

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS Lansing

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: May 8, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 17-015146 Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG Respondent:

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

## **HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION**

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and R 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 5, 2018, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by **Methods**, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Respondent did not appear at the hearing and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5).

### **ISSUES**

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?
- 2. Did the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?
- 3. Should Respondent be disqualified from the Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On an application for assistance dated **accordence**, Respondent acknowledged her duties and responsibilities including the duty to report changes

of her household size and composition. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Exhibit A, pp 99-139.

- 2. Respondent acknowledged under penalties of perjury that her **and the period**, application form was examined by or read to her, and, to the best of her knowledge, contained facts that were true and complete. Exhibit A, p 121.
- 3. Respondent reported her three children were living in her household. Exhibit A, pp 99-139.
- 4. On assistance was registered for pre-school and the child was reported to be living with his father. Exhibit A, pp 142-144.
- 5. The Department made collateral contacts with the caretakers of Respondent's children and discovered that they were living with their father. Exhibit A, pp 145-147.
- Respondent received Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits totaling \$
  from \_\_\_\_\_\_, through \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Exhibit A, p 148.
- 7. Respondent received Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits totaling \$ from \$ from \$ , through \$ . Exhibit A, pp 161-162.
- 8. Respondent received Medical Assistance (MA) benefits with a value of \$ from \_\_\_\_\_\_, through \_\_\_\_\_\_. Exhibit A, pp 163-167.
- 9. On **Example 1**, the Department sent Respondent an Intentional Program Violation Repayment Agreement (DHS-4350) with notice of a **Sector** overpayment, and a Request for Waiver of Disqualification Hearing (DHS-826). Exhibit A, pp 6-10.
- 10. The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on **exercise to the second sec**
- 11. This was Respondent's first established IPV.
- 12.A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

The Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

- FAP trafficking OIs that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and
  - the total OI amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs is \$500 or more, or
  - the total OI amount is less than \$500, and
    - the group has a previous IPV, or
    - > the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
    - the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or
    - the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 720 (January 1, 2016), pp 12-13.

#### <u>Overissuance</u>

When a client group receives benefits than they are entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 700 (October 1, 2016), p 1.

Clients must report changes in circumstance that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount within 10 days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. Changes that must be reported include benefit group members leaving the household. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (January 1, 2018), pp 1-20.

Clients must report changes in circumstance that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount. Changes must be reported within 10 days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (January 1, 2018), p 12. The Department will act on a change reported by means other than a tape match within 15 workdays after becoming aware of the change, except that the Department will act on a change other than a tape match within 10 days of becoming aware of the change. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 220 (January 1, 2018), p 7. A pended negative action occurs when a negative action requires timely notice based on the eligibility rules in this item. Timely notice means that the action taken by the department is effective at least 12 calendar days following the date of the department's action. BAM 220, p 12.

A FIP benefit group must include at least one dependent child wo lives with a legal parent, stepparent or other qualifying caretaker. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 210 (April 1, 2017), p 1.

FAP group composition is established by determining who lives together, the relationship of the people who live together, whether the people living together purchase and prepare food together or separately, and whether the persons resides in an eligible living situation. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 212 (January 1, 2017), p 1.

For MA benefits, living with others means sharing a home where family members usually sleep, except for temporary absences and is required to remain eligible for benefits under that benefit group. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 211 (January 1, 2016), pp 1-9.

On an application for assistance dated March 18, 2016, Respondent acknowledged her duties and responsibilities including her duty to report changes to the size and composition of her household. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. On her Respondent reported that she was living with her three children.

Respondent failed to report to the Department when her children moved into the home of the paternal grandmother. The Department discovered that the children were no longer living with Respondent through collateral contacts and no evidence was presented on the record to rebut that information. The Department also presented school registration forms showing that one of Respondent's children was registered for pre-school using the address of their father.

Respondent received FIP benefits totaling **\$** but was not eligible for any of those benefits since she was not the caretaker of minor children living in her home. Therefore, Respondent received a **\$** overissuance of FAP benefits.

Respondent received FAP benefits totaling **\$ as a group of four from** through **as a group of one during that period**. Therefore, Respondent received a **\$ as a group of FAP** benefits.

Respondent's children were enrolled for MA benefits with a value of **\$** from from those benefit since the children were not living in her household. Therefore, Respondent received a **\$** overissuance of MA benefits.

#### Intentional Program Violation

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

- The client intentionally failed to report information **or** intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and
- The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding the reporting responsibilities, and
- The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits the understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities.

BAM 700, p 7, BAM 720, p. 1.

An IPV is also suspected for a client who is alleged to have trafficked FAP benefits. BAM 720, p. 1.

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p. 1 (emphasis in original); see also 7 CFR 273(e)(6).

The Department has the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV). The clear and convincing evidence standard, which is the most demanding standard applied in civil cases, is established where there is evidence so clear, direct and weighty and convincing that a conclusion can be drawn without hesitancy of the truth of the precise facts in issue. Smith v Anonymous Joint Enterprise, 487 Mich 102; 793 NW2d 533 (2010), reh den 488 Mich 860; 793 NW2d 559 (2010).

Clear and convincing proof is that which produces in the mind of the trier of fact a firm belief or conviction as to the truth of the precise facts in issue. Evidence may be uncontroverted and yet not be clear and convincing. Conversely, evidence may be clear and convincing even if contradicted. Id.

Respondent acknowledged her duties and responsibilities including her duty to report changes to her circumstances including when her children moved out of her household. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Respondent failed to report when here children were no longer living in household, which resulted in a **Summer** overissuance of FIP, FAP, and MA benefits.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department has presented clear and convincing evidence that Respondent intentionally failed to report that her three children were no longer living in her household for the purposes of maintaining her eligibility for FIP, FAP, and MA benefits that she would not have been eligible for otherwise.

#### **Disqualification**

A court or hearing decision that finds a client committed IPV disqualifies that client from receiving program benefits. BAM 720, p. 15-16. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720, p. 16.

Clients who commit an IPV are disqualified for a standard disqualification period except when a court orders a different period, or except when the OI relates to MA. BAM 720, p. 13. Refusal to repay will not cause denial of current or future MA if the client is otherwise eligible. BAM 710 (July 1, 2013), p. 2. Clients are disqualified for periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, lifetime disqualification for the third IPV, and ten years for a FAP concurrent receipt of benefits. BAM 720, p. 16.

The record evidence indicates that this is Respondent's first established IPV violation.

The Department has established an Intentional Program Violation (IPV).

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

- 1. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent did receive an OI of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits in the amount of \$
- 3. Respondent did receive an OI of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in the amount of \$
- 4. Respondent did receive an OI of Medical Assistance (MA) benefits in the amount of \$
- 5. The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment procedures for the amount of **\$ and the second and the seco**
- 6. It is FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be disqualified from the Food Assistance Program (FAP) for a period of 12 months.
- 7. It is FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be disqualified from the Family Independence Program (FIP) for a period of 12 months.

KS/hb

Kevin<sup>T</sup>Scully Administrative Laveradge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

