# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: January 22, 2019 MAHS Docket No.: 18-013038

Agency No.:
Petitioner:

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain** 

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 8, 2019, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Accounts receivable and Licensed Practical Nurse also appeared to testify on Petitioner's behalf. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Tamara Zander Assistance Payments Worker.

Respondent's Exhibit A pages 1-708 was admitted as evidence.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit programs?

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On 2018, Petitioner filed an application for State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On August 7, 2018, the Medical Review Team denied Petitioner's application stating that Petitioner could perform other work.
- (3) On August 7, 2018, the department caseworker sent Petitioner notice that his application was denied.

- (4) On December 7, 2018, Petitioner filed a request for a hearing to contest the Department's negative action.
- (5) On December 26, 2018, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System received a copy of the Hearing Summary and attached documents.
- (6) Petitioner is a 47-year-old man whose date of birth is He is 6'0" tall and weighs 350 lbs. He is a high school graduate.
- (7) Petitioner can read and write.
- (8) Petitioner last worked March 8, 2018, as a security officer. He has also worked as a janitor and was a
- (9) Petitioner alleges as disabling impairments: neuropathy, diabetes mellitus type II, nerve damage in both hands, hypertension and an amputated right leg.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the following Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

... Medical reports should include:

- (1) Medical history;
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms) 20 CFR 416.913(b).

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities, or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913.

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, Petitioner is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked in recent years. Petitioner is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The subjective and objective medical evidence on the record indicates:

Petitioner testified that he had his driving leg amputated. He resides in a nursing home and has been total care until recently. He has not completely learned to use a prosthesis. He is in a wheel chair. He does not perform activities of daily living. He can

stand holding on for about two minutes. He cannot ambulate. He can sit for 1-2 hours. He cannot shower and dress himself. His pain is a 5 of 10 without medication and a 3 of 10 with pain medication. He has neuropathy in both hands and drops things. He dislocated his ankle in November 2017. It did not heal and was amputated March 2018.

A March 18, 2018, Progress Note diagnosed Petitioner with uncontrolled diabetes, bacteremia, microcytic anemia, leukocytosis and hyperchloremia. (Page 488)

A March 16, 2018 Progress Note indicates that Petitioner was assessed with polymicrobic bacteremia due to diabetic foot infection. (Page 466)

A March 15, 2018, consultation Note indicates that Petitioner was status post right below knee amputation. He was also diagnosed with sepsis and diabetes mellitus. He had decreased sensation in the left foot. Left knee range of motion was mildly irritable. Reflexes were diminished throughout. He had 2/5 strength of the left lower extremity. (Page 566)

A March 10, 2018, Progress Note indicates that Petitioner was admitted with chronic destructive changes of the foot consistent with chronic osteomyelitis. He had an open wound laterally. (Page 461)

A November 27, 2017, Final Report indicates that Petitioner's blood pressure was 166/108, pulse 111, respiration 16. He was diagnosed with diabetes, cellulitis of the right foot and hypertension. Petitioner had not been taking medications for quite some time because he was unable to get them. (Page 323)

At Step 2, Petitioner has the burden of proof of establishing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. Petitioner has suffered uncontrolled diabetes and hypertension for about seven years. He has had his right leg removed beneath the knee. He is unable to ambulate effectively and has resided in a nursing home since March 2018 because he is unable to work or care for himself sufficiently enough to live on his own. Petitioner's condition meets the durational requirement. There is sufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that Petitioner suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is sufficient to establish that Petitioner has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

The analysis would proceed to Step 3, where the medical evidence of Petitioner's condition does give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner's medical record does not support a finding that Petitioner's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A.

# **1.00 Musculoskeletal System** (B)(2)(b) requires:

(2) To ambulate effectively, individuals must be capable of sustaining a reasonable walking pace over a sufficient distance to be able to carry out activities of daily living. They must have the ability to travel without companion assistance to and from a place of employment or school. Therefore, examples of ineffective ambulation include, but are not limited to, the inability to walk without the use of a walker, two crutches or two canes, the inability to walk a block at a reasonable pace on rough or uneven surfaces, the inability to use standard public transportation, the inability to carry out routine ambulatory activities, such as shopping and banking, and the inability to climb a few steps at a reasonable pace with the use of a single hand rail. The ability to walk independently about one's home without the use of assistive devices does not, in and of itself, constitute effective ambulation.

