GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: July 12, 2019 MOAHR Docket No.: 19-004577 Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, particularly 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and R 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 2, 2019 from Lansing, Michigan.

The Department was represented by Patrick Cousineau of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Mr. Cousineau testified on behalf of the Department. The Department submitted 125 exhibits which were admitted into evidence.

Respondent did not appear at the hearing and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5). The record was closed at the conclusion of the hearing.

<u>ISSUES</u>

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?
- 2. Did the Department establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?
- 3. Should Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for 12 months?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department's Office of Inspector General filed a hearing request on April 30, 2019, to establish an overissuance of benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having allegedly committed a FAP IPV. [Dept Exh. 1].
- 2. The Office of Inspector General has requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for 12 months. [Dept Exh. 1, 4].
- 3. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits issued by the Department. [Dept Exh. 113-114].
- 4. Respondent was aware of the responsibility to report previous drug convictions as evidenced by his checking "no," when asked if he had been convicted of a drug felony on Assistance Applications dated 2015; 2015; 2015; 2015; and 2015; 2015; and 2015;
- 5. Respondent did not have an apparent mental impairment that would limit his understanding or ability to complete the Redetermination accurately and truthfully. [Dept. Exh. 15, 42, 76].
- On July 9, 2002, Respondent pled guilty to Attempt Controlled Substance Delivery/Manufacture (Narcotic or Cocaine) less than 50 grams. [Dept. Exh. 106-108].
- 7. Respondent pled guilty on May 26, 2004 to Controlled Substance Delivery/Manufacture (Marijuana). [Dept. Exh. 109-112].
- 8. Respondent did not appear and give evidence at the scheduled hearing to rebut the evidence presented by Petitioner in the Hearing Summary and admitted exhibits.
- 9. The Department's Office of Inspector General indicates that the time period it is considering the fraud period is 2015 through 2015 through 2015. [Dept. Exh. 1, 4].
- 10. During the fraud period, Respondent was issued \$1,627.00 in FAP benefits by the State of Michigan, and the Department alleges that Respondent was not entitled to benefits during this time period. [Dept. Exh. 4].
- 11. A Notice of Hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the US Post Office as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Effective October 1, 2017, the Department's Office of Inspector General requests Intentional Program Violation hearings for the following cases:

- 1. FAP trafficking overissuances that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- 2. Prosecution of welfare fraud or Food Assistance Program trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and

•The total amount for the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) programs combined is \$500 or more, or

•the total amount is less than \$500, and

••the group has a previous Intentional Program Violation, or

••the alleged Intentional Program Violation involves Food Assistance Program trafficking, or

••the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or

••the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee. BAM 720, pp 12-13 (10/1/2017).

Intentional Program Violation

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

• The client **intentionally** failed to report information **or intentionally** gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, **and**

- The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, **and**
- The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities. 7 CFR 271.2; BAM 720, p 1 (emphasis in original).

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the **purpose** of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p 1 (emphasis in original); see also 7 CFR 273.16(e)(6). Clear and convincing evidence is evidence sufficient to result in a clear and firm belief that the proposition is true. See M Civ JI 8.01.

In this case, when Respondent was asked if he had previous drug felony convictions on his 2015; 2015; 2015; and 2015; and 2015 Assistance Applications, Respondent checked "no." As evidenced by Respondent answering "no" on the applications, the Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent intentionally withheld information for the purpose of establishing and maintaining FAP benefits. This is Respondent's first IPV.

Disqualification

A client who is found to have committed an Intentional Program Violation by a court or hearing decision is disqualified from receiving program benefits. 7 CFR 273.16(b)(1); 7 CFR 273.16(b)(5); 7 CFR 273.16(b)(11); BAM 720, p 16. Clients are disqualified for ten years for a Food Assistance Program Intentional Program Violation involving concurrent receipt of benefits, and, for all other Intentional Program Violation cases involving Family Independence Program, Food Assistance Program or State Disability Assistance, for standard disqualification periods of one year for the first Intentional Program Violation, and lifetime for the third Intentional Program Violation or conviction of two felonies for the use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances in separate periods if both offenses occurred after August 22, 1996. 21 USC 862a; 7 CFR 273.1(b)(7)(vii); 7 CFR 273.11(m); 7 CFR 273.11(c)(1); BEM 203, p 2; BAM 720, p 16. A disqualified member may continue as the grantee only if there is no other eligible adult in the group. BAM 720, p 17 (emphasis in original).

Here, the Department has requested a 12-month disqualification. Because Respondent's felony drug convictions occurred after August 22, 1996, Respondent was not eligible for FAP benefits. Consequently, Respondent is disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for 12 months.

Overissuance

When a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. BAM 700, $p \ 1 \ (1/1/2018)$.

In the above-captioned case, Respondent had two felony drug-related convictions after August 22, 1996. As a result of the felony drug convictions, Respondent was not eligible for FAP benefits. Therefore, Respondent received an overissuance of \$1,627.00 for the fraud period of 2015 through 2015 through 2015.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

- 1. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent did receive an overissuance of FAP benefits in the amount of \$1,627.00.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment/collection procedures for the amount of \$1,627.00 in accordance with Department policy.

VLA/nr

Vički L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director Department of Health and Human Services **NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (MOAHR).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MOAHR within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MOAHR will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MOAHR. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MOAHR Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS	Denise McCoggle 27260 Plymouth Rd Redford, MI 48239
	Wayne 15 County DHHS- via electronic mail
	MDHHS- Recoupment- via electronic mail
	L. Bengel- via electronic mail
Petitioner	OIG PO Box 30062 Lansing, MI 48909-7562
Respondent	CA