

GRETCHEN WHITMER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS LANSING

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR

| , MI | | |
|------|--|--|

Date Mailed: January 8, 2019 MAHS Docket No.: 18-012237

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 2, 2019, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner was represented by Petitioner was represented by Petitioner Was represented by Jeffery Terry, Assistance Payments Worker.

Respondent's Exhibits 1-5 and Petitioner's Exhibits 1-5 were admitted as evidence.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly determine that Petitioner is ineligible to receive Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 2018, Petitioner applied for Food Assistance Program and Medical Assistance Program benefits.
- 2. On November 13, 2018, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action that he and his wife were approved for \$24 per month in Food Assistance Program benefits, which Petitioner is no longer contesting at the hearing.

- 3. On November 13, 2018, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice indicating that Petitioner does not meet the requirements for the Medical Assistance Program effective December 1, 2018, ongoing because countable income exceeds the income limit for her group size for the Healthy Michigan Plan.
- 4. The Healthy Michigan Plan annual income limit for a household of two people is
- 5. Petitioner's spouse receives gross RSDI income through the Social Security Administration in the amount of \$ monthly or \$ per year.
- 6. As of September 14, 2018, Petitioner's spouse has gross yearly income of \$\ \text{(average \$\text{\text{weak}}\) per month).
- 7. Petitioner's spouse's combined yearly income is at least \$ as of September 14, 2018.
- 8. The Department determined that Petitioner's spouse's annual income was in excess of \$21,891.80 income limits.
- 9. On November 20, 2018, Petitioner filed a Request for Hearing to contest the Department's negative action.
- 10. On December 3, 2018, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System received a request for hearing along with attached hearing summary and documents
- 11. On January 2, 2019, the hearing was held.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Pertinent Department Policy dictates:

The Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) is based on Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) methodology. The Healthy Michigan Plan provides health care coverage for a category of eligibility authorized under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and Michigan Public Act 107 of 2013 effective April 1, 2014.

The Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) provides health care coverage for individuals who:

- Are 19-64 years of age.
- Do not qualify for or are not enrolled in Medicare.
- Do not qualify for or are not enrolled in other Medicaid programs.
- Are not pregnant at the time of application.
- Meet Michigan residency requirements.
- Meet Medicaid citizenship requirements.
- Have income at or below 133% Federal Poverty Level (FPL) Cost Sharing.

The Healthy Michigan Plan has beneficiary cost sharing obligations. Cost sharing includes copays and contributions based on income, when applicable. Copayments for services may apply to HMP beneficiaries. Prior to enrollment in a health plan, beneficiaries are eligible to receive Healthy Michigan Plan services through the Fee-for-Service system. Copays are collected at the point of service, with the exception of chronic conditions and preventive services. Modified adjusted gross income must be at or below 133 percent of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). BEM 137, pages 1-3

In order to determine income in accordance with MAGI, a client's adjusted gross income (AGI) is added to any tax-exempt foreign income, tax-exempt Social Security benefits, and tax-exempt interest. AGI is found on IRS Tax Form 1040 at line 37, Form 1040 EZ at line 4, and Form 1040A at line 21. Alternatively, it is calculated by taking the "federal taxable wages" for each income earner in the household as shown on the paystub or, if not shown on the paystub, by using gross income before taxes reduced by any money the employer takes out for health coverage, child care, or retirement savings. This figure is multiplied by the number of paychecks the client expects in 2017 to estimate income for the year. See https://www.healthcare.gov/income-and-household-information/how-to-report/.

Department policy requires the Department to count and budget all income received that is not specifically excluded. There are 3 main types of income: countable earned, countable unearned, and excluded. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for remuneration or profit. Unearned income is any income that is not earned. The amount of income counted maybe more than the amount a person actually receives, because it is the amount before deductions are taken including the deductions for taxes and garnishments. The amount before any deductions are taken is called a gross amount. BEM, item 500, p. 1.

Petitioner's spouse's gross countable yearly income is in excess of Healthy Michigan Program income limits. The MA household limit for a family of two persons, between the ages of 19-64 is \$21,891.60.

Petitioner alleges that he was recently released from prison after 25 years. He has had a mental breakdown and needs medical assistance to see his doctors.

Petitioner's allegation that he should have Medical Assistance because of his mental breakdown is a compelling equitable argument to be excused from the Department's program policy requirements. This Administrative Law Judge has no equity powers.

Administrative Law Judges have no authority to make decisions on constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the Department policy set out in the program manuals.

Furthermore, administrative adjudication is an exercise of executive power rather than judicial power and restricts the granting of equitable remedies. *Michigan Mutual Liability Co. v Baker*, 295 Mich 237; 294 NW 168 (1940).

A review of Petitioner's case reveals that the Department budgeted the correct amount of income earned by Petitioner. The Healthy Michigan Program income limits are set by Medicaid policy and cannot be changed by the Department or this Administrative Law Judge. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds the Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it acted in accordance with Department policy when determined Petitioner has excess income for purposes of Medical Assistance benefit eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Petitioner had excess income for Medical Assistance eligibility under the Healthy Michigan Program.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

LL/hb

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

endis U Kain

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 **DHHS**

Susan Noel 26355 Michigan Ave. Inkster, MI 48141

Wayne County (District 19), DHHS

BSC4 via electronic mail

M. Holden via electronic mail

D. Sweeney via electronic mail

Petitioner

