

Date Mailed: May 8, 2019 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-001178

Agency No.: Petitioner:

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION

A hearing was requested to dispute the closure by the Department of Health	and
Human Services (Department) of Petitioner Medicaid (MA) case	э. A
prehearing conference was held on Monday, May 6, 2019 to address the issues of	the
timeliness of the hearing request and jurisdictional matters. The Department	was
represented by assistant attorney general (AAG).	mily
Independence Manager, and Manager, Eligibility Specialist, appeared	as
witnesses on the Department's behalf. Petitioner was represented by attorney	

The Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR) conducts hearings to address the Department's

- denial of an application and/or supplemental payments.
- reduction in the amount of program benefits or service.
- suspension or termination of program benefits or service.
- restrictions under which benefits or services are provided.
- delay of any action beyond standards of promptness.
- for Food Assistance Program and Child Development and Care, the current level of benefits or denial of expedited service.

Department's Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (October 2018), p. 5; see also Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. If the client's authorized hearing representative or attorney disputes a Department action, a hearing must be requested within 90 days from the date the Department sent written notice of the disputed case action.

Here, a hearing was requested to dispute the Department's closure of Petitioner's MA case due to the value of countable assets exceeding the limit. At the prehearing conference, the Department did not dispute that the hearing was timely requested by a properly authorized hearing representative. The Eligibility Specialist testified that, after

the Department received Petitioner's hearing request, it re-evaluated the trust that had resulted in the closure of Petitioner's case, determined that the trust was not a countable asset, and reinstated Petitioner's MA case effective December 1, 2018. Petitioner's counsel confirmed that Petitioner's case had been reinstated and that her MA case was active. Although Petitioner's counsel also disputed the Department's conclusion that Petitioner was not eligible for Disabled Adult Child (DAC) MA, the hearing request disputed the MA case closure, and the issue of DAC eligibility was not properly presented for hearing. Because the Department resolved the issue for which Petitioner requested a hearing, there is no basis for a hearing. Accordingly, Petitioner's request for hearing is **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

AE/tm

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

100

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules.

DHHS	
Counsel for Respondent	
Petitioner	
Counsel for Petitioner	