

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS Lansing

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: September 11, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 18-007265 Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: John Markey

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 21, 2018, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner appeared and represented herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Pamela Slater, Eligibility Specialist. During the hearing, 31 pages of documents were offered and admitted as Exhibit A, pp. 1-31.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for July of 2018?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner is disabled and an ongoing FAP recipient in a FAP group of one.
- 2. On February 5, 2018, the Department issued to Petitioner a Redetermination to gather relevant information from Petitioner regarding Petitioner's ongoing eligibility for FAP benefits. Exhibit A, pp. 5-12.
- 3. On March 5, 2018, Petitioner returned the completed Redetermination to the Department. On the completed Redetermination, Petitioner indicated that she did not have any changes to her housing expenses. Petitioner also indicated that she

did not receive either the Home Heating Credit (HHC) or any other heating assistance in the previous 12 months. Exhibit A, pp. 10-11.

- 4. On March 13, 2018, the Department issued to Petitioner a Notice of Case Action informing Petitioner that she was eligible for \$15 per month in FAP benefits, effective April 1, 2018. This was a substantial reduction from her previous amount of benefits. Exhibit A, pp. 16-18.
- 5. On July 17, 2018, Petitioner submitted to the Department a request for hearing challenging her current level of FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

Clients have the right to a hearing to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. Upon receiving a request for hearing, the Department will forward the matter to the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) for a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). The ALJ has jurisdiction to hear a case involving any of the following:

- Denial of an application and/or supplemental payments.
- Reduction in the amount of program benefits or service.
- Suspension or termination of program benefits or service.
- Restrictions under which benefits, or services are provided.
- Delay of any action beyond standards of promptness.
- For FAP only, the current level of benefits or denial of expedited service. BAM 600 (January 2018), p. 5.

However, the ALJ only has jurisdiction to hear a timely and properly submitted request for hearing. BAM 600, p. 6, provides in relevant part as follows:

The client or [authorized hearing representative] has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. The request must be received in the local office within the 90 days.

Petitioner requested a hearing on July 17, 2018, objecting to the Department's calculation of her FAP benefits. The Notice of Case Action informing Petitioner of the Department's reduction in her FAP benefits was issued on March 13, 2018. Because Petitioner's hearing request was untimely with respect to that Notice of Case Action, whether or not the Department properly reduced Petitioner's FAP benefits is not an issue that this ALJ has the authority to hear or issue a decision upon.

However, BAM 600 does provide Petitioner with the right to a hearing contesting the current level of FAP benefits. Thus, because Petitioner submitted a hearing request in July of 2018, she is entitled to a hearing with respect to the calculation of her FAP benefits for July of 2018 ongoing.

The Department calculated Petitioner's FAP benefit amount for July of 2018 by taking into account Petitioner's reported and verified income and expense information. Petitioner had total income of **1000**, all of which was unearned. The standard deduction of \$160 was then taken out, resulting in adjusted gross income of **1000**. Petitioner did not report any child care, medical, or child support expenses. Thus, those deductions are not applicable.

However, Petitioner is eligible for the excess shelter deduction. Petitioner had housing costs of \$150 and was eligible for the heating and utility (h/u) standard of \$537. Added together, Petitioner had monthly shelter expenses of \$687. The excess shelter deduction is calculated by subtracting from the \$687 one half of the adjusted gross income, which is **_____**. The remaining amount, if it is greater than \$0, is the excess shelter deduction. In this case, the remaining amount is **_____**, which the Department properly calculated as Petitioner's excess shelter deduction. Exhibit A, p. 30. Petitioner's net income of **_____** is calculated by subtracting the excess shelter deduction (**_____**), which is what the Department properly found. Exhibit A, p. 29.

The Food Assistant Issuance Table shows \$126 in benefits for net income for a household of one. RFT 260 (October 2017), p. 4. This is the amount determined by the Department and is correct. The Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined Petitioner's FAP benefits for July of 2018 ongoing.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined Petitioner's FAP benefits for July of 2018 ongoing. Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

JM/dh

Mark. John Markey

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS

Melissa Brandt 920 East Lincoln St Ionia, MI 48846

Ionia County, DHHS

BSC3 via electronic mail

M. Holden via electronic mail

D. Sweeney via electronic mail



Petitioner