

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: February 3, 2020 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-013259

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned administrative law judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 29, 2020, from Detroit, Michigan. The hearing was held on the scheduled hearing date and at least 30 minutes after the scheduled hearing time. Petitioner appeared and was unrepresented. The Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) did not participate in the hearing.

ISSUE

The issue is whether MDHHS properly terminated Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The administrative law judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- As of October 2019, Petitioner was an ongoing recipient of Medicaid.
- 2. On November 5, 2019, MDHHS terminated Petitioner's MA eligibility effective December 2019 due to Petitioner's failure to return a Redetermination form.
- 3. As of December 2019, MDHHS failed to properly mail Petitioner a Redetermination form.

4. On _____, 2019, Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute the terminations of MA and FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k. MDHHS policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute a termination of Medicaid. MDHHS' Hearing Summary stated that notice of the termination was sent to Petitioner on November 15, 2019. The reason for Medicaid termination was Petitioner's alleged failure to return a Redetermination form.

MDHHS must periodically redetermine or renew an individual's eligibility for active programs. The redetermination/renewal process includes a thorough review of all eligibility factors. BAM 210 (January 2018), p. 1. For all programs, Bridges (the MDHHS database), generates a redetermination packet to the client three days prior to the negative action cut-off date in the month before the redetermination is due. *Id.*, p. 8. A redetermination/review packet is considered complete when all of the sections of the redetermination form, including the signature section, are completed. *Id.*, p. 11. Medicaid benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a renewal is completed and a new benefit period is certified. *Id.*, p. 4.

Petitioner's testimony acknowledged that she did not complete and return a Redetermination form to MDHHS. Petitioner also testified that she did not only because she never received a Redetermination form from MDHHS. Petitioner's testimony was plausible and unrebutted.

Based on a closure month of December 2019, MDHHS should have mailed Petitioner a Redetermination form in October 2019. MDHHS failed to participate in the hearing to establish that Petitioner was mailed a Redetermination form. Given the evidence, MDHHS failed to establish a proper mailing of a Redetermination form to Petitioner. Without establishing that a Redetermination form was mailed to Petitioner, MDHHS cannot establish a proper termination based on Petitioner's failure to return the form. Thus, the termination of Petitioner's Medicaid was improper.

DECISION AND ORDER

The administrative law judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that MDHHS improperly terminated Petitioner's Medicaid eligibility. It is ordered that MDHHS begin to perform the following actions within 10 days of the date of mailing of this decision:

- (1) Reinstate Petitioner's Medicaid eligibility beginning December 2019 subject to the finding that MDHHS failed to mail Petitioner a Redetermination form; and
- (2) Initiate a supplement of any benefits improperly not issued.

The actions taken by MDHHS are **REVERSED**.

CG/cg

Christian Gardocki

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via Email: MDHHS-Oakland-2-Hearings

D. Smith EQAD

BSC4- Hearing Decisions

MOAHR

Petitioner - Via First-Class Mail:

