GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: January 24, 2019 MAHS Docket No.: 18-010524

Agency No.:

Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 28, 2018, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by himself with his mother and authorized representative, authorized representative, The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Lynda Brown, Hearing Facilitator. The record was left open for additional medical information that was received on December 21, 2018, and the record was closed.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On , 2018, Petitioner applied for SDA.
- 2. On August 23, 2018, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Petitioner's application for SDA per BEM 261 because the nature and severity of Petitioner's impairments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days and is capable of performing other work under Medical Vocation Grid Rule 202.21 per 20 CFR 416.920(f).
- 3. On September 7, 2018, the Department Caseworker sent Petitioner a notice that his application was denied.

4.	On October 17, 2018, the Department received a hearing request from Petitioner, contesting the Department's negative action.
5.	Petitioner is a 40 year-old man whose date of birth is Petitioner is 5' 11" tall and weighs 145 pounds. Petitioner completed High School and one semester of college. Petitioner can read and write and do basic math. Petitioner has no pertinent work history.
6.	Petitioner's alleged impairments are back and nerve pain, gout, scoliosis, chronic pancreatitis, anxiety, and depression.
7.	Petitioner was being seen by his treating therapist at therapist wrote a note for him on weekly/biweekly therapy sessions since October 1, 2018. His current diagnosis is major depression disorder, recurrent episode, severe and generalized anxiety disorder. Petitioner has a depressed mood, lack of interest in things that he once enjoyed, fatigue, thoughts about death, significant weight loss, feelings of worthlessness, and difficulty concentrating. His prognosis fair/poor due to chronic physical impairments, pain levels and environmental, social, housing, and economic stressors. Petitioner Exhibit 1, pg. a.
8.	On October 1, 2018, Petitioner's treating physician completed a medical source statement on behalf of the Petitioner. He was diagnosed with chronic pancreatitis, anxiety, depression, and alcoholism. His prognosis was poor. He has chronic abdominal and back pain with weakness, fatigue, anxiety, and depression. Petitioner has chronic pain related to his acute pancreatitis attacks. He is barely able to care for himself. Petitioner is not capable of walking a city block, sit for 15 minutes and stand for 10 minutes maybe. He can sit and stand/walk less than 2 hours of an 8-hour workday. Petitioner can occasionally lift 20 pounds. He was physically limited. He would be absent from work more than 4 days per month. Petitioner Exhibit 2, pgs. a-d.
9.	On independent medical examiner at the substitution of the second of the
10.	On 2018, Petitioner was given a medical evaluation by an independent medical examiner at He presented for an

evaluation of pancreatitis. He has had chronic pancreatitis since 2017. He has been hospitalized 20 times in the last 2 years. His most recent hospitalization was in June 2018. He has lost over 100 pounds. Petitioner stated that he has chronic abdominal pain and is utilizing a walker to ambulate distance greater than a few feet because of weakness and critical illness myopathy. There was some mild tenderness to palpation. There were no masses felt, nor is there enlargement of the spleen and liver. He had an essentially normal physical examination. He had a history of chronic pancreatitis with multiple hospitalizations and weight loss. Petitioner utilizes a walker to ambulate distances greater than 30 feet because of weakness. He had mild difficulty with orthopedic maneuvers. He did have some tenderness to palpation in his abdomen. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 94, and 1-4.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program purusant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

The Department conforms to State statute in administering the SDA program.

2000 PA 294, Sec. 604, of the statute states:

Sec. 604. (1) The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall include

needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempted from the supplemental security income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting 1 or more of the following requirements:

- (a) A recipient of supplemental security income, social security, or medical assistance due to disability or 65 years of age or older.
- (b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal supplemental security income disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience are reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work). 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1, 12.00(C).

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967.

Pursuant to 20 CFR 416.920, a five-step sequential evaluation process is used to determine disability. An individual's current work activity, the severity of the impairment, the residual functional capacity, past work, age, education and work experience are evaluated. If an individual is found disabled or not disabled at any point, no further review is made.

The first step is to determine if an individual is working and if that work is "substantial gainful activity" (SGA). If the work is SGA, an individual is not considered disabled regardless of medical condition, age or other vocational factors. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

Secondly, the individual must have a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a combination of impairments that is "severe." 20 CFR 404.1520(c). An impairment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of regulations if it significantly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work. 20 CFR 404.1521; Social Security Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p. If the Petitioner does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, the Petitioner is not disabled. If the Petitioner has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

The third step in the process is to assess whether the impairment or combination of impairments meets a Social Security listing. If the impairment or combination of impairments meets or is the medically equivalent of a listed impairment as set forth in Appendix 1 and meets the durational requirements of 20 CFR 404.1509, the individual is considered disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Before considering step four of the sequential evaluation process, the trier must determine the Petitioner's residual functional capacity. 20 CFR 404.1520(e). An individual's residual functional capacity is his ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his impairments. In making this

finding, the trier must consider all of the Petitioner's impairments, including impairments that are not severe. 20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 404.1545; SSR 96-8p.

The fourth step of the process is whether the Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his past relevant work. 20 CFR 404.1520(f). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the Petitioner actually performed it or as is it generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. If the Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to do past relevant work, then the Petitioner is not disabled. If the Petitioner is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth step.

In the fifth step, an individual's residual functional capacity is considered in determining whether disability exists. An individual's age, education, work experience and skills are used to evaluate whether an individual has the residual functional capacity to perform work despite limitations. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

Here, Petitioner has satisfied requirements as set forth in steps one and two of the sequential evaluation. However, Petitioner's impairments do not meet a listing as set forth in Appendix 1, 20 CFR 416.926 for step 3. Therefore, vocational factors will be considered to determine Petitioner's residual functional capacity to do relevant work and past relevant work.

In the present case, Petitioner was being seen by his treating therapist at His therapist wrote a note for him on attending weekly/biweekly therapy sessions since October 1, 2018. He has been diagnosis is major depression disorder, recurrent episode, severe and generalized anxiety disorder. Petitioner has a depressed mood, lack of interest in things that he once enjoyed, fatigue, thoughts about death, significant weight loss, feelings of worthlessness, and difficulty concentrating. His prognosis fair/poor due to chronic physical impairments, pain levels and environmental, social, housing, and economic stressors. Petitioner Exhibit 1, pg. a.

On October 1, 2018, Petitioner's treating physician completed a medical source statement on behalf of the Petitioner. He was diagnosed with chronic pancreatitis, anxiety, depression, and alcoholism. His prognosis was poor. He has chronic abdominal and back pain with weakness, fatigue, anxiety, and depression. Petitioner has chronic pain related to his acute pancreatitis attacks. He is barely able to care for himself. Petitioner is not capable of walking a city block, sit for 15 minutes and stand for 10 minutes maybe. He can sit and stand/walk less than 2 hours of an 8-hour workday. Petitioner can occasionally lift 20 pounds. He was physically limited. He would be absent from work more than 4 days per month. Petitioner Exhibit 2, pgs. a-d.

On 2018, Petitioner was given a psychological assessment by an independent medical examiner at 2018. He alleges disability due to depression, social anxiety, and chronic pancreatitis. Petitioner was alert, verbal, and

oriented to all three spheres. His memory was in the average range. His fund of general information was intact. He experienced feelings of worthlessness. His formal judgment was impaired. He was readily able to perform mental arithmetic. His interpretation of proverbs was superficial, and his reasoning was literal and concrete. Petitioner's diagnosis was major depressive disorder, recurrent, moderate and alcohol use disorder, severe in early remission. His prognosis is guarded. He is able to manage his own benefit funds. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 90-92.

On 2018, Petitioner was seen by his treating physician at He was seen as follow up to his hospitalization for pancreatitis and to refill on pain medication. There was mild tenderness in the epigastric area. His abdomen was firm. The abdomen was dull to percussion. His gait and station were normal. He was oriented to person, place, and time, which was normal. His assessment was thoracic spine pain, history of alcohol abuse, anxiety, chronic recurrent pancreatitis, and hospital discharge follow up. His medications were renewed. His back pain might be related to the pancreatitis. An x-ray was ordered of the thoracic spine to determine cause of pain. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 14-17.

This Administrative Law finds that Petitioner has episodes of his chronic pancreatitis where he is unable to work. However, Petitioner was an alcoholic, which exacerbated his chronic pancreatitis. He has recently stopped drinking alcohol. Petitioner started having issues with his pancreas in 2017 but has no pertinent work history from 1997. He is taking medications and in therapy for his mental impairments. There was no evidence of a serious thought disorder or risk factors. Petitioner does not meet duration of more than 90 days for SDA. He should be able to perform light work.

It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings that Petitioner testified that he does perform some of his daily living activities. Petitioner does feel that his condition has worsened because after his pancreatitis that he developed back pain. Petitioner stated that he does have mental impairments where he is taking medication and in therapy at the Stepping Stones. Petitioner smokes almost a pack of cigarettes a day. He stopped

drinking on March 15, 2018, which before he drank 1 ½ pints of alcohol a day. He stopped using illegal and illicit drugs of marijuana 20 years ago after he tried it. Petitioner did not feel there was any work he could do.

At Step 4, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner has not established that he cannot perform work. He has no pertinent work history. However, he should be able to perform light work. Petitioner has stopped drinking alcohol which resulted in his chronic pancreatitis. Petitioner is in therapy and taking medication for his mental impairments. Therefore, Petitioner is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. Petitioner is capable of performing work at the light level. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks.

The objective medical evidence on the record is insufficient that Petitioner lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in him previous employment or that he is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of him. Petitioner's testimony as to his limitation indicates his limitations are non-exertional and exertional.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In the instant case, Petitioner testified that he has anxiety and depression. Petitioner is taking medication and in therapy for his mental impairments. See MA analysis step 2. There was no evidence of a serious thought disorder or risk factors. He has a high school diploma and one semester of college.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Petitioner's impairment(s) prevent the Petitioner from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the Petitioner's:

- 1. residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- 2. age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-965; and
- 3. the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the Petitioner could perform despite her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 5, Petitioner can meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon Petitioner's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger age individual with a high school education, and no pertinent work history, who is limited to light work, is considered not disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule 202.20. The Medical-Vocational guidelines are not strictly applied with non-exertional impairments such as anxiety and depression. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this

decision and after giving full consideration to Petitioner's mental and physical impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner could perform light work and that Petitioner does not meet the definition of disabled under the SDA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Petitioner not disabled for purposes of the SDA benefit program. Petitioner could perform light work and Petitioner does not meet the definition of disabled under the SDA program.

Accordingly, the Department's determination is **AFFIRMED.**

CF/hb

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 **DHHS**

Lauren Casper 27690 Van Dyke Warren, MI 48093

Macomb County (District 20), DHHS

BSC4 via electronic mail

L. Karadsheh via electronic mail

Petitioner

