

GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS LANSING

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: January 4, 2019 MAHS Docket No.: 18-011245

Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG

Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 18, 2018, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Amanda Zimmerman, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Respondent did not appear at the hearing; and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5).

Department Exhibit A pages 1-93 were admitted as evidence.

<u>ISSUES</u>

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?
- 2. Did the Department establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?
- 3. Should Respondent be disgualified from receiving benefits for twelve months?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on October 31, 2018, to establish an OI of benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having allegedly committed an IPV.
- 2. The OIG **has** requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving program benefits.
- 3. Respondent was a recipient of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits issued by the Department.
- 4. Respondent **was** aware of the responsibility to report changes in circumstances as evidence by Respondent's signature on the DHS-1171 dated 2017.
- 5. Respondent **did not have** an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.
- 6. The Department's OIG indicates that the time period it is considering the fraud period is July 11, 2017-November 30, 2017 and March 1, 2018 August 31, 2018, (fraud period).
- 7. During the fraud period, Respondent was issued \$786 in in FAP benefits by the State of Michigan, and the Department alleges that Respondent was entitled to \$0 in such benefits during this time period.
- 8. The Department alleges that Respondent received an OI in FAP benefits in the amount of \$786.
- 9. This was Respondent's **first** alleged IPV.
- 10. A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was **not** returned by the United States Postal Services as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The

Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Pertinent Department policy dictates:

To be eligible for FAP or MA in the State of Michigan, a person must be a Michigan resident. Bridges uses the requirements in the Residence section in this item to determine if a person is a Michigan resident. BEM 220, page 1

For FAP:

A person is considered a resident <u>while living in Michigan</u> for any purpose other than a vacation, even if there is no intent to remain in the state permanently or indefinitely.

A homeless person is an individual who lacks a fixed and regular nighttime dwelling or whose temporary night time dwelling is one of the following:

Supervised private or public shelter for the homeless.

Exception: For FAP, a Respondent is considered homeless only for the first 90 days.

- Halfway house or similar facility to accommodate persons released from institutions.
- Home of another person.

Exception: For FAP, a Respondent is considered homeless only for the first 90 days.

• Place not designed or ordinarily used as a dwelling (for example, a building entrance or hallway, bus station, park, campsite, vehicle).

Exception: For FAP, a Respondent is considered homeless only for the first 90 days. Lack of a permanent dwelling or fixed mailing address does not affect an individual's state residence status. Assistance cannot be denied solely because the individual has no permanent dwelling or fixed address. BEM 220, page 2

For Medicaid:

A Michigan resident is an individual who is living in Michigan except for a temporary absence. Residency continues for an individual who is temporarily absent from Michigan or intends to return to Michigan when the purpose of the absence has been accomplished.

Example: Individuals who spend the winter months in a warmer climate and return to their home in the spring. They remain MI residents during the winter months.

Example: College students who attend school out of state but return home during semester breaks or for the summer can remain MI residents. (BEM 220, page 2)

Eligible persons may include:

- Persons who entered the state with a job commitment or to seek employment; and
- Students (for FAP only, this includes students living at home during a school break.) BEM 220, pages 1-2

Effective January 1, 2016, the Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

- FAP trafficking Ols that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and
 - The total amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs combined is \$500 or more, or
 - the total amount is less than \$500, and
 - the group has a previous IPV, or
 - > the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
 - the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or
 - ➤ the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee. BAM 720, pp 12-13 (1/1/2016).

Intentional Program Violation

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

- The Respondent intentionally failed to report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and
- The Respondent was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, and
- The Respondent has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities. BAM 720, p 1.

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the Respondent has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p 1 (emphasis in original); see also 7 CFR 273(e)(6). Clear and convincing evidence is evidence sufficient to result in a clear and firm belief that the proposition is true. See M Civ JI 8.01.

The evidence on the record indicates that:

The Office of Inspector General initiated a full investigation after a FEE investigation in July 2018 found Respondent had never used his food assistance benefits in Michigan.

