

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS Lansing

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: July 17, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 18-004808 Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain

# **HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION**

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 12, 2018, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Tiffany Flagg, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Respondent (or Respondent) did not appear at the hearing; and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5).

Department's Exhibits 1-45 were admitted as evidence.

## **ISSUES**

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?
- 2. Did the Department establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?
- 3. Should Respondent lifetime disqualification from the Food Assistance Program?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on April 26, 2018, to establish an OI of benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having allegedly committed an IPV.
- 2. The OIG has requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving program benefits.
- 3. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits issued by the Department.
- 4. Respondent was aware of the responsibility to report convictions for drug felonies.
- 5. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.
- 6. The Department's OIG indicates that the time period it is considering the fraud period is April 21, 2015, trhough March 31, 2016 (fraud period).
- 7. Rights and Responsibilities in accordance with BAM 105 were acknowledged when Respondent applied for FAP benefits on April 21, 2015.
- 8. During the fraud period, Respondent was issued \$2,198.00 in FAP benefits by the State of Michigan, and the Department alleges that Respondent was entitled to \$0.00 in such benefits during this time period.
- 9. The Department alleges that Respondent received an OI in FAP benefits in the amount of \$2,198.00.
- 10. This was Respondent's first alleged IPV.
- 11. A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the United States Postal Services as undeliverable.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The

Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Pertinent Department policy dictates:

#### Criminal Justice Disqualifications

People convicted of certain crimes and probation or parole violators are not eligible for assistance. BEM 203, p 1 (10/1/2015). An individual convicted of a felony for the use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances two or more times in separate periods will be permanently disqualified if both offenses occurred after August 22, 1996. BEM 203, p 2.

In this case Respondent's son, who was a part of Respondent's FAP group, has felony drug convictions dated October 8, 1999, and October 26, 1999, in Wayne County.

Effective January 1, 2016, the Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

- FAP trafficking OIs that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and
  - The total amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs combined is \$500 or more, or
  - the total amount is less than \$500, and
    - ➢ the group has a previous IPV, or
    - the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
    - the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or
    - the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee. BAM 720, pp 12-13 (1/1/2016).

#### Intentional Program Violation

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

• The client intentionally failed to report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and

- The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, and
- The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities. BAM 720, p 1.

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p 1 (emphasis in original); see also 7 CFR 273(e)(6). Clear and convincing evidence is evidence sufficient to result in a clear and firm belief that the proposition is true. See M Civ JI 8.01.

The Department is requesting program disqualification and recoupment of benefits due to Respondent's failure to report two or more drug felonies to the Department. Respondent, **Constant applied** for public assistance and was approved for FAP Benefits.

According to Judicial Circuit Court and additional court related documentation, on March 28, 2006, Respondent pled guilty to Controlled Substance - Controlled Substance -Delivery Manufacture-(Narcotic or Cocaine) less than 50 grams- O in County. On December 18, 2013, Respondent pled guilty to Controlled Substance - Possession (Narcotic or Cocaine) Less than 25 grams in County.

Per BEM 203, an individual convicted of a felony for the use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances two or more times in separate instances will be permanently disqualified from FIP and FAP if both offenses occurred after August 22, 1996.

Agent Flagg obtained a DHHS-1171 Assistance Application signed by the Respondent on April 21, 2015. By signing this application, **acknowledged** his responsibility to report any changes in his circumstances to the Department. On this application, Respondent indicated "YES" to the question: Has anyone been convicted of a drug felony? Respondent indicated "NO" to the question: More than Once? Respondent failed to inform the Department about all his drug convictions.

The FAP OI totals \$2,198.00 for the period of April 21, 2015, through March 31, 2016.

#### **Disqualification**

A client who is found to have committed an IPV by a court or hearing decision is disqualified from receiving program benefits. BAM 720, p 15. Clients are disqualified for ten years for a FAP IPV involving concurrent receipt of benefits, and, for all other IPV cases involving Family Independence Program, FAP, or State Disability Assistance, for standard disqualification periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV or conviction of two felonies for the use, possession,

or distribution of controlled substances in separate periods if both offenses occurred after August 22, 1996. BEM 203, p 2; BAM 720, p 18. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he/she lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720, p 17.

Here, the Department has requested a twelve-month disqualification. Because Respondent's felony drug convictions occurred after August 22, 1996, Respondent was not eligible for FAP benefits. Consequently, Respondent is disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for lifetime.

#### <u>Overissuance</u>

When a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the over issuance. BAM 700, p 1 (1/1/2016).

In this case, Respondent had two felony drug convictions after August 22, 1996. As a result of the felony drug convictions, Respondent was not eligible for FAP benefits. Therefore, Respondent received an OI of \$2,198.00 in FAP for the months of April 21, 2015, through March 31, 2016.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, concludes that:

- 1. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent did receive an OI of FAP benefits in the amount of \$2,198.00.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment procedures for the amount of \$2,198.00 in accordance with Department policy.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for the requested 12-month period in accordance with Department policy.

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

LL/bb

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

> LaClair Winbush 17455 Grand River Ave Detroit, MI 48227

Wayne County (District 31), DHHS

Policy-Recoupment via electronic mail

M. Shumaker via electronic mail

OIG PO Box 30062 Lansing, MI 48909-7562

DHHS

Petitioner

Respondent

