GRETCHEN WHITMER

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS



Date Mailed: April 26, 2019 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-002491

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: John Markey

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 24, 2019, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner appeared and represented himself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by James Mchoskey, Assistance Payments Supervisor. During the hearing, a ten-page packet of documents was offered and admitted into evidence as Exhibit A, pp. 1-10.

ISSUE

Did the Department follow Department policy and law when closing Petitioner's Medicare Savings Program (MSP) benefits case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing recipient of MSP benefits from the Department.
- 2. On November 5, 2018, the Department issued to Petitioner a Redetermination in order to gather relevant information regarding Petitioner's ongoing eligibility for MSP benefits. The document instructed Petitioner to complete the form and return it to the Department by December 5, 2018. Petitioner was warned that failure to complete the redetermination process by the end of December 2018 would result in the closure of his case. Exhibit A, p. 6.

- 3. On December 14, 2018, the Department issued to Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing Petitioner that his MSP benefits case was closing, effective January 1, 2019, for failing to return the completed Redetermination. Exhibit A, pp. 8-10.
- 4. On ______, 2019, Petitioner submitted to the Department a request for hearing objecting to the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

There are three categories of MSP benefits including the Qualified Medicare Beneficiary (QMB), the Special Low Income Medicare Beneficiary (SLMB), and the Additional Low Income Medicare Beneficiary (ALMB). QMB pays Medicare premiums, and Medicare coinsurances, and Medicare deductibles. QMB coverage begins the calendar month after the processing month. SLMB pays Medicare Part B premiums. SLMB coverage is available for retro MA months and later months. ALMB pays Medicare Part B premiums provided funding is available. ALMB coverage is available for retro MA months and later months. BEM 165 (January 2018), pp. 2-4.

In this case, Petitioner was an ongoing recipient of MSP benefits from the Department. His case was certified through the end of December 2018. In order to certify a new benefit period, the Department initiated the redetermination process by issuing Petitioner a November 5, 2018, Redetermination that was required to be completed and returned to the Department by December 5, 2018. Petitioner did not return the completed form to the Department until after he filed the March 8, 2019, hearing request giving rise to this hearing. Because Petitioner did not timely return the Redetermination, the Department closed Petitioner's MSP benefits case, effective January 1, 2019.

Periodically, the Department must redetermine or renew a client's eligibility for Department-issued benefits by the end of each benefit period. BAM 210 (January 2018), pp. 1, 4. The redetermination process includes thorough review of all eligibility factors. BAM 210, p. 1. If a redetermination is not completed and a new benefit period certified, benefits stop at the end of the benefit period. BAM 210, p. 4. To initiate the

redetermination process, the Department issues to clients a redetermination form; that form must be completed and returned to the Department in a timely manner. BAM 210, p. 1.

The Department properly and timely initiated the redetermination process by issuing Petitioner the November 5, 2018, Redetermination. As of the time of the hearing request, which was made two months after the closure, Petitioner had not submitted the completed Redetermination to the Department. As a new benefit period cannot be certified without completion of the redetermination process, including the submission of a completed Redetermination form, Petitioner's case had to be closed at the end of the certified period, which was December 31, 2018. That is exactly what the Department did.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's MSP benefits case, effective January 1, 2019.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

JM/cg

John Markey

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office Of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via Email:	MDHHS-Kent-Hearings D. Smith EQAD BSC3- Hearing Decisions MAHS
Petitioner – Via First-Class Mail:	