Respondent applied for benefits on 2017, and by signing the application he acknowledged the fact that he was currently a Michigan resident and that he intended to remain in Michigan.

A second application was signed by Respondent on acknowledging he was a Michigan resident and his intent to remain in Michigan.

The IG311 report shows Respondent had never used his EBT card in Michigan. All purchases have been made in Tennessee. CLEAR shows Respondent has a current driver's license and Ford F250 truck registered in his name at TTML. TN

An inquiry to the state of Tennessee shows Respondent received SNAP benefits starting September 5, 2018, and MA from August 1, 2018, to the present. Since Respondent never used his EBT card in Michigan he was not residing in Michigan while he received benefits. Respondent was overissued \$72 in Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits from July 11, 2017-November 30, 2017, and

\$714 from March 1, 2018-August 31, 2018. Total amount of overissuance is \$786. An interview letter and DHHS-4350 and DHHS-826 were mailed to the Respondent at his Tennessee address.

Respondent failed to respond and did not send back the signed documents. Respondent signed DHHS 1171's on 2017 and 2017 and 2018, acknowledging his intention to reside in Michigan. The Respondent never used his FAP benefits in Michigan and has a current driver's license and vehicle registered to him in Tennessee. Due to this, Respondent was overissued \$72 in FAP benefits from July 11, 2017-November 30, 2017, and \$714 from March 1, 2018-August 31, 2018. Respondent failed to return the signed DHHS-4350 and DHHS-826 so this case will be referred to an Administrative Hearing. Respondent signed DHHS-1171s on 2017, and 2018, acknowledging his intention to reside in Michigan.

Respondent never used his FAP benefits in Michigan and has a current driver's license and vehicle registered to him in Tennessee. Due to this, the Respondent was overissued \$72 in FAP benefits from July 11, 2017-November 30, 2017, and \$714 from March 1, 2018-August 31, 2018. Respondent failed to return the signed DHHS-4350 and DHHS-826 and this case was referred to an Administrative Hearing.

The Department established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent intentionally established residency in Tennessee. Respondent did not notify the State of Michigan that he had moved and continued to use State of Michigan FAP and MA benefits in the State of Tennessee, when Respondent was not a resident of Michigan. Respondent did sign an application stating that he understood the reporting responsibilities. Respondent withheld or misrepresented information that he was a resident of the State of Michigan, while he was a resident of the State of Tennessee for the purpose of maintaining FAP and MA benefits. Therefore, the Department has established an IPV.

Disqualification

A court or hearing decision that finds a Respondent committed an IPV disqualifies that Respondent from receiving program benefits. BAM 720, p 15. A disqualified Respondent remains a member of an active group as long as he lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720, p 17.

Respondents who commit an IPV are disqualified for a standard disqualification period except when a court orders a different period, or except when the OI relates to MA or FAP. BAM 720, p 13. Respondents are disqualified for periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, lifetime disqualification for the third IPV, and ten years for a FAP concurrent receipt of benefits. BAM 720, p 18.

Overissuance

When a Respondent group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. BAM 700, p 1 (1/1/2016).

Respondent failed to report his move from the State of Michigan and continued to receive FAP benefits while residing in Tennessee. The alleged FAP overissuance for the periods of July 11, 2017-November 30, 2017, and March 1, 2018-August 31, 2018, is \$786.00. Total FAP overissuance is \$786.00. A 12-month FAP disqualification is being requested.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, concludes that:

- 1. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent did receive an overissuance of Food Assistance Program benefits in the amount of \$786.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment/collection procedures for the amount of \$786 in accordance with Department policy.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be disqualified from the Food Assistance Program (FAP) for a period of **12 months**.

LL/hb

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS Lauren Casper

27690 Van Dyke Warren, MI 48093

Macomb County (District 20), DHHS

Policy-Recoupment via electronic mail

M. Shumaker via electronic mail

Petitioner OIG

PO Box 30062

Lansing, MI 48909-7562

Respondent

