gross income before taxes reduced by any money the employer takes out for health coverage, childcare, or retirement savings. Id. In situations where income is difficult to predict because of unemployment, self-employment, commissions, or a work schedule that changes regularly, income should be estimated based upon past experiences, recent trends, possible changes in the workplace, and similar See https://www.healthcare.gov/income-and-household-information/howinformation. to-report/.

Prior to the Department's decision to close Petitioner's HMP benefits, Petitioner provided the Department with one pay stub dated May 31, 2019 in the amount of \$789.08. The Department did not present any evidence of other check stubs received or considered prior to its decision. Furthermore, the Department did not identify the frequency of Petitioner's pay. The only other evidence presented was of paystubs dated June 28<sup>th</sup> and July 12<sup>th</sup>. Obviously these paystubs could not be considered in the Department's determination of eligibility because they had not yet been issued at the time of the decision. Therefore, it is assumed that Petitioner is paid monthly wages.

In addition to her wages from employment, Petitioner also has unearned income in the form of a pension in the amount of per month. Adding these sources of income together, Petitioner's total monthly income is per month or per month or per year. Petitioner's monthly and annual income fall below the income limit for HMP; therefore, based upon the evidence presented, Petitioner is eligible for HMP.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's MA HMP case due to excess income.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Redetermine Petitioner's MA eligibility effective July 1, 2019;
- 2. If otherwise eligible, issue supplements to Petitioner or on her behalf for benefits not previously received; and,
- 3. Notify Petitioner in writing of its decision.

AM/

Marler

Amanda M. T. Marler Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 6 of 6 19-006841 <u>AM</u>/