Listings 1.02 and 1.05 were considered.

Social Security Listing 1.02 requires:

**1.02** *Major dysfunction of a joint(s) (due to any cause)*: Characterized by gross anatomical deformity (e.g., subluxation, contracture, bony or fibrous ankylosis, instability) and chronic joint pain and stiffness with signs of limitation of motion or other abnormal motion of the affected joint(s), and findings on appropriate medically acceptable imaging of joint space narrowing, bony destruction, or ankylosis of the affected joint(s). With:

A. Involvement of one major peripheral weight-bearing joint (i.e., hip, knee, or ankle), resulting in inability to ambulate effectively, as defined in 1.00B2b;

OR

B. Involvement of one major peripheral joint in each upper extremity (i.e., shoulder, elbow, or wrist-hand), resulting in inability to perform fine and gross movements effectively, as defined in 1.00B2c.

Social Security Listing 1.05 Amputation (due to any cause) requires:

A. Both hands;

or

B. One or both lower extremities at or above the tarsal region, with stump complications resulting in medical inability to use a prosthetic device to ambulate effectively, as defined in 1.00B2b, which have lasted or are expected to last for at least 12 months;

or

C. One hand and one lower extremity at or above the tarsal region, with inability to ambulate effectively, as defined in 1.00B2b;

or

D. Hemipelvectomy or hip disarticulation.

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities, or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician, or mental health professional, that an individual is disabled, or blind, is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

Step 4 of the analysis to be considered is whether Petitioner has the ability to perform work previously performed by Petitioner within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent Petitioner from doing past relevant work. In the present case, Petitioner's past employment was as a security officer and a janitor. Both jobs require extensive standing.

Petitioner's impairments would prevent him from doing past relevant work. This Administrative Law Judge will continue through Step 5.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if Petitioner's impairment(s) prevent Petitioner from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon Petitioner's:

- 1. residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations? 20 CFR 416.945;
- 2. age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-965; and
- 3. the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the Petitioner could perform despite her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heavy. These terms have

the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work:** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting, or carrying, articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work:** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

**Medium work:** Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

**Heavy work:** Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once the Petitioner makes it to the final step of the analysis, the Petitioner has already established a prima facie case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 732 Fd2 962 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1984).

The Department determined that Petitioner could perform other work.

Moving forward, the burden of proof rests with the State to prove by substantial evidence that Petitioner has the residual function capacity for substantial gainful activity. After careful review of Petitioner's extensive medical record, and the Administrative Law Judge's personal interaction with Petitioner at the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner's exertional and non-exertional impairments render Petitioner unable to engage in a full range of, even sedentary, work activities on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10; Wilson v Heckler, 743 F2d 216 (1986). The Department has failed to provide sufficient vocational evidence which establishes that Petitioner has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity and, that given Petitioner's age, education, and work experience, there are significant numbers of jobs in the national economy which Petitioner could perform despite Petitioner's limitations.

Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge concludes that Petitioner is disabled for purposes of the SDA program as of the April 30, 2018, application date. Petitioner does have neuropathy in his hands which means that he does not retain bilateral manual hand dexterity.

Petitioner's testimony regarding his limitations and ability to sit, stand, walk, lift, and carry is credible and supported by substantial medical evidence.

Therefore, Petitioner is found to be disabled.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Petitioner is medically disabled as of the April 30, 2018, application for State Disability Assistance.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby **REVERSED** and the Department is ORDERED to:

- 1. Initiate a review of the 2018, 2018 application for Medical Assistance, as well as any relevant retroactive Medical Assistance Application, if not done previously, to determine Petitioner's non-medical eligibility.
- 2. The Department shall inform Petitioner of the determination in writing. A review of this case shall be set for June 2020.
- 3. The Department shall pay to Petitioner any MA benefits to which he is entitled, if he is otherwise eligible.

LL/hb

Landis Lain

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

andis y Lain

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS** Christine Steen

3040 West Grand Blvd Suite 4-250

Detroit, MI 48202

Wayne County (District 82), DHHS

L. Karadsheh via electronic mail

**Authorized Hearing Rep.** 

MI

**Petitioner** 

