



GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

ORLENE HAWKS
DIRECTOR

██████████
████████████████████
██████████ MI ██████████

Date Mailed: March 4, 2019
MAHS Docket No.: 18-014016
Agency No.: ██████████
Petitioner: ██████████ ██████████

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 5, 2019, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by herself. Petitioner's mother ██████████ ██████████ appeared and testified for Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Laura Joiner AP Supervisor. Department Exhibit 1, pp. 1-510 was received and admitted.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner applied for SDA on ██████████ ██████████ 2018.
2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on ██████████ ██████████ 2018.
3. Petitioner filed a request for hearing on December 20, 2018, regarding the SDA denial.
4. A telephone hearing was held on February 5, 2019.
5. Petitioner is ██████████" tall and weighs ██████████ pounds.
6. Petitioner is ██████████ years old.

7. Petitioner's impairments have been medically diagnosed as left arm weakness, coronary artery disease, hypothyroidism, heart attack, carpal tunnel syndrome, bursitis, hypertension, Grave's disease, shoulder pain, depression and anxiety.
8. Petitioner has the following symptoms: pain, fatigue, vision problems, insomnia, panic attacks, memory and concentration problems.
9. Petitioner completed a GED.
10. Petitioner is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
11. Petitioner is not working. Petitioner last worked in February 2017 as a stocker. Petitioner previously worked cleaning rooms.
12. Petitioner lives with her son.
13. Petitioner testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
14. Petitioner takes the following prescribed medications:
 - a. Plavix
 - b. Norvasc
 - c. Synthroid
 - d. Ranitidine
 - e. Lipitor
 - f. Lamictal
15. Petitioner testified to the following physical limitations:
 - i. Sitting: 10-20 minutes
 - ii. Standing: 5 minutes
 - iii. Walking: 1-2 blocks
 - iv. Bend/stoop: some difficulty
 - v. Lifting: 10-15 lbs.
 - vi. Grip/grasp: difficulty
16. On [REDACTED] 2018, Petitioner had 2 intracoronary stents placed following an acute inferior wall myocardial infarction. (Ex. 1, p.138)
17. Petitioner was hospitalized for gross hematuria related to kidney problems on [REDACTED] 2018.
18. Petitioner's counselor provided a statement dated [REDACTED] 2018 that reads as follows: "I have seen [REDACTED] [REDACTED] for mental health counseling for six one-hour sessions. I have not seen evidence of cognitive impairment, emotional instability of physical limitations that would allow me to suggest she is

not fit to return to work. During our last two sessions I have encouraged her to find part time employment or volunteer work to address the boredom she is exhibiting and reported that she is currently feeling.” (Ex. 1, p. 69)

19. In a consultation physical examination report dated [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 2018, the examining physician wrote the following under CONCLUSIONS: “At today’s examination the patient was able to complete all tasks asked of her with some mild difficulty due to pain. Range of motion and motor strength is intact throughout. Hearing appears normal today. Tinel’s and Phalens test is negative bilaterally. The patient does not require the use of an assistive device and gait is normal Grip Strength is 5/5 bilaterally as tested grossly with no digital dexterity loss. Cardiac and pulmonary examination is essentially normal.” (Ex. 1, p. 74)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impairment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations require that the Department use the same operative definition for “disabled” as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

“Disability” is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Petitioner is not working, therefore, the Petitioner is not disqualified at this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Petitioner is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical, or mental, ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
4. Use of judgment;
5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and
6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Petitioner's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Petitioner's medical record does not support a finding that the Petitioner's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listings 1.02, 4.04 and 12.04 were considered.

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities, or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CFR 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician, or mental health professional, that an individual is disabled, or blind, is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether the Petitioner has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Petitioner within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Petitioner from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Petitioner previously worked as a stocker. Working as a stocker would be considered medium exertional work. Petitioner would not be able to perform his previous work due to her limitations lifting. This Administrative Law Judge will continue through step 5.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine: if the Petitioner's impairment(s) prevent the Petitioner from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the Petitioner's:

1. residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations? 20 CFR 416.945;
2. age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-965; and
3. the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the Petitioner could perform despite her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work: Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting, or carrying, articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work: Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work: Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work: Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once the Petitioner makes it to the final step of the analysis, the Petitioner has already established a prima facie case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 732 Fd2 962 (6th Cir, 1984). Moving forward the burden of proof rests with the state to prove by substantial evidence that the Petitioner has the residual function capacity for substantial gainful activity.

After careful review of the medical evidence presented and Petitioner's statements and considering the Petitioner in the most restrictive circumstances this Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner would be able to perform work at least on the sedentary exertional level.


This Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner is capable of the requisite sitting, standing and walking for a sedentary job. The Petitioner is a younger individual at age 46. 20 CFR 416.963. Petitioner's previous work has been unskilled. Federal Rule 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2 contains specific profiles for determining disability based on residual functional capacity and vocational profiles. Under Table 1, Rule 201.18 the Petitioner is not disabled for the purposes of SDA. Petitioner's testimony regarding her limitations and ability to sit, stand, walk, lift and carry is not supported by substantial evidence. Petitioner failed to present sufficient evidence that she has a psychological impairment that is substantially limiting.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Petitioner is not medically disabled for the purposes of SDA eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

AM/nr



Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
for Robert Gordon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS

Sarina Baber
22 Center Street
Ypsilanti, MI
48198

Washtenaw County DHHS- via electronic
mail

BSC4- via electronic mail

L. Karadsheh- via electronic mail

Petitioner

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] MI
[REDACTED]