

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS Lansing

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: August 8, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 17-015026 Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG Respondent:

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

## **HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION**

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and R 400.3178. A hearing scheduled for April 16, 2018, was adjourned on April 24, 2018. Another hearing scheduled for May 31, 2018, was adjourned on May 31, 2018. A third notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at their last known address on June 4, 2018, and a telephone hearing was held on July 5, 2018, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Derrick Gentry, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Respondent did not appear at the hearing and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5).

## **ISSUES**

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?
- 2. Did the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?
- 3. Should Respondent be disqualified from the Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On a Redetermination (DHS-1010) form received by the Department on January 2, 2015, Respondent acknowledged his duties and responsibilities

including the duty to report the drug-related felony convictions of group members. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Exhibit A, pp 10-15.

- 2. Respondent acknowledged under penalties of perjury that his January 2, 2015, Redetermination form was examined by or read to him, and, to the best of his knowledge, contained facts that were true and complete. Exhibit A, p 15.
- Respondent reported on his January 2, 2015, Redetermination form that he had not been convicted of any felonies involving controlled substances. Exhibit A, p 14.
- 4. On a Redetermination (DHS-1010) form received by the Department on November 9, 2015, Respondent acknowledged his duties and responsibilities including the duty to report the drug-related felony convictions of group members. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Exhibit A, pp 16-21.
- 5. Respondent acknowledged under penalties of perjury that his November 9, 2015, Redetermination form was examined by or read to him, and, to the best of his knowledge, contained facts that were true and complete. Exhibit A, p 21.
- Respondent reported on his November 9, 2015, Redetermination form that he had not been convicted of any felonies involving controlled substances. Exhibit A, p 20.
- Respondent failed to report to the Department that on either of his Redetermination (DHS-1010) forms that he had pled guilty to Delivery/Manufacture of a Controlled Substance 5-45 Kg Marijuana. Exhibit A, pp 22-23.
- 8. Respondent failed to report to the Department that on May 9, 2015, he had pled guilty to Possession of a Controlled Substance, his second felony conviction involving controlled substances. Exhibit A, pp 24-25.
- 9. Respondent received Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits totaling \$1,552 from August 1, 2015, through March 31, 2016. Exhibit A, pp 26-28.
- 10. On October 12, 2017, the Department sent the Respondent an Intentional Program Violation Repayment Agreement (DHS-4350) with notice of a \$1,552 overpayment, and a Request for Waiver of Disqualification Hearing (DHS-826). Exhibit A, pp 5-8.
- 11. The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on October 12, 2017, to establish an OI of benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having allegedly committed an IPV. Exhibit A, p 2.
- 12. This was Respondent's first established IPV.

13. The most recent notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address on June 4, 2018, was not returned by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

The Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

- FAP trafficking OIs that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and
  - the total OI amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs is \$500 or more, or
  - the total OI amount is less than \$500, and
    - ➢ the group has a previous IPV, or
    - > the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
    - the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or
    - the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 720 (January 1, 2016), pp 12-13.

## **Overissuance**

When a client group receives more benefits than it is entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 700 (January 1, 2018), p 1.

An individual convicted of a felony for the use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances two or more times in separate periods will be permanently disqualified if both convictions were for conduct which occurred after August 22, 1996. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 203 (May 1, 2018), p 2.

A person who is violating a condition of probation or parole imposed under a federal or state law is disqualified. The person is disqualified as long as the violation occurs. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 203 (May 1, 2018), p 2.

On two separate Redetermination (DHS-1010) forms, Respondent acknowledged his duties and responsibilities including his duty to report any convictions for felony offenses involving controlled substances where the offenses occurred after August 22, 1996. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Respondent acknowledged under penalties of perjury that both of his Redetermination forms were examined by or read to him, and, to the best of his knowledge, contained facts that were true and complete. Respondent falsely reported on both Redetermination forms that he had not been convicted of any felonies involving controlled substances.

Respondent failed to report to the Department that he had pled guilty to a second felony involving controlled substances on May 19, 2015.

Respondent received FAP benefits totaling \$1,552 from August 1, 2015, through March 31, 2016. If Respondent had truthfully reported his history of convictions for conduct involving controlled substances where the offense occurred after August 22, 1996, then the Department would have permanently disqualified from FAP. If Respondent had been permanently disqualified from FAP then he would not have been eligible for any FAP benefits during that period. Therefore, Respondent received a \$1,552 overissuance of FAP benefits.

## Intentional Program Violation

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

- The client intentionally failed to report information **or** intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and
- The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding the reporting responsibilities, and

• The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits the understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities.

BAM 700, p 7, BAM 720, p. 1.

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p. 1 (emphasis in original); see also 7 CFR 273(e)(6).

The Department has the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that the Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV). The clear and convincing evidence standard, which is the most demanding standard applied in civil cases, is established where there is evidence so clear, direct and weighty and convincing that a conclusion can be drawn without hesitancy of the truth of the precise facts in issue. Smith v Anonymous Joint Enterprise, 487 Mich 102; 793 NW2d 533 (2010), reh den 488 Mich 860; 793 NW2d 559 (2010).

Clear and convincing proof is that which produces in the mind of the trier of fact a firm belief or conviction as to the truth of the precise facts in issue. Evidence may be uncontroverted and yet not be clear and convincing. Conversely, evidence may be clear and convincing even if contradicted. Id.

On Redetermination forms received by the Department on January 2, 2015, and November 9, 2015, Respondent acknowledged his duties and responsibilities including his duty to report any convictions for offenses involving controlled substances where the offenses occurred after August 22, 1996. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Respondent acknowledged under penalties of perjury that his Redetermination forms were examined by or read to him, and, to the best of his knowledge, contained facts that were true and complete. Respondent falsely reported that he had not been convicted of any felonies involving controlled substances and failed to report being convicted of a second felony involving controlled substances, which resulted in an overissuance of FAP benefits.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department has presented clear and convincing evidence that Respondent intentionally failed to report having two or more convictions for conduct involving controlled substances where the offenses occurred after August 22, 1996, for the purposes of maintaining his eligibility for FAP benefits that he would not have been eligible for otherwise.

#### **Disqualification**

A court or hearing decision that finds a client committed IPV disqualifies that client from receiving program benefits. BAM 720, p. 15-16. A disqualified recipient remains a

member of an active group as long as he lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720, p. 16.

Clients who commit an IPV are disqualified for a standard disqualification period except when a court orders a different period, or except when the OI relates to MA. BAM 720, p. 13. Refusal to repay will not cause denial of current or future MA if the client is otherwise eligible. BAM 710 (July 1, 2013), p. 2. Clients are disqualified for periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, lifetime disqualification for the third IPV, and ten years for a FAP concurrent receipt of benefits. BAM 720, p. 16.

The record evidence indicates that this is Respondent's first established IPV violation.

The Department has established an Intentional Program Violation (IPV).

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

- 1. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent did receive an OI of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in the amount of \$1,552.
- 3. The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment procedures for the amount of \$1,552 in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. It is FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be disqualified from the Food Assistance Program (FAP) for a period of 12 months.

KS/hb

Kevin'Scully

Administrative Lave Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS	LaClair Winbush 8655 Greenfield Detroit, MI 48228
	Wayne County (District 31), DHHS
	Policy-Recoupment via electronic mail
	M. Shumaker via electronic mail
Petitioner	OIG PO Box 30062 Lansing, MI 48909-7562
Respondent	
	MI