GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: December 21, 2020 MOAHR Docket No.: 20-006847

Agency No.:

Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ellen McLemore

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 17, 2020, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner was present and represented himself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Aundrea Jones, Hearing Facilitator.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an ongoing FAP recipient.
- 2. On 2020, Petitioner completed a redetermination.
- 3. Petitioner was the sole member of his household.
- 4. Petitioner had unearned income in the form of Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) benefits in the gross monthly amount of \$662 (Exhibit A, pp. 15-17).
- 5. On October 13, 2020, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action informing him that he was eligible for FAP benefits in the amount of \$67 per month effective November 1, 2020, ongoing (Exhibit A, pp. 7-11).

- 6. On November 14, 2020, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action informing him that he was eligible for FAP benefits in the amount of \$114 per month effective November 1, 2020, ongoing.
- 7. Petitioner submitted a request for hearing disputing the Department's calculation of his FAP benefit amount.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

In this case, Petitioner was an ongoing FAP recipient. A redetermination related to Petitioner's FAP benefit case was completed in 2020. Petitioner previously had no income. However, at the redetermination, the Department discovered that Petitioner was receiving RSDI income. The Department included the income and determined Petitioner was eligible for FAP benefits in the monthly amount of \$67. Subsequently, the Department discovered that Petitioner was eligible for an additional income deduction. The Department recalculated Petitioner's FAP benefit amount and determined he was eligible for a monthly FAP benefit amount of \$114.

All countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining a client's eligibility for program benefits and group composition policies specify whose income is countable. BEM 500 (July 2017), pp. 1–5. For RSDI, the Department counts the gross benefit amount as unearned income. BEM 503 (January 2020), p. 28.

The Department included \$622 in unearned income in Petitioner's FAP budget. The Department presented Petitioner's State Online Query (SOLQ) report showing that he receives RSDI benefits in the gross amount of \$622. Therefore, the Department correctly determined Petitioner's household income.

The deductions to income on the net income budget were also reviewed. There was evidence presented that the Petitioner's group includes a senior/disabled/veteran (SDV). BEM 550. Thus, the group is eligible for the following deductions to income:

Dependent care expense.

- Excess shelter.
- Court ordered child support and arrearages paid to non-household members.
- Standard deduction based on group size.
- Medical deduction.

BEM 554 (January 2020), p. 1; BEM 556 (January 2020), p. 3.

Petitioner's FAP benefit group size of one justifies a standard deduction of \$167. RFT 255 (January 2020), p. 1. There was no evidence presented that Petitioner had any out-of-pocket dependent care, child support expenses or out-of-pocket medical expenses. Therefore, the budget properly excluded any deduction for dependent care, child support or medical expenses.

In calculating the excess shelter deduction of \$0, the Department stated that Petitioner's only shelter expense was his telephone. The Department provided Petitioner with the \$29 telephone standard. BEM 554, pp. 14-15. The Department testified when calculating Petitioner's excess shelter amount, they added the total shelter amount and subtracted 50% of the adjusted gross income, which resulted in a deficit. Therefore, the Department determined that Petitioner was not eligible for an excess shelter deduction.

The Department testified that Petitioner was provided an additional deduction, as he was determined to be homeless. Groups in which all members are homeless and do not receive free shelter, may receive a homeless shelter deduction. See RFT 255, Food Assistance Standards. BEM 554, p. 13. The FAP group has the choice between using their actual shelter expense or the homeless shelter deduction. BEM 554, p. 13.

The FAP benefit group's net income is determined by taking the group's adjusted gross income and subtracting the allowable excess shelter expense. After subtracting the allowable deductions, the Department properly determined Petitioner's adjusted gross income to be \$455. Petitioner's adjusted gross income subtracted by the \$157 homeless shelter deduction results in a net income of \$298. A chart listed in RFT 260 is used to determine the proper FAP benefit issuance based on the net income and group size. Based on Petitioner's net income and group size, Petitioner's FAP benefit issuance is \$114. Therefore, the Department properly calculated Petitioner's FAP benefit amount.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined Petitioner's FAP eligibility. Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

EM/jem

Ellen McLemore

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via Email: MDHHS-Wayne-18-Hearings

BSC4-HearingDecsions

M. Holden D. Sweeney MOAHR

Petitioner – Via First-Class Mail:

