



GRETCHEN WHITMER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], MI [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: September 15, 2020  
MOAHR Docket No.: 20-004796  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Janice Spodarek

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250. After due notice, an administrative hearing was held on August 18, 2020 by conference telephone.

Petitioner was represented by [REDACTED] non-attorney representative.

Respondent was represented by Stacey Miller, ES worker.

Petitioner Exhibits B and C were offered and admitted into the record.

Department Exhibit A.784 was offered and admitted into the record.

### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) program?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED], 2020, Petitioner applied for SDA, a cash benefit program based on disability, with the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services.
2. Petitioner is a beneficiary of the Medicaid program and receives medical benefits under the Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP).
3. On May 19, 2020, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Petitioner's application.

4. On May 19, 2020, the Department issued notice, and on June 17, 2020, Petitioner filed a timely hearing request.
5. Petitioner has an SSI application pending with the Social Security Administration.
6. As of the date of application, Petitioner was a [REDACTED]-year-old, standing [REDACTED]" tall and weighing [REDACTED] pounds. Petitioner's Body Mass Index (BMI) is [REDACTED] classifying Petitioner as obese under the BMI.
7. Petitioner had hearing, learning and cognitive disabilities growing up, and was referred to special education services; Petitioner's parents refused to enroll him in the educational programs for his disabilities. Exhibit A.
8. Petitioner has no alcohol/drug abuse problem or history.
9. Petitioner does not smoke.
10. Petitioner has a driver's license and can drive an automobile.
11. Petitioner has no income and lives alone in [REDACTED] housing.
12. Petitioner is not currently working. Petitioner's more recent work history includes working as a janitor in a sheltered work environment. Prior to that, Petitioner worked a number of jobs where he was often let go before completing the probationary period even while receiving Michigan Rehabilitation supports. Exhibit A.412
13. Petitioner alleges disability based on multiple mental and physical impairments: mental impairments beginning before age [REDACTED] and likely to continue indefinitely; receptive/expressive language disorder, learning and economic self-insufficiency; ADHA; hyperlipidemia; back pain; diabetes; full scale IQ with verbal deficits 67, Exhibit A.749.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impairment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

For the SDA program, the Department's Program Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, p. 1.

As to the disability assessment, the State of Michigan follows the general guidelines with regards to the MA program to show SDA statutory disability with one major exception: duration for the SDA program is due to a disability which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 90 days. Unless otherwise noted below, the MA regulations, policy and law are followed.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

Disability is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required.

These steps are:

1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless

of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). Monthly income limit for 2017 presumptive SGA for non-blind individuals is \$1,170.00. If the applicant is not engaged SGA or presumptive SGA, the analysis continues to Step 2.

2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends, and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application, Petitioner has the burden of proof:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required to establish statutory disability. Statements alone made by the applicant and/or the applicant's physician are not sufficient. Rather, regulations require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate an any applicant's or physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

...Medical reports should include:

- (1) Medical history;
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results. of physical or mental status examinations);

- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms) ... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques.
- (c) **Psychiatric signs** are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated;
- (d) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927.

It is noted that Congress removed obesity from the Listing of Impairments shortly after the removal of drug and alcohol addiction. This removal reflects the view of a strong behavioral component. In addition, these behavioral driven impairments are not considered to fall within the category of diseases under consideration of statutory disability under the social security disability program.

Applying the sequential analysis herein, Petitioner is not ineligible at the first step as Petitioner is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Petitioner meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meet or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence of record, the undersigned finds that Petitioner meets or equals Listing 12.05 for the reasons set forth below.

Under Listing 12.05, a full-scale IQ score of 70 or below on an individually administered standardized test of general intelligence. Here, evidence found at Exhibit A.749 indicates that Petitioner's full-scale IQ of 67, 1<sup>st</sup> percentile and measured low verbal comprehensive index measures. Exhibit A.749. Thus, Petitioner meets or equals Listing 12.05 with the corresponding associated requirements for this Listing.

It is noted that MRT denied Petitioner on the grounds that Petitioner could do past relevant work at Step 4. However, Exhibits B and C contain records showing that Petitioner's past relevant work as a janitor was in a sheltered work setting. Thus, federal regulations do not find that such work, with accommodations, meets the definition of substantial gainful activity (SGA). In addition, Exhibits B and C verify that Petitioner's wages do not meet presumptive SGA on the grounds of the actual wages. Thus, taking into account the entire evidence of record, it cannot be said that the evidence supports the MRT finding that under statutory disability Petitioner can do past relevant work, at Step 4.

In the alternative, at Step 5, a finding of disability would be found on the basis of medical vocational grid rule 202.04. 20 CFR 416.920(f), and 920(g).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Petitioner disabled for purposes of the SDA benefit program.

**DECISION AND ORDER**


Accordingly, the Department's determination is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE THE ORDER WAS ISSUED:

1. Reinstate Petitioner's SDA application, and
2. Review Petitioner's case with regard to financial eligibility if necessary, and
3. If otherwise eligible under policy, issue any supplemental benefits to which Petitioner may be entitled, and
4. Review this case in accordance with customary Departmental policy and procedure.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

JS/ml



---

Janice Spodarek  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Robert Gordon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS**

Lacy Miller  
Clinton County DHHS – via electronic mail

BSC2 – via electronic mail

L. Karadsheh – via electronic mail

**Authorized Hearing Rep.**

[REDACTED] – via first class mail  
[REDACTED]  
MI [REDACTED]

**Petitioner**

[REDACTED] – via first class mail  
[REDACTED]  
MI [REDACTED]