GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: June 8, 2020 MOAHR Docket No.: 20-002089

Agency No.:
Petitioner:

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain**

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 13, 2020, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner was represented by Petitioner Witness also appeared to testify. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department or Respondent) was represented by Kim Wood, Eligibility Specialist.

Respondent's Exhibit A pages 1-348 were admitted as evidence.

#### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On Assistance (SDA) benefits alleging disability.
- (2) Petitioner receives Medical Assistance (Healthy Michigan Plan) and Food Assistance Program benefits.
- (3) On March 5, 2020, the Medical Review Team denied Petitioner's application stating that Petitioner could perform other work.
- (4) On March 6, 2020, the Department caseworker sent Petitioner notice that her application was denied.

- (5) On March 13, 2020, Petitioner filed a request for a hearing to contest the Department's negative action.
- (6) On March 23, 2020, the Department received a Hearing Summary and attached documents.
- (7) On May 13, 2020, the hearing was held.
- (8) Petitioner is a 47-year-old woman whose date of birth is December 14, She is 5'6" tall and weighs 200 lbs. Petitioner attended 9<sup>th</sup> grade and has no GED.
- (9) Petitioner can read and write. Petitioner has basic math skills.
- (10) Petitioner last worked October 2017 as a dishwasher; a manager at a customer services manager and a factory worker.
- (11) Petitioner alleges as disabling impairments: hypertension, diabetes mellitus, endometriosis, bi-polar disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder; anxiety; arthritis and fibromyalgia.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the following Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history;
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities, or ability to reason

and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913.

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, Petitioner is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked in recent years. Petitioner is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The subjective and objective medical evidence on the record indicates:

Petitioner testified that she lives with a roommate who pays her bills. She has no children under 18 and no income. She has a driver's license and drives once time per week to the Doctor. She does laundry. She alleges that she can stand for seven minutes and sit for 10-15 minutes. Petitioner can walk half a block and tie her shoes.

She can shower and dress herself. Her level of pain on a scale from one to ten is a above ten without medication and a seven with medication. She is right-handed. Her hands and arms are fine. She has no problems with her legs. She smokes five cigarettes per day.

A Disability Determination Explanation indicates in a physical residual functional capacity that Petitioner can occasionally carry 10 pounds and frequently carry less than 10 pounds. She can stand/walk for two hours and sit for about six hours in an 8-hour workday. She has unlimited ability to push or pull. She can climb ramps or stairs, kneel, crouch and stoop occasionally. She can never climb ladders, ropes and scaffolds. Petitioner has no manipulative, visual, communicative and environmental limitations. (Pages 239-240) A mental residual functional capacity assessment indicates that Petitioner is moderately limited if in the areas of the ability to maintain attention and concentration for extended periods; the ability to complete a normal workday in work week without interruptions from psychologically based symptoms and to perform on a consistent pace without an unreasonable number in length of rest periods; and the ability to interact appropriately with the general public. She is not significantly limited in any other areas. Petitioner can perform sedentary work pursuant to Medical Vocational Rule 201.19 and is not disabled. (Pages 239-245)

A progress Note indicates that Petitioner's blood pressure was 130/83. Her body mass index was 37.56. Weight was 218 pounds. Pulse oximetry on room air was 94%. She was assessed with chronic pain of the right knee. (Page 173) No fracture or dislocation is seen. No radiopaque foreign body is identified. No osteolytic or osteoblastic lesion is seen. The impression was an unremarkable right knee. (Page 176)

, Progress Note indicates that Petitioner is diagnosed with diabetes. Her blood sugars range 110 to 130 and she checks them every morning and She denies any numbness, tingling, frequent thirst or urination. examination is current. She has hypertension and blood pressure was mostly improved this year with the exception of a couple of readings. She was advised to guit smoking to further optimize her blood pressure control. She is diagnosed with fibromyalgia. She does smoke marijuana once per day for relief. She is diagnosed with tobacco dependency. She is smoking one pack a day but was smoking two packs per day. She has been smoking for about 30 years. She is currently using nicotine patches to help her quit. She is diagnosed with bipolar disorder and found a therapist she has been working well with once per week. Counseling has helped a lot. Petitioner had a normal physical examination accept that she was positive for arthralgia and back pain in the musculoskeletal area. (Pages 166-167) Petitioner's the blood pressure was 150/83. Her pulse was 66 and respiration 16. Pulse oximetry on room air and 97%. She weighed 218 pounds and was 5 feet 4 inches tall. Her body mass index of 37.49. Petitioner was oriented to person, place and time. She appeared well developed. No distress. She was obese. Her cardiovascular screen had normal rate and regular rhythm, S1 and S2 normal in normal heart sounds. Examination revealed no gallops or friction rub. Her behavior was normal. Her judgment and thought content were normal. She was assessed with control type two diabetes mellitus is without complication; benign essential hypertension; fibromyalgia; and bi-polar of active disorder remission status unspecified. (Pages 169-170)

A psychological evaluation dated \_\_\_\_\_, indicates that Petitioner stated that she has anxiety and has difficulty leaving the house. She hears voices when she is depressed. She has a history of depression. (Page 300)

An action and provided indicates that Petitioner has degenerative changes without acute fracture noted. Disc space narrowing at L4-5. Degenerative anterolateral spurring noted at the L2-3 disc level with sclerotic changes of the superior endplate of L3. (Page 281)

A Medical Needs form indicates that Petitioner cannot stand for more than five hours and needs assistance with meal preparation and laundry and is diagnosed with fibromyalgia, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia and hypertension. (Pages 247-248)

At Step 2, Petitioner has the burden of proof of establishing that she has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that Petitioner suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Petitioner has reports of pain in multiple areas of her body; however, there are no corresponding clinical findings that support the reports of symptoms and limitations made by Petitioner. There are insufficient laboratory or x-ray findings listed in the file which support Petitioner's contention of disability. The clinical impression is that Petitioner is stable. There is no medical finding that Petitioner has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality, or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, Petitioner has restricted herself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon her reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that Petitioner has met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is insufficient to establish that Petitioner has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence in the record indicating Petitioner suffers severe mental limitations. Petitioner was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Petitioner was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The evidentiary record is insufficient to find that

Petitioner suffers a severely restrictive mental impairment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner has failed to meet her burden of proof at Step 2. Petitioner must be denied benefits at this step based upon her failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If Petitioner had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of Petitioner's condition does not give rise to a finding that she would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

At Step 3, the medical evidence of Petitioner's condition does not give rise to a finding that Petitioner would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner's medical record does not support a finding that Petitioner's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A.

If Petitioner had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny her again at Step 4 based upon her ability to perform her past relevant work. There is no evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that Petitioner is unable to perform work in which she has been engaged in the past. Therefore, if Petitioner had not already been denied at Step 2, she would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that Petitioner does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work.** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work.** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Petitioner has submitted insufficient objective medical evidence that she lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior employment or that she is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of her. Petitioner's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and she should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with her impairments. Petitioner has failed to provide the necessary objective medical evidence to establish that she has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which prevent her from performing any level of work for a period of 90 days. Petitioner's testimony as to her limitations indicates that she should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent Petitioner from working at any job. Petitioner was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. Petitioner was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Petitioner's complaints of pain, while profound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to Petitioner's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that Petitioner has no residual functional capacity. Petitioner is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that she has not established by objective medical evidence that she cannot perform light or sedentary work even with her impairments. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual (age 47), with limited education and an unskilled work history who is limited to light or sedentary work, is not considered disabled.

Careful consideration has been given to Petitioner's allegations and symptoms. Petitioner has established that her mental condition could cause problems with daily and work functioning. However, the totality of the evidence does not support total disability. Petitioner's medically determinable impairments could reasonably be expected to produce alleged symptoms, Petitioner's statements concerning the intensity, persistence and limiting effects of these symptoms do not result in disability when compared to the limitations suggested by the objective medical evidence contained in the file.

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that Petitioner has not met the disability standard to establish eligibility to receive State Disability Assistance.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied Petitioner's application for State Disability Assistance benefits based upon disability. Petitioner should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with her impairments. The Department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED.** 

LL/hb

Administrative Law Judge

for Robert Gordon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS** Clare County, DHHS

BSC2 via electronic mail

L. Karadsheh via electronic mail

**Petitioner** 

