RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: March 9, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 18-000586

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 1, 2018, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's November 14, 2017 application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for failure to participate in The Partnership. Accountability Training. Hope. (PATH)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On November 14, 2017, Petitioner applied for FIP benefits.
- 2. On November 16, 2017, the Department sent Petitioner a PATH Appointment Notice which instructed Petitioner to appear at a local Department office on November 27, 2017.
- 3. Petitioner lacks suitable transportation and therefore did not appear at the local Department office on November 27, 2017.
- 4. On December 8, 2017, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action which notified Petitioner that her application for FIP benefits had been denied.

5. On January 12, 2018, Petitioner filed a Request for Hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

Additionally, for a client to receive FIP benefits, DHS requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. The focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause. BEM 223A (April 2016), p. 1.

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and non-WEIs who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following:

- Delay in eligibility at application.
- Ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period).
- Case closure for a minimum of three months for the first episode of noncompliance, six months for the second episode of noncompliance and lifetime closure for the third episode of noncompliance. *Id.*

The Partnership. Accountability Training. Hope. (PATH) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and assessments will be covered by PATH when a mandatory PATH participant is referred at application. BEM 229 (October 2015), p. 1. In this case, the Department sent Petitioner a PATH Appointment Notice which informed Petitioner that she was required to attend PATH on November 27, 2017. Petitioner acknowledged that she failed to attend PATH. Because Petitioner failed to attend PATH, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action on December 8, 2017 which notified Petitioner that her application for FIP had been denied.

Under Department policy, good cause for non-compliance incudes when the client requested child care services from MDHHS, PATH, or other employment services provider prior to case closure for noncompliance and child care is needed for an eligible

child, but none is appropriate, suitable, affordable and within reasonable distance of the client's home or work site.

- Appropriate. The care is appropriate to the child's age, disabilities and other conditions.
- **Reasonable distance**. The total commuting time to and from work and the child care facility does not exceed three hours per day.
- **Suitable provider**. The provider meets applicable state and local standards. Also, unlicensed providers who are not registered/licensed by the MDHHS Bureau of Children and Adult Licensing must meet MDHHS enrollment requirements. BEM 233A (April 2016), pp. 4-5.

Petitioner acknowledged that she failed to attend PATH but testified that she informed her worker that lack of transportation was a barrier to her attendance. Petitioner testified that she would be required to take two buses which would take approximately two hours. Petitioner further testified that she would next be required to take two additional buses to appear at the required Department location, which would also take an additional three hours. Because Petitioners commuting time exceeds three hours per day, she has established good cause for noncompliance and as such, the Department improperly denied her application for FAP benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's November 14, 2017 application for FIP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reregister and reprocess Petitioner's November 14, 2017 application for FIP benefits;
- 2. If Petitioner was eligible to receive supplements, issue FIP supplements that Petitioner was eligible to receive but did not as a result of her November 14, 2017 application; and

3. Notify Petitioner of its decision in writing.

JAM/tlf

Jacquelyn A. McClinton
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via Email:

Petitioner – Via First-Class Mail: