

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS LANSING

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: June 6, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 18-004407

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 31, 2018, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner was represented by Petitioner, (Department or Respondent) was represented by Specialist.

Respondent's Exhibit A pages 1-92 were admitted as evidence.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly determine that Petitioner received an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits which must be?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On Petitioner applied for FAP on self-service application (DHS-1171) for a group size of four.
- 2. Petitioner reported earned income, unearned income and expenses.

- 3. The Department budgeted Petitioner's annual property taxes as a monthly expense, giving Petitioner a higher shelter expanse than she should have received for FAP.
- 4. On _____, the department sent Petitioner Notice of Overissuance indicating that the Department entered shelter expenses incorrectly at the initial application and that Petitioner was over-issued \$ ____ in FAP benefits.
- 5. On Record Request for Hearing to contest the Department's negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

Pertinent Department policy dictates:

When a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the over issuance. BAM 700, p 1 (1/1/2016).

Recoupment is a MDHHS action to identify and recover a benefit overissuance. A recoupment specialist (RS) is the specialist assigned to process overissuances and act as liaison with OIG, reconciliation and recoupment section (RRS), and other personnel involved with recoupment and collections. BAM 700 page 2

An agency error is caused by incorrect action (including delayed or no action) by MDHHS staff or department processes. Some examples are:

- Available information was not used or was used incorrectly.
- Policy was misapplied.
- Action by local or central office staff was delayed.
- Computer errors occurred.
- Information was not shared between department divisions such as services staff.
- Data exchange reports were not acted upon timely (wage match, new hires, BENDEX, etc.).

If unable to identify the type, record it as an agency error. FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP Agency errors are not pursued if the estimated amount is less than \$250 per program. BEM 700, page 5

A client error occurs when the client received more benefits than they were entitled to because the client gave incorrect or incomplete information to the department. A client error also exists when the client's timely request for a hearing result in deletion of a MDHHS action, and any of the following occurred:

- The hearing request is later withdrawn.
- MAHS denies the hearing request.
- The client or administrative hearing representative fails to appear for the hearing and MAHS gives MDHHS written instructions to proceed.
- The hearing decision upholds the department's actions; see BAM 600. BAM 700 page 7

When a potential overissuance is discovered the following actions must be taken:

- 1. Immediately correct the current benefits; see BAM 220, Case Actions, for change processing requirements.
- 2. Obtain initial evidence that an overissuance potentially exists.
- 3. Determine if it was caused by department, provider or client actions.
- 4. Refer any overissuances needing referral to the RS within 60 days of suspecting one exists.

Exception: Office of Quality Assurance (OQA) discovered overissuances must be referred to the RS within 7 days of receipt of the OQA findings. OQA has already verified one exists. FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP Within 60 days of suspecting an overissuance exists, complete a DHS-4701, Overissuance Referral, and refer the following overissuances to the RS for your office:

- All client and agency errors over \$250.
- All suspected IPV errors.
- All CDC provider errors BAM 700 page 10

In this case, Petitioner did receive notice that the Department committed an error when it calculated her FAP benefits from the page 7, through the page 7, through the page 7, through the page 7. Petitioner was entitled to the page 7.

Petitioner testified that the error was not hers and she should not have to pay this amount back, as it creates a hardship for her family. Petitioner's argument is a compelling equitable argument to be excluded from Department policy. Unfortunately, Petitioner's lack of knowledge about the status of her live together partner is not a defense recognized by the Department's FAP policy. This Administrative Law Judge has no equity powers and cannot make a decision that is in contravention of Department policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department finds that the Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Petitioner has been overissued FAP benefits in the amount of based upon Department error, which must be recouped. The Department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**. The Department is **ORDERED** to initiate the Recoupment process in accordance with Department policy within ten days of receipt of this Decision and Order.

LL/bb

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lvon. Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 763-0155; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS	
Petitioner	