

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS LANSING

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: July 6, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 18-003951

Agency No.:
Petitioner:

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Aaron McClintic

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 6, 2018, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner was represented by herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Garilee Janofski, Hearing Coordinator. Department Exhibit 1, pp. 1-664 was received and admitted.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner applied for SDA on February 6, 2018.
- 2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on April 17, 2018.
- Petitioner filed a request for hearing on April 23, 2018, regarding the SDA denial.
- 4. A telephone hearing was held on June 6, 2018.
- 5. Petitioner is **t** tall and weighs **pounds**, having gained 30 pounds in the last year.
- 6. Petitioner is 24 years old.

- 7. Petitioner's impairments have been medically diagnosed as back pain, herniated disc, lumbar spondylosis and depression.
- 8. Petitioner has the following symptoms: pain and fatigue.
- 9. Petitioner completed high school and some college.
- 10. Petitioner is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
- 11. Petitioner is not working. Petitioner last worked in May 2017 as a direct care worker. Petitioner previously worked as a fork lift driver.
- 12. Petitioner lives with roommates.
- 13. Petitioner testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
- 14. Petitioner takes the following prescribed medications:
  - a. Lyrica
  - b. Flexeril
  - c. Lexapro
  - d. Tylenol-3
- 15. Petitioner testified to the following physical limitations:

a. Sitting: 20-30 minutes

b. Standing: 10-15 minutes

c. Walking: 1-2 blocks

d. Bend/stoop: difficulty

e. Lifting: 5 lbs.

f. Grip/grasp: difficulty

- 16. An MRI of Petitioner's lumbar spine completed on June 13, 2017, showed the following under IMPRESSION: "1. Large posterior lateral disc herniation at L4-L5 towards the left causing left sided nerve root compromise. 2. No significant central canal stenosis. 3. No other nerve root compromise." (Ex. 1, p. 58)
- 17. An EMG completed on March 19, 2018, found the following: "This is an abnormal EMG of the bilateral lower extremity with electrodiagnostic evidence of a chronic left L5 radiculopathy with associated ongoing denervation. There was no evidence for a mononeuropathy or plexopathy identified. Clinical correlation is recommended." (Ex. 1, p. 640)
- 18. A CT of Petitioner's lumbar spine completed on February 4, 2018, showed "Lumbar spondylosis; Positive for L4-5 and L5-S1 disc bulging with mild narrowing of the neuroforamen." (Ex. 1, p. 654)

19. Petitioner testified to experiencing pain at a high level of 4/5 on an everyday basis, with some pain always present at a low level of 3.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations require that the Department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

## "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, Petitioner is not working, therefore, Petitioner is not disqualified at this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether Petitioner is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical, or mental, ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if Petitioner's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner's medical record does not support a finding that Petitioner's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listing 1.04 was considered.

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities, or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician, or mental health professional, that an individual is disabled, or blind, is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether Petitioner has the ability to perform work previously performed by Petitioner within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent Petitioner from doing past relevant work. In the present case, Petitioner previously worked as a direct care worker and fork lift driver; both of these jobs were medium exertional work. Petitioner

would not be able to perform her previous work due to lifting restrictions. This Administrative Law Judge will continue through step five.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if Petitioner's impairment(s) prevent Petitioner from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon Petitioner's:

- 1. Residual functional capacity, defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945.
- 2. Age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.963-965.
- 3. The kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which Petitioner could perform despite her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to the ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work:** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting, or carrying, articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work:** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

**Medium work:** Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

**Heavy work:** Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do

heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

See Felton v DSS 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once Petitioner makes it to the final step of the analysis, Petitioner has already established a prima facie case of disability. Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services, 732 Fd2 962 (6th Cir, 1984). Moving forward, the burden of proof rests with the State to prove by substantial evidence that Petitioner has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity. After careful review of Petitioner's extensive medical record, and the Administrative Law Judge's personal interaction with Petitioner at the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner's exertional and non-exertional impairments render Petitioner unable to engage in a full range of even sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10; Wilson v Heckler, 743 F2d 216 (1986). The Department has failed to provide vocational evidence which establishes that Petitioner has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity and, that given Petitioner's age, education, and work experience, there are significant numbers of jobs in the national economy which Petitioner could perform despite Petitioner's limitations.

Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge concludes that Petitioner is disabled for purposes of the SDA program as of February of 2018. Petitioner's testimony regarding her limitations and ability to sit, stand, walk, lift, and carry is credible and supported by substantial medical evidence.

Therefore, Petitioner is found to be disabled.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Petitioner is medically disabled as of February of 2018.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby **REVERSED** and the Department is ORDERED to:

1. Initiate a review of the application for SDA dated February 6, 2018, if not done previously, to determine Petitioner's non-medical eligibility.

The Department shall inform Petitioner of the determination in writing. A review of this case shall be set for July of 2019.

AM/dh

**Aaron McClintic** 

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS** Sharon Reuther

1720 East Main Street Owosso, MI 48867

Gratiot County, DHHS

BSC2 via electronic mail

L. Karadsheh via electronic mail

**Petitioner** 

