GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: April 9, 2019 MAHS Docket No.: 19-001598

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Amanda M. T. Marler

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 3, 2019, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner appeared for the hearing and was represented by his mother and Authorized Hearings Representative The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Rebecca Ferrill, Assistance Payments Supervisor.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's application for Medical Assistance (MA) Program benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On September 7, 2018, the Department received Petitioner's application for MA benefits indicating that he was not disabled and that his income did not fluctuate.
- 2. On October 6, 2018, the Department received copies of Petitioner's paystubs dated September 7, 2018 through September 28, 2018.
- 3. On February 7, 2019, the Department issued a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice (HCCDN) to Petitioner informing him that he was not eligible for MA benefits because he was not under age 21, not blind, not disabled, not

pregnant, not a parent or caretaker of a dependent child, not a former foster child, and had excess income for the Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP).

4. On February 14, 2019, the Department received Petitioner's request for hearing disputing the Department's decision to deny Petitioner's MA application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner requested a hearing because the Department denied his application for MA benefits primarily because her income exceeded the income limit for the Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP).

MA is available (i) to individuals who are aged (65 or older), blind or disabled under Supplemental Security Income (SSI)-related categories, (ii) to individuals who are under age 19, parents or caretakers of children, or pregnant or recently pregnant women, and (iii) to individuals who meet the eligibility criteria for Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) coverage. BEM 105 (April 2017), p. 1. HMP provides MA coverage to individuals who (i) are 19 to 64 years of age; (ii) have income at or below 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL) under the Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) methodology; (iii) do not qualify for or are not enrolled in Medicare; (iv) do not qualify for or are not enrolled in other MA programs; (v) are not pregnant at the time of application; and (vi) are residents of the State of Michigan. BEM 137 (April 2018), p. 1; MPM, Healthy Michigan Plan, § 1.1.

Since Petitioner is not under 21 or over 64, nor is he pregnant, blind, or disabled, Petitioner does not qualify for any of programs listed above involving these eligibility factors. If Petitioner is eligible for benefits, he may qualify for MA under the HMP program as he meets all non-financial eligibility factors.

A determination of group size under the MAGI methodology requires consideration of the client's tax status and dependents. The household for a tax filer, who is not claimed as a tax dependent includes the individual, their spouse, and tax dependents. BEM 211 (January 2016), pp. 1-2. Therefore, Petitioner's MA group size is one as he is single and has no dependents. 133% of the FPL for a group size of one is \$16,146.20 until January 11, 2019. See https://aspe.hhs.gov/2018-poverty-guidelines. Therefore, to be income eligible for HMP, Petitioner's annual income cannot exceed \$16,146.20 for a group size of one or \$1,345.52 per month.

To determine financial eligibility under HMP, income must be calculated in accordance with MAGI under federal tax law. MAGI is based on Internal Revenue Service rules and relies on federal tax information. BEM 500 (July 2017), p. 3. Income is verified via electronic federal data sources in compliance with MAGI methodology. MREM, § 1. In determining an individual's eligibility for MAGI-related MA, the Department bases financial eligibility on current monthly household income. MAGI is calculated by reviewing the client's adjusted gross income (AGI) and adding it to any tax-exempt foreign income, tax-exempt Social Security benefits, and tax-exempt interest. AGI is found on IRS Tax Form 1040 at line 37, Form 1040 EZ at line 4, and Form 1040A at line 21. Id. Alternatively, it is calculated by taking the "federal taxable wages" for each income earner in the household as shown on the paystub or, if not shown on the paystub, by using gross income before taxes reduced by any money the employer takes out for health coverage, child care, or retirement savings. Id. This figure is multiplied by the number of paychecks the client expects during the year to estimate income for the entire year. See https://www.healthcare.gov/income-and-household-information/how-toreport/. In situations where income is difficult to predict because of unemployment, selfemployment, commissions, or a work schedule that changes regularly, income should be estimated based upon past experiences, recent trends, possible changes in the workplace, and similar information. Id.

On October 6, 2018, Petitioner provided paystubs to the Department for the following dates and amounts:

September 07, 2018	\$
September 14, 2018	\$
September 21, 2018	\$
September 28, 2018	\$

The Department calculated Petitioner's monthly income as \$ This figure is incorrect. The Department calculated this figure by averaging Petitioner's weekly income and multiplying it by 4.3 to obtain a standardized monthly income. The standardization of income is used for Family Independence Program (FIP), Food Assistance Program (FAP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), and Child Development and Care (CDC) cases. BEM 505 (October 2017), pp. 1, 8-9. It is not used for MA cases. *Id.* However, even when Petitioner's income is properly calculated using MAGI methodology, Petitioner's income is still greater than the HMP income limit.

At the hearing an argument was made that Petitioner's income was seasonal or fluctuating based upon multiple factors. However, Petitioner's application indicated that his income did not fluctuate and there was no evidence to show that the Department was ever informed of Petitioner's fluctuating or seasonal income. Therefore, no consideration is made here for fluctuating income.

An exception exists to the income limit rule if an individual's group income is within 5% of the FPL for the applicable group size, a disregard is applied in order to make the person eligible for MA. MREM, § 7.2. 5% of the FPL for a one-person group is \$607.00. Even after consideration of the 5% disregard, Petitioner does not meet the HMP income eligibility requirements.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's application for MA benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

AMTM/jaf

Amanda M. T. Marler

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 **DHHS**

Eileen Asam MDHHS-Grand Traverse-Hearings

Petitioner

MI

Authorized Hearing Rep.

MI

BSC4 D Smith EQAD