GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR State of Michigan DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: March 8, 2019 MAHS Docket No.: 19-000847 Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 28, 2019, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department or Respondent) was represented by Christine Brown, Hearings Facilitator.

Respondent's Exhibit A pages 1-7 were admitted as evidence.

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly cancel Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was a FAP benefit recipient.
- 2. The Department received a New Hire Notice.
- 3. On December 19, 2018, the Department sent Petitioner a New Hire Client Notice with a due date of January 2, 2019.
- 4. On January 2, 2019, no new hire information was received by the Department.

- 5. On January 9, 2019, the Department sent Petitioner Notice of Case Action that her FAP case would close effective February 1, 2019, because Petitioner failed to verify or allow the Department to verify requested information.
- 6. On January 9, 2019, the FAP case closed because of Petitioner's failure to return the New Hire Client Notice in a timely manner.
- 7. On January 25, 2019, Petitioner returned the New Hire Client Notice.
- 8. On January 25, 2019, the Department received a Request for Hearing to contest the Department's negative action.
- 9. On February 7, 2019, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System received a copy of the hearing summary and attached documents from the Department.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

Pertinent FAP policy indicates:

Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may grant a hearing for any of the following:

- Denial of an application and/or supplemental payments.
- Reduction in the amount of program benefits or service.
- Suspension or termination of program benefits or service.
- Restrictions under which benefits, or services are provided.
- Delay of any action beyond standards of promptness.
- For FAP only, the current level of benefits or denial of expedited service. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (April 1, 2017), pp 3-4.

The Petitioner or AHR has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. The request must be received in the local office within the 90 days. BAM 600, page 6

The Department must establish its case by a preponderance of the evidence on the record. A preponderance of evidence is evidence which is of a greater weight or more convincing than evidence offered in opposition to it. It is simply that evidence which outweighs the evidence offered to oppose it *Martucci v Detroit Commissioner of Police*, 322 Mich 270; 33 NW2d 789 (1948).

All Programs Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements.

Obtain verification when:

- Required by policy. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) items specify which factors and under what circumstances verification is required.
- Required as a local office option. The requirement must be applied the same for every client. Local requirements may not be imposed for Medicaid Assistance (MA).
- Information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party.

Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. (Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 130, page 1)

In this case, Petitioner testified that she did fill out an application for a job but did not work or receive income. Petitioner must provide verification that she is not employed when she reapplies for Food Assistance Program benefits. She failed to do so in a timely manner.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in accordance with Department policy when it cancelled Petitioner's FAP benefits because Petitioner failed to provide income verification information. Petitioner has not established good cause for failure to return the information to the Department. The Department's case is established by a preponderance of the evidence presented and must be upheld.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Landis Lain

Administrative Law Judge for Robert Gordon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**<u>OF APPEAL</u>**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

LL/hb

Hearing System (MAHS).

rehearing/reconsideration.

DHHS	Linda Gooden 25620 W. 8 Mile Rd Southfield, MI 48033
	Oakland County (District 3), DHHS
	BSC4 via electronic mail
	M. Holden via electronic mail
	D. Sweeney via electronic mail
Petitioner	, MI