RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: October 25, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 17-012398 Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ellen McLemore

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 19, 2017, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner was present and represented herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Chantel Wilbon, Hearing Facilitator.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing FAP recipient.
- 2. Petitioner's FAP benefits were closed effective September 1, 2017.
- 3. On September 11, 2017, Petitioner submitted a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.
- 4. On September 22, 2017, the Department sent Petitioner a Benefit Notice informing her that her FAP benefit case was closed effective August 31, 2017.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

In this case, Petitioner discovered that her FAP benefit case had been closed when she attempted to utilize her FAP benefits but was denied access to the funds. The Department had closed Petitioner's FAP benefit case for exceeding the net income limit effective September 1, 2017, ongoing. The Department could not provide an explanation as to why Petitioner's benefits had been recalculated. Petitioner testified she had recently completed a redetermination in August 2017. The Department did not send Petitioner a Notice of Case Action or any kind of notice informing her that her benefits were being closed because of a system error. The Department discovered the mistake and sent Petitioner a Benefit Notice on September 22, 2017, informing her that her FAP benefit case was closed effective August 31, 2017, due to excess net income.

Upon certification of eligibility results, the Department automatically notifies the client in writing of positive and negative actions by generating the appropriate notice of case action. BAM 220 (July 2017), p. 2. A notice of case action must specify the following: the action(s) being taken by the department, the reason(s) for the action, the specific manual item which cites the legal base for an action or the regulation or law itself, an explanation of the right to request a hearing and the conditions under which benefits are continued if a hearing is requested. BAM 220, p. 3. Timely notice is given for a negative action unless policy specifies adequate notice or no notice. BAM 220, p. 5. A timely notice is mailed at least 11 days before the intended negative action takes effect. BAM 220, p. 5. The action is pended to provide the client a chance to react to the proposed action. BAM 220, p. 5.

The Department conceded Petitioner was not provided a timely notice of the negative action prior to the action taking place. Therefore, the Department did not follow policy when closing Petitioner's FAP benefit case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's FAP benefit case.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Petitioner's FAP benefit case effective September 1, 2017; and
- 2. Issue supplements to Petitioner for any FAP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from September 1, 2017, ongoing until notice of change is provided.

EM/

UN nog

Ellen McLemore Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS

Petitioner

MDHHS-Genesee-6-Hearings



BSC4 M Holden D Sweeney E McLemore MAHS