GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: August 26, 2019 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-004346 Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG Respondent:

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: John Markey

## HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 19, 2019, from Detroit, Michigan. The Department was represented by Thomas Malik, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Respondent did not appear at the hearing. The hearing was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5). During the hearing, a 40-page packet of documents was offered and admitted into evidence as Exhibit A, pp. 1-40.

### **ISSUES**

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup and/or collect?
- 2. Did the Department establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) with respect to FAP?
- 3. Should Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On **Washtenaw**, 2012, Respondent was convicted of a controlled substance felony in Washtenaw County, Michigan. Exhibit A, pp. 27-31.
- 2. On **Example**, 2017, Respondent was convicted of a controlled substance felony in Washtenaw County, Michigan. Exhibit A, pp. 32-33.
- 3. Respondent was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits. On October 4, 2017, the Department issued to Respondent a Redetermination form to gather relevant information regarding Respondent's ongoing eligibility for FAP benefits. On October 25, 2017, Respondent submitted to the Department the completed Redetermination form. The form asked Respondent if he had been convicted of a drug-related felony and if so, had he been so convicted more than once. Respondent honestly answered that he had been convicted one time. However, when asked if he had been so convicted more than one time, Respondent dishonestly answered "No." Exhibit A, pp. 19-26.
- 4. Respondent signed the Redetermination and thereby certified that he understood the questions in the form and that he provided true and complete information. Respondent further certified that he understood the consequences of providing false information on the form. Exhibit A, p. 25.
- 5. Respondent's FAP benefits were approved. Exhibit A, pp. 39-40.
- The Department's OIG filed a hearing request 2019 to establish an overissuance of FAP benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having allegedly committed an IPV by failing to timely report his 2017 conviction then misrepresenting his criminal history in his Redetermination. Exhibit A, pp. 1-9.
- 7. The OIG requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for a period of one year for a first IPV. Exhibit A, pp. 1-9.
- 8. The Department's OIG indicates that the time period it is considering the fraud period with respect to FAP is March 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017 (fraud period), during which the Department issued Respondent \$1,934 in FAP benefits. The Department is seeking an order requiring Respondent to repay those benefits to the Department. Exhibit A, pp. 1-9; 39-40.
- 9. A Notice of Hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the United States Postal Services as undeliverable.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp Program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Department's position in this matter is that Respondent committed an IPV with respect to FAP and should be accordingly required to pay back the alleged ill-gotten gains and be disqualified from receipt of FAP benefits for a period of one year.

#### <u>Overissuance</u>

When an ineligible client is issued benefits or an eligible client is issued more benefits than the client is entitled, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. BAM 700 (October 2016), p. 1; 7 CFR 273.18.

#### Intentional Program Violation

The Department's policy in effect at the time of Respondent's alleged IPV defined an IPV as an overissuance in which the following three conditions exist: (1) the client intentionally failed to report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination; (2) the client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities; and (3) the client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill his or her reporting responsibilities. BAM 720 (January 2016) p. 1; 7 CFR 273.16(c).

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p. 1; see also 7 CFR 273.16(e)(6). Clear and convincing evidence is evidence which is so clear, direct, weighty, and convincing that it enables a firm belief

as to the truth of the allegations sought to be established. *In re Martin*, 450 Mich 204, 227; 538 NW2d 399 (1995) (citing *In re Jobes*, 108 NJ 394 (1987)).

In this case, the Department has met its burden of proof. Respondent was required to completely and truthfully answer all questions in forms and in interviews. BAM 105 (October 2016), p. 9. The Department clearly and correctly instructed Respondent of the requirement to provide truthful answers and the penalties for failing to do so.

Despite being clearly instructed to answer questions honestly and certifying that he had done so, Respondent failed to completely and truthfully answer all questions on the October 2017 Redetermination form. The Department asked Respondent whether he had been convicted of two or more drug-related felonies, and Respondent dishonestly answered "No."

Respondent intentionally misrepresented his criminal past to the Department to obtain benefits when he knew or should have known that the Department would consider the information in determining his eligibility for FAP benefits. The Department has proven by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an intentional program violation with respect to FAP.

#### **Disqualification**

A client who is found to have committed an IPV with respect to FAP by a court or hearing decision is disqualified from receiving FAP benefits. BAM 720, pp. 15-16; 7 CFR 273.16. In general, clients are disqualified for standard disqualification periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV. BAM 720, p. 16. A ten-year disqualification is imposed if a client makes a fraudulent statement or representation regarding residence in order to receive concurrent benefits from more than one state. BAM 720, p. 16; BEM 203, p. 1; 7 CFR 273.16(b)(5).

There is no evidence on the record that Respondent has previously been found to have committed an IPV with respect to FAP. Thus, Respondent is subject to a one-year disqualification from receiving FAP benefits.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

- 1. Respondent received an overissuance of FAP benefits in the amount of \$1,934 that the Department is entitled to recoup and/or collect.
- 2. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV with respect to his FAP benefits.
- 3. Respondent is subject to a one-year disqualification from receiving FAP benefits.

IT IS ORDERED that the Department may initiate recoupment and/or collection procedures for the total FAP overissuance amount of \$1,934 established in this matter less any amounts already recouped or collected.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for a period of one year.

JM/cg

John Markey

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via Email:

MDHHS-Washtenaw-20-Hearings OIG Hearings Recoupment MOAHR

Respondent – Via First-Class Mail: