RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: November 21, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 18-009002

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ellen McLemore

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 13, 2018, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner was present and represented herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Megan Sterk, Assistance Payments Supervisor and Roberta Martinez, Eligibility Specialist.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) benefit case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing MA recipient.
- 2. On April 25, 2018, the Department sent Petitioner a Wage Match Client Notice (Exhibit A).
- 3. On August 16, 2018, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing her that her MA benefit case was closing effective September 1, 2018, ongoing, for her failure to verify requested information (Exhibit B).
- 4. On 2018, Petitioner submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, the Department received a Wage Match for Petitioner showing that she had earnings with ________. As a result, the Department sent Petitioner a Wage Match Client Notice form on April 25, 2018. The form was due to be returned on May 25, 2018.

The Department routinely matches recipient employment data with the Michigan Talent Investment Agency (TIA) and the Unemployment Insurance Agency (UIA) through computer data exchange processes. BAM 802 (April 2017), p. 3. These data exchanges assist in the identification of potential current and past employment income. BAM 802, p. 1. The Department will request verification of income by sending a DHS-4638, Wage Match Client Notice. BAM 802, p. 2. The Department automatically gives the client 30 days to provide verification. BAM 802, p. 2. If verification is not returned by the 30th day, a case action will be sent to the client informing them of the closure of their benefit case. BAM 802, p. 2.

The Department testified that Petitioner did not return the Wage Match Client Notice by the due date, nor did she submit verification of her earnings with Advisacare Healthcare Solutions. As a result, the Department closed Petitioner's MA benefit case.

Petitioner testified that she did not receive the Wage Match Client Notice. The document was sent to Petitioner at a residence in Michigan. However, Petitioner stated she had moved to her current Address in Michigan in September 2017. When asked if she updated her address with the Department and if she notified the Department of her new income, Petitioner responded that "she thought she had."

Petitioner failed to timely return the Wage Match Client Notice and there was no evidence that she notified the Department of her new income. It is also unclear as to whether Petitioner properly notified the Department of her relocation. As such, the Department acted in accordance with policy when it closed Petitioner's MA benefit case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's MA benefit case. Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

EM/cg Ellen McLemore

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

all non

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via Email: MDHHS-Allegan-Hearings

D. Smith EQAD

BSC3- Hearing Decisions

MAHS

Petitioner - Via First-Class Mail:

