GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: June 27, 2019 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-005606

Agency No.: Petitioner:

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris** 

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 26, 2019, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Amber Gibson.

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly impose a Medical Assistance (MA) spenddown and place Petitioner in the Medical Assistance Deductible Program?

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner is an ongoing recipient of Medical Assistance.
- 2. The Department sent the Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice dated April 19, 2019 approving the Petitioner for ongoing MA with a \$656.00 monthly spenddown. Exhibit A, pp. 7-10.
- 3. The Petitioner receives Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) income from Social Security monthly in the amount of \$1,084.00 effective January 1, 2019. Exhibit A, p. 3.
- 4. The Petitioner lives in County, and the MA protected income level is \$408.00 a month. RFT 242.

5. The Petitioner requested a timely hearing on May 16, 2019 disputing the spenddown amount.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner's request for hearing specifically disputed the amount of the deductible (spenddown) of \$636.00 a month imposed by the Department for her MA benefit.

Medicaid is available (i) under Supplemental Security Income (SSI)-related categories to individuals who are aged (65 or older), blind or disabled, (ii) to individuals who are under age 19, parents or caretakers of children, or pregnant or recently pregnant women, and (iii) to individuals who meet the eligibility criteria for Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) coverage. BEM 105 (April 2017), p. 1. HMP provides MA coverage to individuals who (i) are 19 to 64 years of age; (ii) have income at or below 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL) under the Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) methodology; (iii) do not qualify for or are not enrolled in Medicare; (iv) do not qualify for or are not enrolled in other MA programs; (v) are not pregnant at the time of application; and (vi) are residents of the State of Michigan. BEM 137 (January 2019) p. 1; MPM, Healthy Michigan Plan, § 1.1.

Petitioner is not under age 19 or pregnant. No evidence was presented that Petitioner is a parent or caretaker of a minor child, or former foster child. Therefore, the programs for each of these groups are inapplicable to the Petitioner. Since Petitioner is a Medicare Recipient and is disabled, she is not eligible for HMP.

In determining the Supplemental Security Income (SSI)-related MA category Petitioner is eligible to receive, the Department must determine the MA fiscal group size and net income. Petitioner has a group for SSI-related MA purposes of one individual, herself. BEM 211 (February 2019), p. 8. Income for Petitioner must be considered in determining her MA eligibility. The Ad-Care program, an SSI-related MA category, requires that net group income cannot exceed one hundred percent of the federal

poverty level. BEM 163, pp. 1-2. The 2019 federal poverty level for a one-person household was \$12,490.00, effective April 1, 2019. https://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty-guidelines; https://aspe.hhs.gov/2018-poverty-guidelines. The net income limit is established through policy by subtracting \$20.00 from the amount shown in RFT 242 at \$1,061.00 for a group size of one effective April 1, 2019. RFT 242 (April 2018), p. 1.

Policy provides that countable RSDI for fiscal group members is the gross amount received for the previous December when the month being tested is January, February, or March. BEM 503 (January 2019), p. 29. In this case, the month being tested was April 2019. Federal law requires the cost-of-living (COLA) increase received in January to be disregarded for these three months. *Id.* The Department properly considered Petitioner's RSDI benefit for each month under evaluation.

Based upon the State Online Query (SOLQ) and Petitioner's confirmation of her monthly income for Social Security to be \$1,084, the Petitioner's gross income exceeded the MA income limit of \$1,061.00. The Petitioner's net income was \$1,064.00 once the \$20.00 general exclusion was deducted and exceeded the MA income limit of \$1,061.00 by \$3.00.

The Department applies a \$20.00 general exclusion and deducts this from the gross income. BEM 541 (January 2019), p. 3. In addition to the \$20.00 general exclusion. Finally, no evidence was submitted regarding any Guardianship or Conservatorship expenses. Therefore, the household net income is \$1,064.00, which is greater than the net income limit and the federal poverty limit when net income is multiplied by 12. Petitioner thus, due to her excess income, is not eligible for the full coverage Ad-Care program.

Since Petitioner has excess income for eligibility under the Ad-Care program, the full coverage SSI-related MA program, an evaluation of Petitioner's eligibility for MA coverage under the Group 2 program follows. Group 2 provides MA coverage with a deductible. BEM 105, p. 1.

The deductible is the amount that the client's net income (less any allowable deductions) exceeds the applicable Group 2 MA protected income level (PIL). PIL is a set allowance for non-medical need items such as shelter, food, and incidental expenses. BEM 544 (July 2016), p. 1. It is based on the client's MA fiscal group size and the county in which the client resides. *Id.* Petitioner resides in Ingham County and has a group size of one; therefore, they are in shelter area VI, and the PIL is \$408.00. RFT 200 (April 2017), p. 3; RFT 240 (December 2013), p. 1. Thus, if Petitioner's monthly net income (less allowable needs deductions) is in excess of \$408.00, Petitioner is eligible for MA under the G2S program with a deductible equal to the amount of income remaining after the appropriate and allowed deductions which are greater than \$408.00.

As discussed above, Petitioner's household net income was \$1,064.00. In calculating the deductible, allowances are made for health insurance premiums and remedial services. BEM 544, pp. 1-2. The Department did not include any Medicare premium as the Department pays Petitioner's Medicare Part B premium. Next, the PIL (protective

income level) for County of \$408.00 is subtracted from her countable income to reach a deductible of \$636.00.

Based upon the foregoing analysis and application of Department policy, it is determined that the Department properly imposed a spenddown on Petitioner's medical benefit coverage due to her income exceeding the Medicaid income limit; and the spenddown in the amount of \$636.00 is correct as calculated by the Department.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it imposed a monthly spenddown in the amount of \$636.00 when calculating the Petitioner's MA eligibility with a spenddown.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

LF/jaf

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

## **DHHS-Location Contact**

Amber Gibson MDHHS-Ingham-Hearings

**Petitioner** 

MI

BSC4 D Smith EQAD