GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: July 19, 2019 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-005988

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ellen McLemore

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 18, 2019, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner was present and represented herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Heather Hembree, Assistance Payments Supervisor.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) benefit case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing MA recipient under the Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) program.
- 2. On November 15, 2018, Petitioner returned a Wage Match Client Notice (Exhibit A, pp. 9-11).
- 3. On April 23, 2019, the Wage Match Client Notice was processed by the Department.
- 4. On April 23, 2019, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice (HCCDN) informing her that her MA benefit case was closing effective June 1, 2019, ongoing (Exhibit A, pp. 12-15).

5. On May 31, 2019, Petitioner submitted a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner was an ongoing MA recipient under the HMP program. Petitioner returned a Wage Match Client Notice on November 15, 2018. However, the Department did not process the information until April 23, 2019. On April 23, 2019, the Department sent Petitioner a HCCDN informing her that her MA benefit case was closing effective June 1, 2019.

The Department concluded that Petitioner was not eligible for HMP because her household income exceeded the applicable income limit for her group size. HMP uses a Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) methodology. BEM 137 (April 2018), p. 1. An individual is eligible for HMP if her household's income does not exceed 133% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) applicable to the individual's group size. BEM 137, p. 1.

An individual's group size for MAGI-related purposes requires consideration of the client's tax filing status. BEM 211 (January 2016), pp. 1-2. The household for a tax filer, who is not claimed as a tax dependent, consists of: (i) the individual; (ii) the individual's spouse; and (iii) and tax dependents. BEM 211, pp. 1-2.

The Department testified that Petitioner's group size was one. However, Petitioner testified that she shares custody of her minor child with the child's father. Petitioner stated that she claims the child as a tax dependent every other year. Petitioner testified that she has not yet filed her tax return for 2018 but will claim her child in that year.

Federal policy states that the basic rule for an individual who expects to file a tax return for the taxable year in which an initial determination or renewal of eligibility is being made, and who does not expect to be claimed as a tax dependent by another taxpayer, the household consists of the taxpayer and all persons whom such individual expects to claim as a tax dependent (emphasis added). 42 CFR 435.603(1).

The Department presented Petitioner's initial application, which was submitted on September 22, 2018 (Exhibit A, pp. 4-8). Additionally, the Wage Match Client Notice was sent and submitted in 2018. The Department did not process the information until 2019, at a time when Petitioner's case was not subject to a renewal. Therefore, the Department should have used Petitioner's tax filing status as of 2018. In 2018, Petitioner claimed her child as a tax dependent. Thus, her group size was two. As such, the Department did not properly follow policy when determining Petitioner's eligibility under the HMP program and when closing her MA benefit case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's MA benefit case.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Redetermine Petitioner's MA eligibility as of June 1, 2019, ongoing;
- 2. If Petitioner is eligible for MA benefits, provide her with coverage she is entitled to receive; and
- 3. Notify Petitioner of its decision in writing.

Ellen McLemore

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

EM/cg

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via Email: MDHHS-Oakland-3-Hearings

D. Smith EQAD

BSC4- Hearing Decisions

MOAHR

Petitioner - Via First-Class Mail:

