



GRETCHEN WHITMER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], MI  
[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: August 14, 2020  
MOAHR Docket No.: 20-003962  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie**

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 14, 2020, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by himself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was not represented, but the hearing proceeded without the Department.

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly determine Petitioner's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA)?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner applied for MA benefits.
2. On March 12, 2020, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice, DHS-1606, that effective April 1, 2020, Petitioner was not eligible for MA due to excess income. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 6-9.
3. On [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 2020, the Department received a hearing request from Petitioner, contesting the Department's negative action.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner applied for MA benefits. On March 12, 2020, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice, DHS-1606, that effective April 1, 2020, Petitioner was not eligible for MA due to excess income. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 6-9. On [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 2020, the Department received a hearing request from Petitioner, contesting the Department's negative action.

There was no Department Representative present at the hearing. Petitioner stated that the Department miscounted his income. In addition, they included his check from his employment income as an asset instead of subtracting the amount from his monthly balance. This Administrative Law Judge found Petitioner to be credible. The existing hearing packet as submitted by the Department was utilized in the hearing. There was no application submitted or an application date on the hearing summary so the date of when he applied could not be determined. There were no check stubs submitted for the contested time period. In addition, a MA budget was not a part of the hearing packet. The Department has not met their burden.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied the Petitioner's application for MA due to excess income and assets.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination of Petitioner's eligibility for MA benefits based on his most recent application;
2. Based on policy, the Department should provide Petitioner with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination;
3. Issue Petitioner any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

*Carmen G. Fahie*

CF/hb

---

**Carmen G. Fahie**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Robert Gordon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS**

Wayne County (District 19) via electronic mail

BSC4 via electronic mail

D. Smith via electronic mail

EQADHShearings via electronic mail

**Petitioner**

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], MI [REDACTED]